

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 84705

Title: Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics and survival outcomes between Gallbladder mucinous adenocarcinoma and gallbladder adenocarcinoma: a propensity score-matched study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03645449
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran
Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-25

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-27 18:57

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-04 07:20

Review time: 6 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, the manuscript provides a comprehensive analysis of the clinicopathological characteristics and prognostic factors for gallbladder mucinous adenocarcinoma (GBMAC). The study is well-structured and provides valuable insights into the management of this rare type of cancer. However, there are some suggestions for revisions that may improve the manuscript: JOURNAL'S GUIDELINE • You should follow the journal's style; for example, the title should be no more than 18 words, or abstract should not be less than 35. ABSTRACT • Please explain the abbreviation INTRODUCTION • You should add more studies relevant to your topic recently published. • Please state the clinical impressions of your study in the last paragraph of the Introduction. What problems remain unanswered? What are questions responding to? METHODS • Please report your manuscript as per the STROBE guideline. • Please explain the abbreviations in all figures and tables of the manuscript (e.g., in



https://www.wjgnet.com

footnotes). • In the patient selection section, it is not clear why the study period was limited to patients diagnosed between January 2010 and December 2017. It would be helpful to provide a rationale for this. • Does your study have an approved code for the ethics? DISCUSSION • What were your strength points?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 84705

Title: Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics and survival outcomes between Gallbladder mucinous adenocarcinoma and gallbladder adenocarcinoma: a propensity score-matched study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03990797 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-25

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-20 10:14

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-20 10:45

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty



https://www.wjgnet.com

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very well organized study with proper methodology and sound statistics. There are some minor grammatical issues that can be easily corrected. I have one question / suggestion about the results. Other than aggressive behavior that is most probably attributable to advanced stage the marital status is a significant determinant of the disease. The authors should at least discuss the probable explanatory hypotheses. Since the majority of these unmarried patients are female, there can be a correlation with estrogen exposure. Is it possible to know for sure, those unmarried women are also nullipar?



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 84705

Title: Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics and survival outcomes between Gallbladder mucinous adenocarcinoma and gallbladder adenocarcinoma: a propensity score-matched study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03645449

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-25

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-05 04:18

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-05 04:19

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for the revisions.