

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 84966

Title: RKIP combined with P-ERK protein has significant clinical and prognostic value in

GIST

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06140461 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Associate

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-27 08:35

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-04 01:25

Review time: 6 Days and 16 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title reflect the main subject of the manuscript. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study. The manuscript describe methods in adequate detail. The research objectives achieved by the experiments are used in this study. The manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. The findings and their relevance to the literature are stated in a clear and definite manner. The discussion is accurate and it discuss the paper's scientific significance and relevance to clinical practice sufficiently. The diagrams and tables are sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents. The manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics. The manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style, language and grammar is accurate and appropriate. The manuscript is a retrospective Cohort study, the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research



methods and reporting. The manuscript met the requirements of ethics.

AUTHOR'S ANSWER to Reviewer (code: 06140461):

Honorable Reviewer:

Thank you for your dedication and revision suggestions for my paper.

- 1. I have carefully addressed the minor issues regarding the article's formatting and made the necessary revisions.
- 2. Additionally, I have refined the language in the article and enlisted the assistance of professional native speakers to review and verify the changes.

Best regards and sincere thanks to you.

Authors of Manuscript No. 84966



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 84966

Title: RKIP combined with P-ERK protein has significant clinical and prognostic value in

GIST

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06100461 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-27 08:26

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-06 03:19

Review time: 8 Days and 18 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is very interesting. The authors proposed to analyze the relationship between the RKIP, P-ERK, and P-MEK proteins in GIST with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of this disease. The tables and figures help the readers to make a more understanding of the study. The whole manuscript is well drafted; however, some concerns have been noted including: 1. The manuscript required a minor revision, both for the language and the format. 2. The limit of the study should be discussed.



AUTHOR'S ANSWER to Reviewer (code: 06100461):

Honorable Reviewer:

Thank you very much for your thorough and meticulous feedback on my article. I highly appreciate and agree with your suggestions. I have carefully reviewed and made revisions to the article in accordance with your comments.

- 1. I have checked and made modifications to the article format, such as abbreviations, tables, and image captions, in accordance with the journal requirements and your suggested changes.
- 2. I have refined the language of the article based on your feedback and adhered to the writing conventions of scientific research papers. Additionally, I have engaged a professional native speaker to conduct a final review and editing.
- 3. At the end of the article, I have provided detailed explanations of the limitations of the study and proposed potential improvement measures.

Once again, I would like to express my sincere gratitude for your responsible and scientifically rigorous evaluation.

Yours sincerely,

Authors of **NO.**84966 **Manuscript**