



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*

Manuscript NO: 83453

Title: Clinical implication of platelets to lymphocyte ratio in early onset preeclampsia: A single-center experience

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06276040

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Iraq

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-26 07:30

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-26 07:38

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

More coherent conclusions should be made and language grammar should be observed more



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*

Manuscript NO: 83453

Title: Clinical implication of platelets to lymphocyte ratio in early onset preeclampsia: A single-center experience

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06484159

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc, MPhil

Professional title: Academic Research, Research Assistant, Research Scientist, Statistician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ghana

Author's Country/Territory: Iraq

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-27 20:07

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-04 16:18

Review time: 7 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty



Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good study with interesting findings on PLR. Notwithstanding, there are some comments I think should be attended to to improve the current nature of the write-up: The title of the article at first glance projects the study to be on a broader/ global scale but reading the methods and results do confirm, with comments from limitation, this is a single facility/center study. I recommended the title is updated to include the study location. The abstract does summarize the relevant findings and the introduction provides recent literature on every aspect of the study. The study design did indicate this as a cross-sectional study. I suggest the design is made a longitudinal study since participants were followed up until time of delivery. Cross-sectional studies do not require follow ups. There are also some typographical errors and ambiguities mostly in the methodology, if the the sentences could be rephrased to remove all ambiguities. (The writer projects all readers know and are familiar with the study and its location) The



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

phrase 'University Hospital' was stated in the methodology as the site of the study. I recommend the full name of the hospital be provided instead. From Maternal assessment: there is no indication informed consent was sort from the study participants. Do capture it and indicate at which point it was sort. Again, the name of the ethical review committee was not provided although a number was provided. Please do provide the full name of the ethical review committee that approved the study. In table 2, you captured the indications for CS delivery. I was hoping to see a discussion of the results. With the monitoring of PLR among pregnant women, key indicators of EoPE and FGR would be identified and such complications could be prevented to to improve both maternal and child health. Well done once again.