World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2023 May 16; 15(5): 319-419





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WŮ

GEWorld Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 5 May 16, 2023

REVIEW

- 319 Recent advances in endoscopic management of gastric neoplasms Cheema HI, Tharian B, Inamdar S, Garcia-Saenz-de-Sicilia M, Cengiz C
- 338 Unlocking quality in endoscopic mucosal resection Keating E, Leyden J, O'Connor DB, Lahiff C

MINIREVIEWS

- 354 Improving polyp detection at colonoscopy: Non-technological techniques Rajivan R, Thayalasekaran S
- 368 Rectal neuroendocrine tumours and the role of emerging endoscopic techniques

Keating E, Bennett G, Murray MA, Ryan S, Aird J, O'Connor DB, O'Toole D, Lahiff C

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

376 Effect of modified ShengYangYiwei decoction on painless gastroscopy and gastrointestinal and immune function in gastric cancer patients

Mi SC, Wu LY, Xu ZJ, Zheng LY, Luo JW

Retrospective Cohort Study

Expanding endoscopic boundaries: Endoscopic resection of large appendiceal orifice polyps with 386 endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection

Patel AP, Khalaf MA, Riojas-Barrett M, Keihanian T, Othman MO

Retrospective Study

397 Effect of music on colonoscopy performance: A propensity score-matched analysis

Choi EJ, Jee SR, Lee SH, Yoon JS, Yu SJ, Lee JH, Lee HB, Yi SW, Kim MP, Chung BC, Lee HS

Observational Study

407 Diagnostic role of fractional exhaled nitric oxide in pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis, relationship with gastric and duodenal eosinophils

Kaur P, Chevalier R, Friesen C, Ryan J, Sherman A, Page S



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Monthly Volume 15 Number 5 May 16, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editor-in-Chief of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, Sang Chul Lee, MD, PhD, Chief Doctor, Full Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Division of Colorectal Surgery, Department of General Surgery, Catholic University of Korea, Daejeon St. Mary's Hospital, Daejeon 34943, South Korea. zambo9@catholic.ac.kr

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (WJGE, World J Gastrointest Endosc) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal endoscopy with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGE mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal endoscopy and covering a wide range of topics including capsule endoscopy, colonoscopy, double-balloon enteroscopy, duodenoscopy, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, endosonography, esophagoscopy, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastroscopy, laparoscopy, natural orifice endoscopic surgery, proctoscopy, and sigmoidoscopy.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGE is now abstracted and indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJGE as 0.33.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ping Yan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5190 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 15, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Anastasios Koulaouzidis, Bing Hu, Sang Chul Lee, Joo Young Cho	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
May 16, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



Π

F

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Endosc 2023 May 16; 15(5): 397-406

DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v15.i5.397

WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

ISSN 1948-5190 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study Effect of music on colonoscopy performance: A propensity scorematched analysis

Eun Jeong Choi, Sam Ryong Jee, Sang Heon Lee, Jun Sik Yoon, Seung Jung Yu, Jong Hyun Lee, Han Byul Lee, Sang Wook Yi, Myeong Pyo Kim, Byung Cheol Chung, Hong Sub Lee

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology	Eun Jeong Choi, Sam Ryong Jee, Sang Heon Lee, Jun Sik Yoon, Seung Jung Yu, Jong Hyun Lee, Myeong Pyo Kim, Byung Cheol Chung, Hong Sub Lee, Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan Paik Hospital, Busan 47392, South Korea
Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.	Han Byul Lee, Department of Public Health, Ajou University Graduate School of Public Health, Suwon 16499, South Korea
Peer-review model: Single blind	Sang Wook Yi, Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Catholic Kwandong University College of Medicine, Gangneung 25601, South Korea
Peer-review report's scientific quality classification Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C	Corresponding author: Hong Sub Lee, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan Paik Hospital, 75 Bokji-ro, Busanjin-gu, Busan 47392, South Korea. hslee@paik.ac.kr
Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0	Abstract
P-Reviewer: Ichita C, Japan; Obando A, Nicaragua	BACKGROUND Music has been used to reduce stress and improve task performance during medical therapy.
Received: January 5, 2023 Peer-review started: January 5, 2023 First decision: February 15, 2023 Revised: March 2, 2023 Accepted: April 24, 2023 Article in press: April 24, 2023	 AIM To assess the effects of music on colonoscopy performance outcomes. METHODS We retrospectively reviewed patients who underwent colonoscopy performed by four endoscopists with popular music. Colonoscopy performance outcomes, such as insertion time, adenoma detection rate (ADR), and polyp detection rate (PDR), were compared between the music and non-music groups. To reduce selection
Published online: May 16, 2023	bias, propensity score matching was used. RESULTS After one-to-one propensity score matching, 169 colonoscopies were selected from

397

After one-to-one propensity score matching, 169 colonoscopies were selected from each group. No significant differences in insertion time (4.97 *vs* 5.17 min, P = 0.795) and ADR (39.1% *vs* 46.2%, P = 0.226) were found between the two groups. Subgroup analysis showed that the insertion time (3.6 *vs* 3.8 min, P = 0.852) and ADR (51.1% *vs* 44.7%, P = 0.488) did not significantly differ between the two groups in experts. However, in trainees, PDR (46.9% *vs* 66.7%, P = 0.016) and ADR (25.9% *vs* 47.6%, P = 0.006) were significantly lower in the music than in the non-

May 16, 2023 Volume 15 Issue 5

music group.

CONCLUSION

The current study found that listening to music during colonoscopy did not affect procedure performance. Moreover, it suggested that music may distract trainees from appropriately detecting adenomas and polyps.

Key Words: Music; Colonoscopy; Performance; Adenoma; Colonic polyps; Cecal insertion time

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Music has shown the positive effect on the surgical team in the operating room, no data has been available regarding the effects of music on endoscopist performance. The study aimed to assess the effects of music on colonoscopy performance outcomes. The patients who underwent colonoscopy while listening to music were retrospectively reviewed for colonoscopy performance outcomes, such as insertion time, adenoma and polyp detection rates. Accordingly, our findings showed that listening to music during colonoscopy had no effect on procedure performance. Moreover, our results suggested that listening to music during colonoscopy may distract trainees from appropriately detecting adenomas and polyps.

Citation: Choi EJ, Jee SR, Lee SH, Yoon JS, Yu SJ, Lee JH, Lee HB, Yi SW, Kim MP, Chung BC, Lee HS. Effect of music on colonoscopy performance: A propensity score-matched analysis. World J Gastrointest Endosc 2023; 15(5): 397-406

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5190/full/v15/i5/397.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4253/wjge.v15.i5.397

INTRODUCTION

Music has been used in medical treatment to reduce pain and anxiety[1]. Music, which is commonly played in operating rooms during surgical procedures, has a positive effect on the surgical team^[2] considering that not only the patient but also the surgeon may feel tense and stressed. Surgeons' stress can negatively affect their skills^[3], which can have adverse consequences for the patients. However, there are few means for relieving the surgeon's tension in a constrained operating room. Given its positive effect on the surgical team through a significant decrease in autonomic reactivity, music has been considered one of the few options for relieving the surgeon's tension[4]. Moreover, music performance increases surgical accuracy and shortens the operative time[5,6].

Colonoscopy has been widely performed for the screening of colorectal cancer[7] and evaluation of lower gastrointestinal diseases. However, this procedure causes anxiety and pain in patients due to abdominal clamping or bloating[8]. To reduce the pain of patients and prevent the movement of patients from interfering with the procedure, endoscopists administer a sedative. However, sedatives may increase the risk of cardiovascular disease in elderly people[9]. Several studies have proven that music has a significant effect on reducing anxiety and pain in patients undergoing colonoscopy and the dosage of sedatives required for colonoscopy [10,11]. However, no data has been available regarding the effects of music on endoscopist performance. Therefore, the current study aimed to assess the effects of music on colonoscopy performance outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Subjects who underwent colonoscopy at the Gastroenterology Department of Busan Paik Hospital, Korea between June 2019 and March 2021 were enrolled. Since June 2020, all endoscopy procedures had been performed while listening to music. A total of 402 patients underwent colonoscopy during the said period. The identified patients were then divided into two groups: The non-music group, who underwent endoscopy without listening to music from June 2019 to May 2020, and the music group, who underwent endoscopy while listening to music from June 2020 to March 2021.

Clinical data, including the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, colonoscopy indications, and pathological findings, were obtained by reviewing past medical records. The ASA score was evaluated to assess patient risk prior to colonoscopy. Patients underwent colonoscopy for several indications, including abdominal pain, hematochezia, melena, diarrhea, constipation, and screening



WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

purposes in asymptomatic individuals.

Endoscopists

Four endoscopists, consisting of two experts and two trainees, participated in the study. Both experts were board-certified and experienced endoscopists, each of whom had performed more than 5000 colonoscopies, whereas both trainees had < 1 year of experience. Their preferred pop music was played through the blue-tooth speakers in the endoscopic room at a volume of between 50 and 60 dB. A colonoscope (CF-H260AL or CF-HQ290L; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used to perform the colonoscopy from June 2019 to March 2021.

Bowel preparation

Bowel preparation was performed using the bowel cleansing product consisting of 2 L of a solution containing polyethylene glycol. The quality of bowel preparation was scored according to the Boston Bowel Preparation Scale (BBPS) and characterized as adequate (BBPS score \geq 6 and/or all segment scores \geq 2) or fair (total score of 3-5).

Colonoscopy performance outcomes

Primary endpoints were cecal insertion time, polyp detection rate (PDR), and adenoma detection rate (ADR). The PDR was defined as the number of colonoscopies in which at least one polyp was detected divided by the total number of colonoscopies performed. The ADR was defined as the number of colonoscopies in which at least one adenoma was detected divided by the total number of colonoscopies.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive data were reported as median and interquartile range. Differences in categorical variables were analyzed using χ^2 test. Continuous variables were analyzed using Mann-Whitney U test. Analyses were performed using R Statistical Software 4.1.0 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), P values of < 0.05 indicating statistical significance. To reduce selection bias, one-to-one propensity score matching was performed using the R package "Matchlt". One-to-one matching was conducted with age, sex, body mass index (BMI), ASA score, BBPS, surgical history, and indication for colonoscopy as covariates using greedy matching with caliper of 0.2. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to assess independent prognostic factors. The covariates for matching estimation included age, sex, BMI, ASA score, BBPS, previous abdominal surgery, and indication for colonoscopy. Covariate selection for multivariate analysis was based on a P value of < 0.2 in univariable analysis, with a logistic regression model.

Ethical statements

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Busan Paik Hospital and was conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines stated in the Declaration of Helsinki (IRB number: 2020-01-192). Requirement for informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board given that the researchers only retrospectively accessed a de-identified database for analysis purposes.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

From June 2019 to March 2021, 402 colonoscopies were performed by four endoscopists. A total of 202 colonoscopies were performed while listening to pop music preferred by the endoscopists, whereas 200 were performed without music. The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Before the propensity score matching, there were significant differences between surgical history and colonoscopy indications. After one-to-one propensity score matching, 169 colonoscopies were selected for each group. The most common indication for colonoscopy was screening of colon cancer, with both groups having the same amount of patient at 51.5% (P = 1.000) after propensity score matching. Cecal intubation rate was 100% in both groups.

Outcomes of colonoscopy performance

The insertion time (4.97 vs 5.17 min, P = 0.795) and withdrawal time (10.57 vs 11.87 min, P = 0.142) did not significantly differ between both groups. In addition, no significant differences in ADR (39.1% vs 46.2%, P = 0.226) were observed between the two groups, although PDR tended to higher in the nonmusic group than in music group (56.8% vs 66.9%, P = 0.073) (Table 2).

Subgroup analysis according to colonoscopy proficiency

Subgroup analysis was performed to evaluate differences according to colonoscopy proficiency (Table 3). Among experts, the insertion time (3.57 vs 3.83 min, P = 0.852), withdrawal time (10.30 vs 10.90



Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients who did and did not listen to music before and after propensity score matching											
	Before propensity score matching					After propensity score matching					
	Total (<i>n</i> = 402)	Music (<i>n</i> = 200)	No music (<i>n</i> = 202)	P value	d	Total (<i>n</i> = 338)	Music (<i>n</i> = 169)	No music (<i>n</i> = 169)	P value	d	
Age, yr	63.0 (54.0-70.0)	63.0 (54.5-69.0)	64.0 (54.0-70.0)	0.642	0.08	64.0 (55.0-70.0)	63.0 (53.0-69.0)	64.0 (56.0-70.0)	0.353	0.13	
Female sex	190 (47.3%)	96 (48.0%)	94 (46.5%)	0.846	0.03	157 (46.4%)	83 (49.1%)	74 (43.8%)	0.383	0.11	
BMI, kg/m ²	23.7 (21.9-26.0)	23.7 (21.9-26.2)	23.7 (21.8-25.9)	0.992	0.00	23.7 (22.0-25.9)	24.0 (22.1-26.2)	23.6 (21.8-25.8)	0.383	0.09	
ASA score				0.746	0.01				0.546	0.12	
1	171 (42.5%)	86 (43.0%)	85 (42.1%)			152 (45.0%)	79 (46.7%)	73 (43.2%)			
2	204 (50.7%)	100 (50.0%)	104 (51.5%)			167 (49.4%)	83 (49.1%)	84 (49.7%)			
3	26 (6.5%)	14 (7.0%)	12 (5.9%)			18 (5.3%)	7 (4.1%)	11 (6.5%)			
4	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.5%)			1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.6%)			
BBPS				0.768	0.04				0.684	0.06	
3-5	87 (21.6%)	45 (22.5%)	42 (20.8%)			68 (20.1%)	32 (18.9%)	36 (21.3%)			
6-9	315 (78.4%)	155 (77.5%)	160 (79.2%)			270 (79.9%)	137 (81.1%)	133 (78.7%)			
Surgical history				0.041	0.07				0.060	0.02	
None	259 (64.4%)	121 (60.5%)	138 (68.3%)			206 (60.9%)	98 (58.0%)	108 (63.9%)			
Colon	99 (24.6%)	60 (30.0%)	39 (19.3%)			94 (27.8%)	56 (33.1%)	38 (22.5%)			
Other abdominal organ	44 (10.9%)	19 (9.5%)	25 (12.4%)			38 (11.2%)	15 (8.9%)	23 (13.6%)			
Indication for colonoscopy				0.002	0.32				1.000	0.00	
Screening	207 (51.5%)	89 (44.5%)	118 (58.4%)			174 (51.5%)	87 (51.5%)	87 (51.5%)			
Post operation surveillance	128 (31.8%)	66 (33.0%)	62 (30.7%)			120 (35.5%)	60 (35.5%)	60 (35.5%)			
Patients with symptoms	67 (16.7%)	45 (22.5%)	22 (10.9%)			44 (13.0%)	22 (13.0%)	22 (13.0%)			

Data are expressed as n (%), median (interquartile range). P values were calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test and χ^2 test. d: Standardized mean differences of propensity-matched population; BMI: Body mass index; ASA score: American Society of Anesthesiologists score; BBPS: Boston Bowel Preparation Scale.

min, P = 0.560), PDR (65.9% vs 67.1%, P > 0.999) and ADR (51.1% vs 44.7%, P = 0.488) did not significantly differ between the two groups. Among trainees, the cecal insertion time (6.30 vs 6.27 min, P = 0.831) and the withdrawal time (10.82 vs 13.68 min, P = 0.123) did not significantly different between music vs non-music groups. However, among trainee, the PDR was significantly lower in the music group than in the non-music group (46.9% vs 66.7%, P = 0.016). A significant difference in the ADR was

Table 2 Outcomes of colonoscopy performance with and without music									
	Total (<i>n</i> = 338)	Music (<i>n</i> = 169)	No music (<i>n</i> = 169)	P value					
Polyp detection rate	209 (61.8%)	96 (56.8%)	113 (66.9%)	0.073					
Adenoma detection rate	144 (42.6%)	66 (39.1%)	78 (46.2%)	0.226					
Insertion time (min)	5.09 (3.32-7.33)	4.97 (3.28-7.03)	5.17 (3.43-7.78)	0.795					
Withdrawal time (min)	11.1 (8.48-17.25)	10.57 (8.40-16.35)	11.87 (8.63-17.5)	0.142					

Data are expressed as n (%), median (interquartile range), P values were calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test and χ^2 test.

Table 3 Outcomes of colonoscopy performance according to expert and trainee subgroups									
	Polyp detection rate	Adenoma detection rate	Insertion time (min)	Withdrawal time (min)					
Expert									
Total (<i>n</i> = 173)	115 (66.5%)	83 (48.0%)	3.75 (2.57-5.68)	10.68 (8.22-15.22)					
Music (<i>n</i> = 88)	58 (65.9%)	45 (51.1%)	3.57 (2.59-5.80)	10.30 (7.95-15.27)					
No music (<i>n</i> = 85)	57 (67.1%)	38 (44.7%)	3.83 (2.42-5.65)	10.90 (8.48-14.10)					
<i>P</i> value	> 0.999	0.488	0.852	0.560					
Trainee									
Total (<i>n</i> = 165)	94 (57.0%)	61 (37.0%)	6.30 (4.58-8.82)	12.07 (8.92-19.0)					
Music (<i>n</i> = 81)	38 (46.9%)	21 (25.9%)	6.30 (4.50-8.70)	10.82 (8.78-17.43)					
No music (<i>n</i> = 84)	56 (66.7%)	40 (47.6%)	6.27 (4.78-9.11)	13.68 (9.31-20.33)					
<i>P</i> value	0.016	0.006	0.831	0.123					

Data are expressed as n (%), median (interquartile range), P-values were calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test and χ^2 test.

also noted, with the rate in the music group being significantly lower than that the in non-music group (25.9% vs 47.6%, P = 0.006).

Prognostic factors for adenoma detection and insertion time

Adenoma detection and fast insertion time (< median insertion time of 310 s) were regressed on potential predictors using logistic regression analysis. Among all patients (n = 402), univariable and multivariable analyses found that music was not associated with ADR and fast insertion time (Table 4, Figure 1). Expert endoscopists detected more adenoma, although not statistically significant [odds ratio (OR) = 1.42, P = 0.085], while younger age (OR = 1.04, P < 0.001), women (OR = 0.55, P = 0.004), and surgical history of colon (OR = 0.62, P = 0.048) showed a significant association with lower ADR in univariable and multivariable regression analyses. Expert endoscopist (OR = 4.69, P < 0.001), higher BMI (OR = 1.07, P = 0.023), adequate BBPS (OR = 2.09, P = 0.003), and previous surgical history of colon (OR = 1.05, P = 0.090) were associated with fast insertion time in univariable analyses, and the results of multivariable analyses were the same except for BBPS.

DISCUSSION

Music has been known to provide a positive effect on surgical performance^[12]. On study showed that surgeons who listened to music had reduced operative time and better surgical quality^[5]. As with surgeons, music might influence and consequently improve endoscopist's performance, which can lead to reduced insertion time and increased ADR. In support of this finding, a study by Ardalan *et al*[13] showed that PDR and ADR increased when listening to Star Wars music. However, the current study showed that music did not significantly affect colonoscopy performance. Although endoscopist and patient factors may have played a role in these different results, the type of music may also be a factor. Indeed, one study showed that listening to Mozart music improve task performance during laparoscopic surgery simulations[6]. Furthermore, a study on the effect of different music genres on surgical performance showed better performance when listening to classical music or hip-hop music compared to exposure to mixed radio music or rock[14]. While the endoscopist's preferred Korean pop music,



Table 4 Prognostic factors for colonoscopy performance (n = 402)

	Ne	Univariable analysis ¹		Multivariable a	nalysis ¹	Univariable an	alysis²	Multivariable analysis ²		
	NO.	OR (95%CI)	P value	aOR (95%Cl) P value		OR (95%CI)	P value	aOR (95%CI)	P value	
Music										
No	202	Reference				Reference				
Yes	200	0.86 (0.58-1.28)	0.470			1.24 (0.84-1.84)	0.273			
Endoscopist										
Trainee	207	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Expert	195	1.42 (0.95-2.11)	0.085	1.35 (0.89-2.06)	0.163	4.69 (3.10-7.19)	< 0.001	4.48 (2.87-7.11)	< 0.001	
Age, yr		1.04 (1.02-1.06)	< 0.001	1.05 (1.03-1.07)	< 0.001	0.99 (0.98-1.01)	0.298			
Sex										
Male	212	Reference		Reference		Reference				
Female	190	0.55 (0.37-0.82)	0.004	0.51 (0.34-0.78)	0.002	0.98 (0.66-1.45)	0.916			
BMI, kg/m ²		1.04 (0.98-1.10)	0.193	1.05 (0.99-1.12)	0.123	1.07 (1.01-1.14)	0.023	1.09 (1.02-1.16)	0.010	
BBPS										
Fair	87	Reference				Reference		Reference		
Adequate	315	0.78 (0.48-1.26)	0.303			2.09 (1.29-3.45)	0.003	1.17 (0.67-2.04)	0.583	
Surgical history										
None	259	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Colon	99	0.62 (0.38-0.99)	0.048	0.49 (0.29-0.81)	0.006	1.50 (0.94-2.42)	0.090	1.71 (1.02-2.88)	0.042	
Other	44	0.74 (0.38-1.41)	0.369	0.76 (0.37-1.50)	0.427	0.25 (0.11-0.52)	< 0.001	0.35 (0.15-0.77)	0.012	
Indication										
Screening	207	Reference				Reference				
Post operation surveillance	128	0.76 (0.48-1.19)	0.232			1.09 (0.70-1.69)	0.708			
Patient with symptoms	67	0.88 (0.50-1.53)	0.653			0.76 (0.43-1.31)	0.323			

¹Adenoma detection.

²Fast insertion (< median insertion time of 310 s).

P value for independent variables from logistic regression analysis; No.: Number of patients; OR: Odds ratio; aOR: Adjusted odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; BMI: Body mass index; BBPS: Boston Bowel Preparation Scale.

> which contains mostly lyrics, classical, or Star Wars music has no lyrics. Although a preference for music with lyrics can bring psychological stability, it can actually be a hindrance in terms of improving concentration[15]. Moreover, the volume of the music can influence the efficacy of task performance. Music played too loudly can interfere with communication among operating room staff and act as noise [16], thereby increasing the risk of surgical site infection [17]. As such, we kept the music at 60 dB to facilitate communication.

> Trainees who listened to music had low PDR and ADR. This result was in contrast to that found in the expert group where no significant findings were noted. A previous study found similar results to those presented herein after examining the effects of music on novice surgeons[18,19]. They explained that music could have distracted surgeons as they performed new or complex tasks. These results can also be applied to endoscopy trainees. Endoscopy trainees are unfamiliar with endoscopic manipulation and require frequent assessment of the patient's condition, which inevitably consumes their attention, with music possibly making this situation worse.

> The quality of colonoscopy is best determined by the ADR. Variables that can influence the ADR include age, sex, bowel preparation, and endoscopist experience^[20]. The current study showed that age, sex, and surgical history were independent prognostic factors for adenoma detection. The ADR and age were positively correlated, with men having higher ADRs than women[21]. A history of abdominal or pelvic surgery makes colonoscopy difficult[22]. In particular, colon surgery affects insertion time, and prolonged insertion time reduces ADR[23,24]. A significant difference in the baseline characteristic of surgical history for colonoscopy was observed between the two groups. However, propensity score



A Adenoma detection

Univariable											Odds rat	tio [95%CI]
Music				⊢–	•	_					0.86 ((0.58-1.28)
Endoscopist	Trainee					+					Ref	erence
	Expert					- <u>i</u>		•			1.42 (0	0.95-2.11)
Age (Yr)						i 🏟 i					1.04 (*	1.02-1.06)
Sex	Male					+					Ref	erence
	Female			•							0.55 (0.37-0.82)
BMI (kg/m²)											1.04 (0	0.98-1.10)
BBPS	Fair					+					Ref	erence
	Adequate			I	•						0.78 (0.48-1.26)
Surgical history	None					+					Ref	erence
	Colon			⊢							0.62 ((0.38-0.99)
	Other				•						0.74 ((0.38-1.41)
Indication	Screening					+					Ref	erence
	Post operation su	rveillance			•		-				0.76 ((0.48-1.19)
	Patient with symp	otoms		<u> </u>	•						0.88 ((0.50-1.53
Multivariable											Odds rat	tio [95%CI]
Endoscopist	Trainee					+					Ref	erence
	Expert				ŀ		•				1.35 (0	0.89-2.06)
Age (Yr)						III					1.05 (1	.03-1.07)
Sex	Male					+					Ref	erence
	Female		H	•							0.51 (0	0.34-0.78)
BMI (kg/m²)						⊢ ♦−1					1.05 (0	0.99-1.12)
Surgical history	None					•					Ref	erence
	Colon			•	•						0.49 (0	0.29-0.81)
	Other	_		_	•					_	0.76 (U	J.37-1.50)
		0		0.5		1		1.5		2		
B Fast inser	tion (< medica	an insertion ti	me of 310 s))								
Univariable												Odds ratio [95%CI]
Music												1.24 (0.84-1.84)
Endoscopist Trai Exp	nee ert		Ť								• ?!	Reference 4.69 (3.10-7.19)
Age(Years)			1									0.99 (0.98-1.01)
Sex Ivia Fen	e nale	,										0.98 (0.66-1.45)
BMI(kg/m ²) BBPS Fair			i⊷ ↓									1.07 (1.01-1.14) Reference
Ade	quate		+		+							2.09 (1.29-3.45)
Surgical history Nor Col	ne on		, †	•								Reference 1.50 (0.94-2.42)
Oth	er	⊢ •−−−1	1									0.25 (0.11-0.52)
Pos Pati	ening t operation surveillance ent with symptoms	,	•									1.09 (0.70-1.69) 0.76 (0.43-1.31)
Multivariable												Odds ratio [95%CI]
Endoscopist Trai	nee		÷.									Reference
Exp BML (kg/m ²)	ert						H			•		4.48 (2.87-7.11)
BBPS Fair			+									Reference
Ade Surgical history Nor	quate		+ *									1.17 (0.67-2.04) Reference
Col Oth	on er	++	<u>}</u>	•								1.71 (1.02-2.88) 0.35 (0.15-0.77)
		0 0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	» 7	

Figure 1 Forest plot for the prognostic factor of colonoscopy performance. A: Adenoma detection; B: Fast insertion. BMI: Body mass index; BBPS: Boston Bowel Preparation Scale; 95%CI: 95% confidence interval.

4 DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v15.i5.397 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

matching was performed to minimize the differences in factors that may affect colonoscopy performance.

The role of music in medicine has been growing and expanding. Evidence has shown that music may reduce congestive heart failure by reducing plasma cytokine and catecholamine levels, thereby enhancing parasympathetic activity[25]. Moreover, it influences brain activation and can be helpful in neurorehabilitation[26]. As such, we sought to determine how these positive effects of music might affect colonoscopy performance. Safe, high-quality colonoscopy is important for colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis, as well as treatment of colorectal diseases. High-quality colonoscopy by endoscopists can reduce the incidence of intermittent cancer^[27]. However, colonoscopy is a relatively invasive procedure that can cause complications and pain in patients and requires high concentration by endoscopy specialists^[28]. Although studies have confirmed the positive effects of music in patients undergoing colonoscopy, no data have been available regarding its effects on the operator. Through this study, we confirmed that music did not have a significant effect on the performance of colonoscopy. Nonetheless, we expect that more studies will be conducted on this matter based on our findings.

The current study has some limitations worth noting. First, given the retrospective nature of our study, selection bias may have occurred. To reduce this bias, we created two groups by matching patients according to indications, age, and sex after they had started listening to music during



WJGE | https://www.wjgnet.com

colonoscopy at the hospital. A randomized study on the effect of music on colonoscopy is needed in the future. Second, the segmentation of abdominal surgery history was insufficient. Although gastric and pelvic surgery may have different effects on colonoscopy performance, we did not divide our patients according to surgery type. Given that pelvic surgery is mostly conducted among women, sex differences should be analyzed; however, the insufficient number of patients prevented us from doing so. Third, the genre of music was limited. While the most preferred and familiar Korean pop music was selected, diversifying the music is necessary considering that the presence of lyrics and music genre may affect colonoscopy performance.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, listening to music during colonoscopy did not affect procedure performances. Moreover, our findings suggested that listening to music during colonoscopy can distract trainee's ability to detect adenomas and polyps.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Music has been used to improve task performance and relieving the surgeon's tension in operating rooms. There are no studies related to the effects of music on the performance of endoscopists.

Research motivation

The role of music in medicine has been growing. Listening to music during colonoscopy affect performance of endoscopists.

Research objectives

The study aimed to assess the effects of music on colonoscopy performance outcomes.

Research methods

We retrospectively reviewed patients who underwent colonoscopy performed by endoscopists with popular music. Colonoscopy performance outcomes, such as cecal insertion time, adenoma detection rate (ADR), were compared between the music and non-music groups. The study was performed by propensity score matching to reduce selection bias.

Research results

After one-to-one propensity score matching, 169 colonoscopies were selected for each group. The cecal insertion time and ADR did not significantly differ between both groups. In trainees, ADR (25.9% vs 47.6%, P = 0.006) were significantly lower in the music than in the non-music group.

Research conclusions

The current study found that listening to music during colonoscopy did not affect procedure performance. Moreover, it suggested that music may distract trainees from appropriately detecting adenomas.

Research perspectives

A randomized study on the effect of music on colonoscopy is needed in the future.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Lee HS contributed to study design, acquisition and critically reviewed the manuscript; Choi EJ contributed to the data interpretation, and drafting the manuscript; Lee HS, Yoon JS, Yu SJ and Lee JH performed the endoscopy; Yi SW contributed to statistical analysis; Lee HS, Choi EJ, and Lee HB edited the manuscript; Kim MP and Chung BC collected data; Jee SR and Lee SH provided clinical advice and supervised the report; and all authors have read and approve the final manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Busan Paik Hospital and was conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines stated in the Declaration of Helsinki (IRB number: 2020-01-192).

Informed consent statement: Requirement for informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board given



that the researchers only retrospectively accessed a de-identified database for analysis purposes.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: South Korea

ORCID number: Eun Jeong Choi 0000-0003-0897-8815; Sam Ryong Jee 0000-0002-7928-1153; Sang Heon Lee 0000-0001-8210-0889; Jun Sik Yoon 0000-0003-4328-3955; Seung Jung Yu 0000-0003-3725-3901; Jong Hyun Lee 0000-0002-4979-5946; Han Byul Lee 0000-0002-5945-3237; Sang Wook Yi 0000-0002-6656-6205; Myeong Pyo Kim 0000-0002-1764-4502; Byung Cheol Chung 0000-0002-0403-8490; Hong Sub Lee 0000-0002-2962-0209.

S-Editor: Wang JJ L-Editor: A P-Editor: Cai YX

REFERENCES

- Lee JH. The Effects of Music on Pain: A Meta-Analysis. J Music Ther 2016; 53: 430-477 [PMID: 27760797 DOI: 1 10.1093/jmt/thw012]
- Ullmann Y, Fodor L, Schwarzberg I, Carmi N, Ullmann A, Ramon Y. The sounds of music in the operating room. Injury 2 2008; 39: 592-597 [PMID: 16989832 DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2006.06.021]
- 3 Anton NE, Athanasiadis DI, Karipidis T, Keen AY, Karim A, Cha J, Walke N, Stefanidis D. Surgeon stress negatively affects their non-technical skills in the operating room. Am J Surg 2021; 222: 1154-1157 [PMID: 33549296 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2021.01.035
- Allen K, Blascovich J. Effects of music on cardiovascular reactivity among surgeons. JAMA 1994; 272: 882-884 [PMID: 4 7811324]
- El Boghdady M, Ewalds-Kvist BM. The influence of music on the surgical task performance: A systematic review. Int J 5 Surg 2020; 73: 101-112 [PMID: 31760139 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2019.11.012]
- Wiseman MC. The Mozart effect on task performance in a laparoscopic surgical simulator. Surg Innov 2013; 20: 444-453 6 [PMID: 23154636 DOI: 10.1177/1553350612462482]
- Winawer SJ, Zauber AG, Ho MN, O'Brien MJ, Gottlieb LS, Sternberg SS, Waye JD, Schapiro M, Bond JH, Panish JF. Prevention of colorectal cancer by colonoscopic polypectomy. The National Polyp Study Workgroup. N Engl J Med 1993; 329: 1977-1981 [PMID: 8247072 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199312303292701]
- Ko SY, Leung DY, Wong EM. Effects of easy listening music intervention on satisfaction, anxiety, and pain in patients 8 undergoing colonoscopy: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Clin Interv Aging 2019; 14: 977-986 [PMID: 31213784 DOI: 10.2147/CIA.S207191]
- Ma WT, Mahadeva S, Kunanayagam S, Poi PJ, Goh KL. Colonoscopy in elderly Asians: a prospective evaluation in 9 routine clinical practice. J Dig Dis 2007; 8: 77-81 [PMID: 17532819 DOI: 10.1111/j.1443-9573.2007.00289.x]
- Celebi D, Yılmaz E, Şahin ST, Baydur H. The effect of music therapy during colonoscopy on pain, anxiety and patient 10 comfort: A randomized controlled trial. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2020; 38: 101084 [PMID: 32056820 DOI: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2019.101084]
- Harikumar R, Raj M, Paul A, Harish K, Kumar SK, Sandesh K, Asharaf S, Thomas V. Listening to music decreases need 11 for sedative medication during colonoscopy: a randomized, controlled trial. Indian J Gastroenterol 2006; 25: 3-5 [PMID: 16567885
- Oomens P, Fu VX, Kleinrensink GJ, Jeekel J. The effect of music on simulated surgical performance: a systematic review. 12 *Surg Endosc* 2019; **33**: 2774-2784 [PMID: 31140001 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-019-06868-x]
- 13 Ardalan ZS, Vasudevan A, Hew S, Schulberg J, Lontos S. The Value of Audio Devices in the Endoscopy Room (VADER) study: a randomised controlled trial. Med J Aust 2015; 203: 472-475 [PMID: 26654625 DOI: 10.5694/mja15.01096]
- Nees LK, Grozinger P, Orthmann N, Rippinger N, Hennigs A, Sohn C, Domschke C, Wallwiener M, Rom J, Riedel F. 14 The Influence of Different Genres of Music on the Performance of Medical Students on Standardized Laparoscopic Exercises. J Surg Educ 2021; 78: 1709-1716 [PMID: 33812805 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2021.03.008]
- Shih YN, Huang RH, Chiang HY. Background music: effects on attention performance. Work 2012; 42: 573-578 [PMID: 15 22523045 DOI: 10.3233/WOR-2012-1410]
- Way TJ, Long A, Weihing J, Ritchie R, Jones R, Bush M, Shinn JB. Effect of noise on auditory processing in the 16 operating room. J Am Coll Surg 2013; 216: 933-938 [PMID: 23518255 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2012.12.048]
- Kurmann A, Peter M, Tschan F, Mühlemann K, Candinas D, Beldi G. Adverse effect of noise in the operating theatre on 17 surgical-site infection. Br J Surg 2011; 98: 1021-1025 [PMID: 21618484 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.7496]



- Miskovic D, Rosenthal R, Zingg U, Oertli D, Metzger U, Jancke L. Randomized controlled trial investigating the effect of 18 music on the virtual reality laparoscopic learning performance of novice surgeons. Surg Endosc 2008; 22: 2416-2420 [PMID: 18622551 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-008-0040-8]
- Belykh E, Onaka NR, Abramov IT, Yağmurlu K, Byvaltsev VA, Spetzler RF, Nakaj P, Preul MC. Systematic Review of 19 Factors Influencing Surgical Performance: Practical Recommendations for Microsurgical Procedures in Neurosurgery. World Neurosurg 2018; 112: e182-e207 [PMID: 29325962 DOI: 10.1016/j.wneu.2018.01.005]
- Adler A, Wegscheider K, Lieberman D, Aminalai A, Aschenbeck J, Drossel R, Mayr M, Mroß M, Scheel M, Schröder A, 20 Gerber K, Stange G, Roll S, Gauger U, Wiedenmann B, Altenhofen L, Rosch T. Factors determining the quality of screening colonoscopy: a prospective study on adenoma detection rates, from 12,134 examinations (Berlin colonoscopy project 3, BECOP-3). Gut 2013; 62: 236-241 [PMID: 22442161 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-300167]
- Cai B, Liu Z, Xu Y, Wei W, Zhang S. Adenoma detection rate in 41,010 patients from Southwest China. Oncol Lett 2015; 21 9: 2073-2077 [PMID: 26137015 DOI: 10.3892/ol.2015.3005]
- 22 Lee SK, Kim TI, Shin SJ, Kim BC, Kim WH. Impact of prior abdominal or pelvic surgery on colonoscopy outcomes. J Clin Gastroenterol 2006; 40: 711-716 [PMID: 16940884 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-200609000-00010]
- Jang HW, Cheon JH, Nam CM, Moon CM, Lee JH, Jeon SM, Park JJ, Kim TI, Kim WH. Factors affecting insertion time 23 for colonoscopy performed under intramuscular analgesia in patients with history of colorectal resection. Surg Endosc 2011; 25: 2316-2322 [PMID: 21298530 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-010-1555-3]
- von Renteln D, Robertson DJ, Bensen S, Pohl H. Prolonged cecal insertion time is associated with decreased adenoma 24 detection. Gastrointest Endosc 2017; 85: 574-580 [PMID: 27590962 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2016.08.021]
- 25 Okada K, Kurita A, Takase B, Otsuka T, Kodani E, Kusama Y, Atarashi H, Mizuno K. Effects of music therapy on autonomic nervous system activity, incidence of heart failure events, and plasma cytokine and catecholamine levels in elderly patients with cerebrovascular disease and dementia. Int Heart J 2009; 50: 95-110 [PMID: 19246850 DOI: 10.1536/ihj.50.95]
- Galińska E. Music therapy in neurological rehabilitation settings. Psychiatr Pol 2015; 49: 835-846 [PMID: 26488358 26 DOI: 10.12740/PP/25557]
- Cha JM. Colonoscopy Quality is the Answer for the Emerging Issue of Interval Cancer. Intest Res 2014; 12: 110-116 27 [PMID: 25349577 DOI: 10.5217/ir.2014.12.2.110]
- Church J. Complications of colonoscopy. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2013; 42: 639-657 [PMID: 23931864 DOI: 28 10.1016/j.gtc.2013.05.003]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

