

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 83941

Title: Exploratory Systematic Review and Meta-analysis on Period Poverty

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05789838

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-24 15:15

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-26 02:31

Review time: 1 Day and 11 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The purpose of this study was to provide a comprehensive understanding on period poverty, including outcomes associated menstruation. I believe this is a meaningful study, but the following issues need attention before publication. 1. Specify what makes this article different from the rest of studies that are available in the literature. 2. The conclusion section needs to list the conclusions of this study and not write irrelevant content. 3. It should contain the limitations of the study and clearly list the shortcomings of the study. This manuscript is generally satisfactory, and the authors are advised to further revise the conclusion section and limitation section.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis Manuscript NO: 83941 Title: Exploratory Systematic Review and Meta-analysis on Period Poverty Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 05238706 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MSc, MSN Professional title: MSN, Statistician, Teacher, Technician Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-17 Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-10 09:18 Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-10 09:19

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

accept