Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: Dear editors and authors of the manuscript! The text of the manuscript contains full-fledged data about the described topic concerning the solid-tubulocystic variant of iCCA. The main points of morphological verification of this malignant type of cancer are reflected. A special place is occupied by immunohistochemical diagnostics of solid-tubulocystic variant of iCCA. I also recommend that the authors, if possible, pay attention to the following manuscript, which is also relevant to the disease affected by the authors. Her data: Ayvazyan K.A., Gurmikov B.N., Anvari R.O., Stepanova Yu.A., Kalinin D.V., Glotov A.V., Shirokov V.S., Marinova L.A., Kadirova M.V., Malyshenko E.S., Zhao A.V. Cholangioblastic liver carcinoma. Annaly khirurgicheskoy gepatologii = Annals of HPB Surgery. 2022;27(4):125-134. (In Russ.) https://doi.org/10.16931/1995-5464.2022-4-125-134. The title, keywords and abstract correspond to the content of the manuscript. Tables and figures fully complement the text of the research article. The references are relevant and correlate with the main text of the manuscript. The material will be in demand by the scientific medical community.

Reply to reviewer #1:

Thanks for the positive comments. We initially only included English literature from Pubmed on this new topic. Thanks for pointing out this 2022 published case report in Russian. We have included this case report in this mini-review paper, now as reference #10.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (High priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: The work by Iván A González et al described a new variant of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA), named as solid-tubulocystic variant of iCCA. This review is very interesting and valuable. And the manuscript was well written. I would like to recommend the acceptance of this paper even without any revision.

Reply to reviewer #2:

Thank you for your positive comments.