

Response to reviewers

Round 1

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The role of cancer stem cells in gastrointestinal malignancies is not an entirely novel area of discussion. Nevertheless, we believe this research is still publishable with some minor revisions. There are a number of specific details that I believe should be added to the manuscript in order to give readers with fresh perspectives on the evolution of research in this field. We have noticed in the submitted manuscript.

Answer: We appreciate your interest in our work. We extremely agree with your idea. We have added some specific details in order to give readers with fresh perspectives on the evolution of research in this field. Please check it.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The quality of the present article writing should be improved. Please correct these grammar errors and check the whole paper carefully for similar mistakes: The incidence and mortality rate vary from country to country. (Should be: The incidence and mortality rate of EC vary from country to country.)

Answer: Thank you for your suggestions. We extremely agree with you. We have checked and corrected this sentence in our manuscript. Please check it.

The technique of surgical combination chemotherapy and radiotherapy enhanced (Should be: The technique of surgical combination chemotherapy and radiotherapy to treat GC enhanced) and found high expression of GCSCs surface markers OCT4 and SOX2. (Should be: and high expression of GCSCs surface markers OCT4 and SOX2.) The following multiple sentences also need to be modified.

Answer: Thanks for your advice. We have corrected these grammar errors in our manuscript. Similar errors have been checked and also corrected in the whole manuscript. Please check it.

Many treatments targeting CSCs have been developed, but there is a limit to CSCs targeting therapy. The concept of CSCs is recognized A large number of studies allow tumor stem cell markers to achieve metastasis, progression and resistance of pancreatic cancer cells, There are many other elements that make CSC impressions, About 300,000 people die in EC all over the world. (Should be: About 300,000 people die in EC all over the world every year) The sentence of “CSCs can be targeted for pancreatic cancer therapy” seems to contain an unknown link.

Answer: Thank you for pointing this. We extremely agree with your idea. We have checked and revised the certain content. Please check it.

The review article is not simply stuffing articles, please refer to this paragraph to write the other paragraphs: The biomarkers of LCSCs are important...of liver CSLCs by changing CD24.

Answer: We appreciate your suggestion. We extremely agree with your idea that the review article is not simply stuffing articles. We have referred to this paragraph and revised as required. Please check it.

Round 2

Response to reviewers

Specific Comments to Authors: I have read through the entirety of the author's revised work. Nevertheless, I did not locate any significant revisions or extra information in compliance with the previously noted review notes. It would be desirable for the author to identify any modifications or new information in the narrative that they wanted to make, so that it is easier for the reviewer to verify the changes made.

Answer: Thank you for your suggestions again. Due to the way of uploading the revised manuscript, some marks were lost and cannot be rendered. In fact, we have made careful revisions and invited the native speaker to modify the whole manuscript. Please carefully check the manuscript. If you still have any problems, please put it forward. We will make further revisions. Thanks again!