

S/N	Reviewer feedback	Responses	
1	In this systematic review, the authors used the appropriate methods to search the analyzed materials, and also minimized the bias and properly screened the data. Through the analysis, they concluded that HIVST acceptability is high in Nigeria, but some uptake-enhancing strategies need to be studied in certain settings and populations. The paper has a valuable reference for HIV control and prevention.	Thank you for the feedbacks	
2	However, I have some questions as following: 1.The main question is the writing. In the manuscript, there are a lot of expressions, such as "[30] reported that 59.3% of...", "Only one study by [28]...", "[38& 39]", "The findings of [27;7;15;28-30;5;31] which reported...", etc. Obviously, none of these comply with the specifications of literature citations.	Thanks for this update. We have now revised the manuscript in line with conventional in-text style with reference numbering ending sentences	
3	In addition, some abbreviations, such as "UNAIDS", "SSA", etc., are not to be written out.	These abbreviations have now been spelt out	
4	Also, some grammar mistakes (literature were) and miswriting (Organizations) should be corrected.	This has now been corrected and the 'O' in organization now changed to 'o'	
5	2.The table 2 is unnecessary and can be deleted.	We will like to retain this table as it provides a quick synopsis of the 18 articles used in the manuscript a glance.	