

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 83904

Title: Faecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: A

systematic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03597656 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Denmark

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-22 09:17

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-26 07:19

Review time: 3 Days and 22 Hours

	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C:
Novelty of this manuscript	Fair
	[] Grade D: No novelty



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent[] Grade B: Good[] Grade C:[] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The current meta-analysis has the potential, however, there are some issues before publication as follows: 1- It is mentioned that "We exclude trials with quasi-random designs and cluster randomised controlled trials"; it is better to include also these studies and by performing a subgroup analysis only separate RCTs from non-RCTs. 2-Subgroup and sensitivity analysis should move before that statistical analysis section. 3- Data collection and analysis needs citation and you can add the following citations: 10.1093/ptj/pzab144; and also 10.1016/j.joim.2023.01.003 4- Instead of GRADE criteria, Cochrane risk of bias tool should be performed to assess the quality of RCTs. Although, in the method section Cochrane risk of bias tool is mentioned, in the abstract not. 5- In statistical section: "Heterogeneity was evaluated based on visual inspection of forest plots, expressing heterogeneity as I2 values using the following thresholds: 0% to 40% (unimportant), 40% to 60% (moderate), 60% to 80% (substantial), and >80%



(considerable), and the P value for the chi-squared test." This needs citation and you can add the following citations: 10.1016/j.physio.2021.04.005 6- Data synthesis should be also move before that statistical analysis section.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 83904

Title: Faecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: A

systematic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06120768 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Associate Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Denmark

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-06 03:03

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-13 06:17

Review time: 7 Days and 3 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C:
Novelty of this manuscript	Fair
	[] Grade D: No novelty



https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is written in a standardized manner with a clear and appropriate methodology. It is recommended to add investigation of the causes of heterogeneity and sensitivity analysis.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 83904

Title: Faecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: A

systematic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05355546 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Denmark

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-02 10:57

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-15 11:44

Review time: 13 Days

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C:
Novelty of this manuscript	Fair
	[] Grade D: No novelty



https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review combined findings from eight randomised clinical trials that assessed the efficacy of FMT in 465 IBS patients demonstrating no significant difference in the improvement of symptoms in the FMT group compared to the placebo group, the authors did not find evidence to support the use of FMT for IBS patients outside of clinical trials in this systematic review and meta-analysis. There are still some questions: 1.In the review, participants were diagnosed with IBS according to a physician's opinion or accepted symptom-based diagnostic criteria, e.g., Rome III or IV criteria). Whether different diagnose standards and paths have an impact on the results? 2.In the review, Eight RCTs (a total of 502 participants) with different FMT types, quantity of FMT given, route of administration, type of donor, frequency of administration participants with IBS classified according to subtype, were included. Whether these factors lack of coherence have an impact on the results of investigating the effectiveness of FMT compared to



placebo (including autologous FMT) in IBS?



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 83904

Title: Faecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: A

systematic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06120768

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Associate Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Denmark

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-10 06:57

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-10 07:06

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am satisfied with this version of the manuscript.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 83904

Title: Faecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: A

systematic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03597656 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Denmark

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-10 08:02

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-10 08:06

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

none