


World Journal of *Stem Cells*

World J Stem Cells 2023 July 26; 15(7): 654-780



OPINION REVIEW

- 654 Could extracellular vesicles derived from mesenchymal stem cells be a potential therapy for acute pancreatitis-induced cardiac injury?
Pan LF, Niu ZQ, Ren S, Pei HH, Gao YX, Feng H, Sun JL, Zhang ZL

REVIEW

- 665 Human retinal secretome: A cross-link between mesenchymal and retinal cells
Donato L, Scimone C, Alibrandi S, Scalinci SZ, Mordà D, Rinaldi C, D'Angelo R, Sidoti A

MINIREVIEWS

- 687 Neural stem cells for Parkinson's disease management: Challenges, nanobased support, and prospects
Oz T, Kaushik A, Kujawska M

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Basic Study**

- 701 Commitment of human mesenchymal stromal cells to skeletal lineages is independent of their morphogenetic capacity
Marin-Llera JC, García-García D, Garay-Pacheco E, Adrian Cortes-Morales V, Montesinos-Montesinos JJ, Chimal-Monroy J
- 713 Transplantation of human induced pluripotent stem cell derived keratinocytes accelerates deep second-degree burn wound healing
Wu LJ, Lin W, Liu JJ, Chen WX, He WJ, Shi Y, Liu X, Li K
- 734 Generation of a human haploid neural stem cell line for genome-wide genetic screening
Wang HS, Ma XR, Niu WB, Shi H, Liu YD, Ma NZ, Zhang N, Jiang ZW, Sun YP
- 751 Zinc enhances the cell adhesion, migration, and self-renewal potential of human umbilical cord derived mesenchymal stem cells
Sahibdad I, Khalid S, Chaudhry GR, Salim A, Begum S, Khan I
- 768 Injectable hydrogel made from antler mesenchyme matrix for regenerative wound healing *via* creating a fetal-like niche
Zhang GK, Ren J, Li JP, Wang DX, Wang SN, Shi LY, Li CY

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Stem Cells*, Giovanni Di Bernardo, BSc, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Experimental Medicine, Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Section, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples 80138, Italy. gianni.dibernardo@unicampania.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Stem Cells* (*WJSC*, *World J Stem Cells*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of stem cells with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. *WJSC* publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of stem cell biology and regenerative medicine, related to the wide range of stem cells including embryonic stem cells, germline stem cells, tissue-specific stem cells, adult stem cells, mesenchymal stromal cells, induced pluripotent stem cells, embryonal carcinoma stem cells, hemangioblasts, lymphoid progenitor cells, *etc.*

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJSC* is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Biological Abstracts, BIOSIS Previews, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for *WJSC* as 4.1; IF without journal self cites: 3.9; 5-year IF: 4.5; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.53; Ranking: 15 among 29 journals in cell and tissue engineering; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 99 among 191 journals in cell biology; and Quartile category: Q3. The *WJSC*'s CiteScore for 2022 is 8.0 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2022: Histology is 9/57; Genetics is 68/325; Genetics (clinical) is 19/90; Molecular Biology is 119/380; Cell Biology is 95/274.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Xiang-Di Zhang*; Production Department Director: *Xu Guo*; Editorial Office Director: *Jia-Ru Fan*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Stem Cells

ISSN

ISSN 1948-0210 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

December 31, 2009

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Shengwen Calvin Li, Carlo Ventura

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-0210/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

July 26, 2023

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Basic Study

Injectable hydrogel made from antler mesenchyme matrix for regenerative wound healing *via* creating a fetal-like niche

Guo-Kun Zhang, Jing Ren, Ji-Ping Li, Dong-Xu Wang, Sheng-Nan Wang, Li-Yan Shi, Chun-Yi Li

Specialty type: Dermatology**Provenance and peer review:**

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**Grade A (Excellent): A
Grade B (Very good): B, B
Grade C (Good): C, C
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0**P-Reviewer:** Haque N, Bangladesh;
Maurya DK, India; Nagaya M,
Japan; Zhang H, China; Li SC,
United States**Received:** April 28, 2023**Peer-review started:** April 28, 2023**First decision:** June 7, 2023**Revised:** June 9, 2023**Accepted:** July 11, 2023**Article in press:** July 11, 2023**Published online:** July 26, 2023**Guo-Kun Zhang, Jing Ren, Ji-Ping Li, Dong-Xu Wang, Sheng-Nan Wang, Chun-Yi Li**, Institute of Antler Science and Product Technology, Changchun Sci-Tech University, Changchun 130600, Jilin Province, China**Jing Ren, Chun-Yi Li**, College of Chinese Medicinal Materials, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, Jilin Province, China**Li-Yan Shi**, China-Japan Union Hospital, Jilin University, Changchun 130033, Jilin Province, China**Corresponding author:** Chun-Yi Li, PhD, Professor, Institute of Antler Science and Product Technology, Changchun Sci-Tech University, No. 1345 Pudong Road, Changchun 130600, Jilin Province, China. lichunyi1959@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Scar formation and loss of cutaneous appendages are the greatest challenges in cutaneous wound healing. Previous studies have indicated that antler reserve mesenchyme (RM) cells and their conditioned medium improved regenerative wound healing with partial recovery of cutaneous appendages.

AIM

To develop hydrogels from the antler RM matrix (HARM) and evaluate the effect on wound healing.

METHODS

We prepared the hydrogels from the HARM *via* enzymatic solubilization with pepsin. Then we investigated the therapeutic effects of HARM on a full-thickness cutaneous wound healing rat model using both local injections surrounding the wound and topical wound application.

RESULTS

The results showed that HARM accelerated wound healing rate and reduced scar formation. Also, HARM stimulated the regeneration of cutaneous appendages and blood vessels, and reduced collagen fiber aggregation. Further study showed that these functions might be achieved *via* creating a fetal-like niche at the wound site. The levels of fetal wound healing-related genes, including Collagen III and TGF β 3 treated with HARM were all increased, while the expression levels of

Collagen I, TGF β 1, and Engrailed 1 were decreased in the healing. Moreover, the number of stem cells was increased in the fetal-like niche created by HARM, which may contribute to the regeneration of cutaneous appendages.

CONCLUSION

Overall, we successfully developed an injectable hydrogel made from antler RM matrix for the regenerative repair of full-thickness cutaneous wounds. We uncovered the molecular mechanism of the hydrogels in promoting regenerative wound healing, and thus pave the way for HARM to be developed for the clinic use.

Key Words: Hydrogel; Antler reserve mesenchyme; Regenerative wound healing; Fetal-like niche

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Our study developed an injectable hydrogel made from antler reserve mesenchyme (a tissue suitable for stem cells) matrix for the regenerative repair of full-thickness cutaneous wounds. Moreover, we uncovered the molecular mechanism of the hydrogels in promoting regenerative wound healing, and thus pave the way for HARM to be developed for the clinic use.

Citation: Zhang GK, Ren J, Li JP, Wang DX, Wang SN, Shi LY, Li CY. Injectable hydrogel made from antler mesenchyme matrix for regenerative wound healing *via* creating a fetal-like niche. *World J Stem Cells* 2023; 15(7): 768-780

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-0210/full/v15/i7/768.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4252/wjsc.v15.i7.768>

INTRODUCTION

As the biggest organ, skin serves multiple critical protective functions, including preventing harmful microorganism invasion, minimizing body fluid evaporation, and blockage of UV damage[1,2]. Healing in adult skin wounds usually results in scar formation, and scar consists of thick bundles of collagen fibers in the dermis and lacks functional cutaneous appendages (such as hair follicles and sebaceous glands). Scarring can result in patients experiencing bodily discomfort, including heat intolerance, pruritus, thermoregulatory and sensory disabilities, and psychological distress[3,4]. Therefore, realization of regenerative wound healing is the dream in clinics.

Biomaterials, especially the extracellular matrix (ECM) hydrogels, have become a promising strategy for treating severe skin injury[5-7]. ECM provides specific physical and chemical cues that regulate cell behaviors such as cell survival, proliferation, differentiation, and migration[8,9]. Thus far, quite a few types of ECM hydrogels from different animal tissues have been developed, including pig skin, bladder, and human placenta[10,11], and applied for inducing appendage regeneration in adult rat/mouse skin injury models. These hydrogels, however, have limited potential to maintain proliferation, differentiation, and migration of the cells resident in them, resulting in insufficient regeneration of nerves, blood vessels and cutaneous appendages in the healing wounds[10,11]. Therefore, the development of more effective alternative ECM hydrogels is highly desirable.

Deer antlers are the only mammalian organ that can fully regenerate after being lost[12-14]. Antler regeneration begins with regenerative healing of the wounds left after the previous antler casting. Studies show that this regenerative wound healing depends entirely on the adjacent pedicle periosteum (PP) or the PP-derived reserve mesenchyme (RM)[15,16]. The RM cells are a type of adult mesenchymal stem cell but with some embryonic stem cell properties[12,13]. The RM-derived paracrine factors are potential candidates that stimulate cell proliferation, migration and regenerative wound healing[17]. Recently, we applied RM cells through injection to treat rat cutaneous wounds and found that the healed skin consisted of basket-wave-like collagen fibers and numerous cutaneous appendages[18], thus at least partially achieving regenerative wound healing. The ability of the RM cells to stimulate regenerative wound healing is believed to rely on paracrine factors, because the RM cells did not survive in rats for more than 14 d[18]. Subsequently, we topically applied RM-cell-conditioned medium to treat the full-thickness wounds in rats and found that outcome of the healed wounds was comparable to that of using RM cells in a regenerative manner[19]. Therefore, we speculated that the ECM hydrogels prepared from the RM tissue should have similar if not better effects, as the ECM is the secretion of RM cells. Importantly, as a novel injectable hydrogel, antler RM matrix (HARM) is simpler to apply compared to RM cells or RM cell conditioned medium, as it does not need other carrier materials. At the same time, it can also be used as a carrier material for other factors for skin injury treatment.

This study reported the effects of HARM on the healing of full-thickness cutaneous wounds in rats. HARM had a stronger potential to promote skin cell proliferation and migration compared with the hydrogels from porcine urinary bladder matrix (HPUB, as a control), resulting in better wound healing quality. We believe that the regenerative wound healing achieved using the HARM is highly likely through creating a fetal-like niche in the wound area. Thus, HARM has the potential to be developed as a treatment for regenerative wound healing in clinics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of HARM

The preparation of HARM is described in the previous study[5]. Briefly, three 2-branch-antlers were collected from three healthy sika deer (*Cervus nippon*) about 30 d after casting of the previous hard antler buttons in a commercial deer farm (Purchased from Dongao Deer Farm). RM tissue located in the antler growth center of each antler tip was sampled and cut into small pieces (1 mm³) using two handheld scalpel blades and rinsed with normal saline (NS), ground in liquid nitrogen to obtain RM powder. The RM powder was further mixed with pepsin in 0.01 M HCl with the ratio of 10:1:1 (w:w:v) and the solution was kept shaking at a constant rate of 200 rpm for 48 h at 25 °C. The final pH of the resultant solution (3.0 to 4.0) was adjusted to 7.4 by adding 0.1 M NaOH to inactivate pepsin. HARM was formed when 10 × PBS was added into the solution and stored at 37 °C for 1 h (Supplementary Figure 1A). The predetermined concentration of the hydrogels was made by adding cool 1 × PBS (4 °C). HPUB, a previously reported ECM hydrogel[20], was prepared by the same procedure as HARM.

Surface morphology of the hydrogels was visualized using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEO JSM-5600LV, Japan) at a voltage of 10 kV. Lyophilized-HARM (Supplementary Figure 1B) were sputter-coated with a thin layer of gold before observation.

Two-dimensional cell culture

The cell lines of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) and NIH 3T3 fibroblasts were cryopreserved in our laboratory. The mouse adipose mesenchymal stem cells (ADSC) and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSC) were obtained from Prof. Yimin Wang of China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University. The cells were expanded in DMEM media supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin in an incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂.

3D cell culture and related assays

Hydrogels were sterilized with UV for 30 min; then cells (1 × 10⁵/mL) were mixed into the hydrogels and seeded in 96-well plates incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 30 min. The growth medium was then added to each hydrogel and changed every 2 d. The related cells (HUVEC, NIH 3T3, ADSC, BMSC, ADSC, and BMSC) and assays were listed in Table 1.

Creation and treatment of full-thickness wounds in a rat model

Thirty 8-wk-old female SD rats (200-220 g/rat) were purchased from Liaoning Changsheng Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Liaoning, China) and were raised under standard laboratory animal feeding conditions. All the rats received humane care, and all study protocols and procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Changchun Sci-Tech University (Approval No. CKAR12020012). For skin wounding, rats were anesthetized with 3% pentobarbital sodium (30 mg/kg), and then the hair was removed from the area to be operated (dorsal surface). A 12-mm diameter skin biopsy punch was used to cut the full-thickness skin and make wounds.

The model rats were randomly divided into three groups (HARM, HPUB, and PBS), with each group having 10 rats. HARM was diluted with cold 1 × PBS to the final concentration of 20 µg/mL before use. HARM was administered *via* injection around the wound margins (25 µL/injection × 4 injections) together with topical application over each wound (100 µL/wound) once every 5 d in the first 15 d, thereafter *via* local injection (100 µL) only in the remaining 15 d. The wound healing status was photographed every 5 d and the healing area was calculated using Image-Pro Plus software. PBS solution and HPUB were used as negative control (N-CTRL) and positive control (P-CTRL), respectively. The healed tissues were collected on 15 d post wounding (DPW) and 30 DPW respectively, and each tissue sample was divided into two halves: (1) For protein and RNA extraction; and (2) fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for histological examination.

Histology

Healed skin tissue was embedded in paraffin and sliced into 5 µm sections. The sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE), Masson, or immunofluorescence (IF). All antibodies used in IF were listed in Supplementary Table 1. Sections stained with HE and Masson were photographed using the Digital Imaging Scanning System (Precipoint M8; Freising, Germany); and stained with IF and photographed using Fluorescence Microscopes (BX63, Olympus, Japan). The collagen fibers (blue area on micrograph) in Masson staining and the positive expression (fluorescence area on micrograph) of the target genes in IF staining were analyzed statistically using Image-Pro Plus software; then the ratio of the target area to the whole area was calculated.

RNA isolation and quantitative real time PCR

Trizol reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, United States) was used for total RNA isolation from the healed skin tissue samples. cDNA was prepared *via* reverse transcription of RNA. cDNA, primers (Supplementary Table 2) and SYBR premix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) were mixed on quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) using the qTOWER 3G (Analykit Jena AG, Jena, Germany). Results of mRNA quantification were normalized against GAPDH and calculated using the ^{ΔΔ}Ct method. Every experiment was repeated three times.

Western blot

Total proteins were isolated from the healed tissues: 20 µg of total protein was separated *via* polyacrylamide SDS gel and then transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore, MA). After blocking in 5 % (w/v) non-fat milk, the membrane was first incubated with primary antibodies (Engrailed 1, Bioss; GAPDH, ProteinTech) at 4 °C overnight and

then with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody at 25 °C for 2 h. The bands were visualized using an ECL system and quantified using Image J software.

Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean ± SEM. Multi-comparisons were made using ANOVA in the package of GraphPad Prism 9.0. Significant differences were evaluated using *t*-test and one-way ANOVA. The difference was considered statistically significant (^a*P* < 0.05), or highly significant (^b*P* < 0.01, or ^c*P* < 0.001).

RESULTS

Characterization of HARM

We prepared HARMs (Figure 1A), which had typical gel properties (Figure 1B; Supplementary Figure 1). The effects of HARM on cell proliferation were evaluated *in vitro*. Firstly, the optimum concentration of HARM was determined based on cell proliferation rates. The results showed that proliferation rates of HUVEC, NIH 3T3, ADSC, and BMSC cultured in the medium containing 20 µg/mL HARM all reached their peaks across the concentration range from 0 to 40 µg/mL 7 d after culture (Figure 1C). Further, the proliferation rate of ADSC cultured in 20 µg/mL HARM was significantly higher than in N-CTRL (*P* < 0.05; Figure 1D), and that of BMSC cultured in 20 µg/mL HARM was significantly higher than N-CTRL and P-CTRL (*P* < 0.001; Figure 1E). The differences in cell proliferation rate between cells cultured in HARM and those cultured in N-CTRL or P-CTRL were increased at 10 d after further culture. These results suggest that HARM has great potential to stimulate cell proliferation *in vitro*.

HARM accelerates wound healing rate

To determine the effects of HARM on the rate and quality of cutaneous wound healing, HARM (20 µg/mL) was used to treat full-thickness skin wounds in rats (Figure 2A). The results showed that the areas of the wound in the HARM group were significantly smaller than those in the N-CTRL and P-CTRL groups (*P* < 0.001; Figure 2B and C) on 5 or 10 DPW; wound healing in the HARM group was completed on 20 DPW, but those in N-CTRL and P-CTRL groups were not completed until 30 DPW. These results suggest that HARM can effectively accelerate wound healing rate in the full-thickness skin wounds in rats.

HARM improves the quality of wound healing


Quality of wound healing was examined at histological level. The results showed significantly more appendages in the HARM group than in the N-CTRL or P-CTRL groups (*P* < 0.001; Figure 3A and B). The collagen fibers of healed skin in the HARM group were fewer and thinner than those in the N-CTRL group (*P* < 0.001; Figure 3A and C) on 15 DPW; moreover, the collagen fibers in the HARM group consisted of basket-wave-like collagens, which were drastically different from the thick-bundle-like collagens in the N-CTRL and P-CTRL groups. Likewise, healed skin in the HARM group on 30 DPW was similar to that in the N-CTRL and P-CTRL groups (*P* < 0.01; Figure 3A, D, and E). The expression levels of CD31 (the surface marker of neovascular endothelial cells[21]) and Ki67 (the marker of cell proliferation and division[22]) in the HARM group were significantly more than those in the N-CTRL or P-CTRL groups both on 15 DPW and 30 DPW (CD31: *P* < 0.001; Figure 3F-H); Ki67: (*P* < 0.001; Supplementary Figure 2). Overall, the results suggest that the HARM treatment can effectively improve the quality of wound healing in rats, and the effects are even better than the HPUB treatment.

HARM promotes the expression of fetal wound healing-related genes in rats

The expression levels of IL10 and TGFβ3, the fetal wound healing-related genes[23,24] were detected in the healed tissues. The results showed that expression levels of IL-10 and TGFβ3 in the healed skin of the HARM group were significantly higher than in those of the N-CTRL or P-CTRL groups on 15 DPW (*P* < 0.001; Figure 4A-C). Likewise, on 30 DPW, these gene expressions had a similar trend to those on 15 DPW (*P* < 0.01; Figure 4A-C). We further evaluated the status of expression of some other fetal wound healing-related genes using qRT-PCR. The results showed that the healed skin of the HARM group favored expression of fetal wound healing genes including collagen III (15 DPW: *P* < 0.001, *P* < 0.001; 30 DPW: *P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.01) and TGFβ3 (15 DPW: *P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.01; 30 DPW: *P* < 0.001, *P* < 0.001); but did not favor expression of scar healing genes including collagen I (15 DPW: *P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.05; 30 DPW: *P* < 0.001, *P* < 0.01) and TGFβ1 (15 DPW: *P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.01) when compared with those in the N-CTRL and P-CTRL groups to varying degrees (Figure 4D, E, G and H). The ratios of collagen III to collagen I and TGFβ3 to TGFβ1 in the healed tissue of the HARM group were all significantly higher than in those of N-CTRL or P-CTRL groups (*P* < 0.05; Figure 4F and I). Furthermore, the expression of Engrailed 1, activated in adult wound healing and prevented in fetal wound healing[25], was also down-regulated with the HARM treatment in the healed tissues (Figure 4J and K). These results suggest that HARM promotes regenerative wound healing which may be achieved *via* creating a fetal-like wound healing niche.

HARM promotes stem cell recruitment and/or plasticity maintenance

Next, the effects of the fetal-like wound healing niche created by HARM on recruitment of stem cells and maintenance of plasticity were detected. IF staining results showed that healed skin of the HARM group expressed significantly higher CD73 and CD90 than that of the N-CTRL or P-CTRL groups (*P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.001; Figure 5A-C) on 15 DPW. Likewise, on 30 DPW, a similar expression trend was observed as on 15 DPW (*P* < 0.001; Figure 5A, D and E). These results suggest that



DOI: 10.4252/wjsc.v15.i7.768 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Preparation of hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme matrix and its effects on cell proliferation *in vitro*. A: Location of reserve mesenchyme (RM) and fabrication of hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme matrix (HARM); B: HARM (top) and lyophilized-HARM (bottom); C: Screening of the optimal concentration of HARM on cell [HUVEC, NIH 3T3, adipose mesenchymal stem cells (ADSC), and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSC)] proliferation rate; D and E: Comparison of HARM with hydrogels from porcine urinary bladder matrix (HPUB) on cell (ADSC and BMSC) proliferation rate. Note that the concentration of 20 µg/mL HARM had the strongest stimulation over the others on proliferation rate of HUVEC, NIH 3T3, ADSC, and BMSC; moreover, proliferation rates of ADSC and BMSC in HARM were stronger than in N-CTRL (PBS) or in P-CTRL (HPUB). RM: Reserve mesenchyme; PC: Pre-cartilage; TZ: Transitional zone; CA: Cartilage; NS: Normal saline; LN2: Liquid nitrogen; HARM: Hydrogels derived from antler reserve mesenchyme; HPUB: Hydrogels from porcine urinary bladder matrix; HUVEC: Human umbilical vein endothelial cells; NIH 3T3: Mouse embryonic fibroblasts; ADSC: Adipose mesenchymal stem cells; BMSC: Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells; N-CTRL: Negative control; P-CTRL: Positive control. $n = 3$; mean \pm SEM; statistically significance set at $^aP < 0.05$, $^bP < 0.01$, and $^cP < 0.001$.

HARM may promote recruitment of stem cells or maintenance of plasticity which grows in the fetal-like wounding healing niche.

DISCUSSION

Scarring in wound healing is still a challenge in the clinic, where the goal is regeneration. The regeneration of functional cutaneous appendages and organized collagen is a complex process involving multiple factors and cells related to the microenvironment. Keeping the cells that regenerate the injured tissues in the optimum environment may be a practical approach to induce cutaneous regeneration and prevent abnormal scar formation. Although many approaches, such as synthetic hydrogels or ECM hydrogels, have been evaluated to improve the environment during wound healing, the outcomes have been unsatisfactory. This study is the first to report the role of an acellular ECM hydrogel prepared from stem cell tissue (antler RM) in cutaneous wound healing. Through a full-thickness rat model, we found that HARM improved the regeneration of cutaneous appendages and blood vessels and reduced the aggregation of disorganized




Figure 2 Effects of hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme matrix on wound healing rate in full-thickness skin defects in rats. **A:** Experimental procedure; **B:** Macroscopically observation of the wound healing status; **C:** Quantification of the wound area using the Image J software according to macroscopical images. Note that the fastest wound healing rate and the smallest scar area were observed in the hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme group. DPW: Days post-wounding; HARM: Hydrogels derived from antler reserve mesenchyme; N-CTRL: Negative control; P-CTRL: Positive control. Mean \pm SEM; statistical significance set at ^a $P < 0.05$, ^c $P < 0.001$.

collagen fiber, which may be achieved *via* creating a fetal-like niche at the wound site.

Injectable hydrogels, as a promising strategy, are being used with increasing frequency for the treatment of wound healing. They have several desirable features, including targeted delivery by minimally invasive techniques, ability to fill an irregularly shaped space quickly, and polymerization to form a support structure suitable for host cell infiltration and remodeling[26]. The injectable hydrogels derived from naturally occurring biologic materials are claimed to possess superior biocompatibility and bioactivity compared to their synthetic counterparts, which are usually composed of the ECM of decellularized tissues, and can be partially digested with pepsin, solubilized, and polymerized in situ to form a hydrogel[20,27,28]. Intact ECM hydrogels retain numerous molecular constituents found in the native tissue, such as cell adhesion proteins and growth factors, and these hydrogels support a constructive, site appropriate, remodeling response when implanted in a variety of anatomical sites[29]. It is possible that a hydrogel formed from enzymatically degraded and solubilized ECM may maintain some of the biological activity found in the intact ECM. ECM hydrogels have been prepared from various tissues, including urinary bladder[20], dermis[27], cardiac tissues[28], adipose tissue[30], small intestine[31], and skeletal muscle[32]. The hydrogels derived from these tissue types differ in their composition and activity. However, a common problem is the lack of practical factors to stimulate tissue regeneration/repair, although they do induce a certain level of repair on tissue injury. Therefore, selecting suitable tissue for ECM hydrogel preparation is essential, especially if the tissue is rich in regeneration-stimulated factors; a tissue suitable for stem cell survival may be suitable and advantageous. In the annual regeneration of deer antlers, the RM tissue layer in the growth center is responsible for the growth of deer antlers with a growth rate of up to 2.75 cm/d[33]. RM-derived cells have the character-

Table 1 *In vitro* experiment design

Test purposes	Cell type	Culture system					Culture time	Test type	Required reagents
Screen the optimum concentration of HARM on cell culture	HUVEC NIH 3T3 ADSC BMSC	HARM (0 μg/mL)	HARM (10 μg/mL)	HARM (20 μg/mL)	HARM (30 μg/mL)	HARM (40 μg/mL)	7 d	CCK-8 assay	CCK-8 (Beyotime, Shanghai, China)
Compare the performance of HARM to HUPB on cell proliferation	ADSC BMSC	HARM (20 μg/mL)	P-CTRL (HUPB, 20 μg/mL)	N-CTRL (PBS)		1, 4, 7, 10 d	CCK-8 assay	Nuclear staining	CCK-8 (Beyotime, Shanghai, China)

HUVEC: Human umbilical vein endothelial cells; NIH 3T3: Mouse embryonic fibroblasts; ADSC: Adipose mesenchymal stem cells; BMSC: Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells; HARM: Hydrogels from antler reserve mesenchyme; HUPB: Hydrogel from porcine urinary bladder matrix; P-CTRL: Positive control; N-CTRL: Negative control.




Figure 3 Effects of hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme on the quality of wound healing. A: Histological sections of the healed skin stained with HE and Masson; B-E: Quantification of cutaneous appendages and the collagen fiber accounts (blue area in Masson staining); F: CD31 immunofluorescence staining; G and H: Expression percentage of CD31. Note that the highest number of cutaneous appendages, least account of collagen fibers, and highest percent were found in the HARM group in the healed tissues compared to the N-CTRL and P-CTRL groups. DPW: Days post-wounding; HARM: Hydrogels derived from antler reserve mesenchyme; N-CTRL: Negative control; P-CTRL: Positive control. $n = 3$; mean \pm SEM; statistical significance set at $^aP < 0.05$, $^bP < 0.01$, $^cP < 0.001$.

istics of both mesenchymal and embryonic stem cells with strong abilities of proliferation/division and paracrine function, and low immunogenicity[12,13,17].

In the present study, we developed a hydrogel from the antler RM matrix, which may retain similar components and characteristics of the RM tissue. It can be kept as a solution at 4 °C and quickly transitions to a hydrogel at 37 °C (average




Figure 4 Effects of hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme on the expression of typical genes related to fetal wound healing in healed skin of rat wounds. A: IL 10 and TGFβ3 immunofluorescence staining; B and C: Expression percentages of IL-10 and TGFβ3; D-I: mRNA expression levels of collagen III (D), collagen I (E), TGFβ3 (G), and TGFβ1 (H) via quantitative real time PCR assay; the ratios of collagen III/collagen I and TGFβ3/TGFβ1 (F and I); J and K: Protein expression of Engrailed 1 in the healed skin via Western blot assay. Note that hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme up-regulated the expression levels of IL10, TGFβ3, and collagen III; but down-regulated the expression levels of collagen I, TGFβ1, and Engrailed 1. IL 10: Interleukin 10; TGFβ1: Transforming growth factor β1; TGFβ3: Transforming growth factor β3; DPW: Days post-wounding; HARM: Hydrogels derived from antler reserve mesenchyme; N-CTRL: Negative control; P-CTRL: Positive control. $n = 3$; mean \pm SEM; statistical significance set at ^a $P < 0.05$, ^b $P < 0.01$, ^c $P < 0.001$.

human body temperature; **Supplementary Figure 1A**). This is clinically important since the biomaterial as a solution can be used in the injection method and quickly reach a gel state that protects the integrity of the tissue and allows for native cells to adhere and grow. Importantly, HARM exhibits pores on the order of 100 μm (**Supplementary Figure 1**), providing an ideal space for cell division and proliferation. Further, we found that the concentration of 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ HARM showed the strongest stimulation over the other concentrations on the proliferation rate of HUVEC, NIH 3T3, ADSC, and BMSC; moreover, proliferation rates of ADSC and BMSC cultured in HARM *in vitro* and cutaneous cells treated with HARM *in vivo* were both stronger than the previously reported hydrogel, HPUB. In general, our results demonstrated the advantage of HARM for cell proliferation, which is essential for reconstructing the lamellar stromal structure using acellular biomaterial.




Figure 5 Effects of hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme on the expression of stem cell markers in healed skin of rat wounds.

A: CD73 and CD90 immunofluorescence staining; B and C: Expression percentages of CD73 and CD90 on 15 DPW; D and E: Expression percentages of CD73 and CD90 on 30 DPW. Note that the HARM group had the highest percent of CD73 and CD90 compared to the other two groups. DPW: Days post-wounding; HARM: Hydrogels derived from antler reserve mesenchyme; N-CTRL: Negative control; P-CTRL: Positive control. $n = 3$; mean \pm SEM; statistically significant at ^b $P < 0.01$, ^c $P < 0.001$.

Based on the above characteristics of HARM, we used the full-thickness rat wound to evaluate the effects of HARM on wound healing. As expected, HARM effectively promoted the healing rate and improved the healing quality; these effects were better overall than the HPUB. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that a hydrogel derived from a tissue matrix can promote not only the healing rate but also healing quality. However, hydrogels from other tissue matrices can only promote wound healing[34,35]. The microenvironment of wound healing has been reported to be critical in influencing the type of healing. An ideal microenvironment, such as fetal wound healing, results in scarless regenerative healing; a normal microenvironment, such as adult wound healing, results in scarring[23,24]. There are many differences between fetal and adult wound healing, such as inflammatory reactions, growth factors and collagen synthesis[23,24]. It has been reported that IL10 expression is up-regulated in fetal wounds without scars, and wound healing occurs with scar formation in fetal mice with IL-10 deficiency. However, in clinical trials by intradermal injection of recombinant human IL-10 into the wound edge for 1 mo, IL-10 treatment reduced scar formation[36]. In the present study, we found that the expression of IL10 was increased in the healed tissues that had been treated with HARM. TGF β , including TGF β 1, TGF β 2, and TGF β 3, signals are required for wound repair and regeneration. TGF β 1 causes scar formation after skin injury; on the contrary, TGF β 3 has an anti-fibrotic effect because the ratio of TGF β 3/TGF β 1 in fetal wounds was found to be higher than that in adults[36-38]. We also found that TGF β 3 was increased by HARM in the wound, while TGF β 1 was the opposite. Fetal wound healing differs from adult wound healing in collagen synthesis in terms of the speed of deposition, variation in collagen type ratios, and quantity of collagen. Most striking is the persistence of excess collagen III over collagen I, with healed wounds in the fetus; higher levels of collagen III yield smaller, reticular structures with more cross-linking than collagen I and contribute toward scarless wound healing. In the present study, we found that collagen III was increased by HARM in the wound, while TGF β 1 was the opposite. Engrailed 1 is another typical gene that is activated in adult wound healing, and preventing the activation would inhibit the scarring and achieve fetal-like wound healing[25]. This gene was also down-regulated with HARM treatment in the healed tissues. Above all, the HARM treatment favored expressions of fetal wound healing genes, including IL10, collagen III, and TGF β 3; but did not favor expression of scar healing genes, including collagen IS, TGF β 1, and Engrailed 1,




Figure 6 Hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme promoted regenerative wound healing *via* creating a fetal-like niche. FMT inhibition. hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme up-regulated the expression levels of fetal wound healing-related genes [interleukin 10, transforming growth factor β3 (TGFβ3), and collagen III]; but down-regulated the expression levels of adult wound healing-related genes (collagen I, TGFβ1, and Engrailed 1). HARM: Hydrogels derived from antler reserve mesenchyme; IL 10: Interleukin 10; TGF: Transforming growth factor.

in the healed tissues. Thus, we speculate that the effects of HARM on regenerative wound healing may be achieved *via* creating a fetal-like niche.

CONCLUSION

This study prepared a new injectable hydrogel using a stem cell tissue matrix, the antler reserve mesenchymal matrix. The therapeutic effects of the hydrogels were evaluated using full-thickness rats. The results revealed that the hydrogels effectively increased the healing rate and improved the healing quality of the wound, including regeneration of the cutaneous appendages and formation of the basketweave-like pattern of collagens. Further study showed that these effects of the hydrogels were likely achieved through creating a niche similar to the regeneration of fetal skin, thereby promoting skin regeneration without scars (Figure 6). Overall, we believe that our prepared hydrogels may have clinical benefits for stimulating regenerative wound healing, especially those large cutaneous wounds caused by burns, scalds, or machinery.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research perspectives

It believes that hydrogels from the antler reserve mesenchyme matrix (HARM) may have clinical benefits for stimulating regenerative wound healing, especially those large cutaneous wounds caused by burns, scalds, or machinery.

Research conclusions

This study prepared a new injectable hydrogel from antler reserve mesenchyme (RM) for regenerative wound healing *via* creating a fetal-like niche.

Research results

HARM was successfully prepared from antler RM. Through a full-thickness rat model, it was found that HARM improved the regeneration of cutaneous appendages and blood vessels and reduced the aggregation of disorganized collagen fiber, which may be achieved *via* creating a fetal-like niche at the wound site.

Research methods

The HARM was prepared *via* enzymatic solubilization with pepsin. Then the therapeutic effects of HARM on a full-thickness cutaneous wound healing rat mode were investigated.

Research objectives

To develop an injectable hydrogel made from antler RM matrix for the regenerative repair of full-thickness cutaneous wounds, which may have clinical benefits for stimulating regenerative wound healing, especially those large cutaneous wounds caused by burns, scalds, or machinery.

Research motivation

Deer antlers are the only mammalian organ that can fully regenerate after being lost. Antler regeneration begins with regenerative healing of the wounds left after the previous antler casting. Studies show that this regenerative wound healing depends entirely on the adjacent pedicle periosteum (PP) or the PP-derived RM.

Research background

Scarring in wound healing is still a challenge in the clinic, where the goal is regeneration. Keeping the cells that regenerate the injured tissues in the optimum environment may be a practical approach to induce cutaneous regeneration and prevent abnormal scar formation. Although many approaches, such as synthetic hydrogels or extracellular matrix hydrogels, have been evaluated to improve the environment during wound healing, the outcomes have been unsatisfactory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Peter Fennessy for his critical reading through the manuscript and valuable suggestions.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Li CY and Zhang GK designed and supervised the research; Zhang GK, Ren J, and Li JP performed the research and analyzed the data; Wang DX and Zhang GK contributed to animal experiments; Ren J contributed to histopathological experiments; Li JP and Wang SN contributed to cell experiments; Zhang GK, Shi LY, and Li CY wrote and edited the paper; and all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jilin Province, No. YDZJ202301ZYTS508; National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. U20A20403; Doctoral Research Start-Up Fund of Changchun Sci-Tech University, No. 202303; Young Scientific and Technological Talents Support Project of Jilin Province, No. QT202203; and Strategic Research and Consulting Project of Chinese Academy of Engineering, No. JL2022-05.

Institutional animal care and use committee statement: All procedures involving animals were reviewed and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Changchun Sci-Tech University (Approval No. CKARI2020012).

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

ARRIVE guidelines statement: The authors have read the ARRIVE Guidelines, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the ARRIVE Guidelines.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Guo-Kun Zhang 0000-0003-2177-418X; Li-Yan Shi 0009-0002-5373-9776; Chun-Yi Li 0000-0001-7275-4440.

S-Editor: Chen YL

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Zhao S

REFERENCES

- 1 Gravitz L. Skin. *Nature* 2018; **563**: S83 [PMID: 30464282 DOI: 10.1038/d41586-018-07428-4]
- 2 Deniz AAH, Abdik EA, Abdik H, Aydın S, Şahin F, Taşlı PN. Zooming in across the Skin: A Macro-to-Molecular Panorama. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2020; **1247**: 157-200 [PMID: 31953808 DOI: 10.1007/5584_2019_442]

- 3 **Yannas IV**, Tzeranis DS, So PTC. Regeneration of injured skin and peripheral nerves requires control of wound contraction, not scar formation. *Wound Repair Regen* 2017; **25**: 177-191 [PMID: 28370669 DOI: 10.1111/wrr.12516]
- 4 **Monavarian M**, Kader S, Moeinzadeh S, Jabbari E. Regenerative Scar-Free Skin Wound Healing. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev* 2019; **25**: 294-311 [PMID: 30938269 DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEB.2018.0350]
- 5 **Jiang D**, Huang J, Shao H, Hu X, Song L, Zhang Y. Characterization of bladder acellular matrix hydrogel with inherent bioactive factors. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl* 2017; **77**: 184-189 [PMID: 28532019 DOI: 10.1016/j.msec.2017.03.222]
- 6 **Francis MP**, Breathwaite E, Bulysheva AA, Varghese F, Rodriguez RU, Dutta S, Semenov I, Ogle R, Huber A, Tichy AM, Chen S, Zemlin C. Human placenta hydrogel reduces scarring in a rat model of cardiac ischemia and enhances cardiomyocyte and stem cell cultures. *Acta Biomater* 2017; **52**: 92-104 [PMID: 27965171 DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2016.12.027]
- 7 **Wolf MT**, Daly KA, Brennan-Pierce EP, Johnson SA, Carruthers CA, D'Amore A, Nagarkar SP, Velankar SS, Badylak SF. A hydrogel derived from decellularized dermal extracellular matrix. *Biomaterials* 2012; **33**: 7028-7038 [PMID: 22789723 DOI: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.06.051]
- 8 **Muncie JM**, Weaver VM. The Physical and Biochemical Properties of the Extracellular Matrix Regulate Cell Fate. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 2018; **130**: 1-37 [PMID: 29853174 DOI: 10.1016/bs.ctdb.2018.02.002]
- 9 **Birch HL**. Extracellular Matrix and Ageing. *Subcell Biochem* 2018; **90**: 169-190 [PMID: 30779010 DOI: 10.1007/978-981-13-2835-0_7]
- 10 **Badylak SF**. Decellularized allogeneic and xenogeneic tissue as a bioscaffold for regenerative medicine: factors that influence the host response. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2014; **42**: 1517-1527 [PMID: 24402648 DOI: 10.1007/s10439-013-0963-7]
- 11 **Keane TJ**, Londono R, Turner NJ, Badylak SF. Consequences of ineffective decellularization of biologic scaffolds on the host response. *Biomaterials* 2012; **33**: 1771-1781 [PMID: 22137126 DOI: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.10.054]
- 12 **Wang Y**, Zhang C, Wang N, Li Z, Heller R, Liu R, Zhao Y, Han J, Pan X, Zheng Z, Dai X, Chen C, Dou M, Peng S, Chen X, Liu J, Li M, Wang K, Liu C, Lin Z, Chen L, Hao F, Zhu W, Song C, Zhao C, Zheng C, Wang J, Hu S, Li C, Yang H, Jiang L, Li G, Liu M, Sonstegard TS, Zhang G, Jiang Y, Wang W, Qiu Q. Genetic basis of ruminant headgear and rapid antler regeneration. *Science* 2019; **364** [PMID: 31221830 DOI: 10.1126/science.aav6335]
- 13 **Wang D**, Berg D, Ba H, Sun H, Wang Z, Li C. Deer antler stem cells are a novel type of cells that sustain full regeneration of a mammalian organ-deer antler. *Cell Death Dis* 2019; **10**: 443 [PMID: 31165741 DOI: 10.1038/s41419-019-1686-y]
- 14 **Qin T**, Zhang G, Zheng Y, Li S, Yuan Y, Li Q, Hu M, Si H, Wei G, Gao X, Cui X, Xia B, Ren J, Wang K, Ba H, Liu Z, Heller R, Li Z, Wang W, Huang J, Li C, Qiu Q. A population of stem cells with strong regenerative potential discovered in deer antlers. *Science* 2023; **379**: 840-847 [PMID: 36821675 DOI: 10.1126/science.add0488]
- 15 **Li CY**, Medical LJJ, Frontiers B. Exploration of the mechanism underlying neogenesis and regeneration of postnatal mammalian skin: Deer antler velvet. 2010. [cited 3 June 2023]. Available from: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/EXPLORATION-OF-THE-MECHANISM-UNDERLYING-NEOGENESIS-Li/5562111ff1fd8ade010c5b17d64c2a6f29adb3c7>
- 16 **Li C**, Suttie JM. Histological studies of pedicle skin formation and its transformation to antler velvet in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Anat Rec* 2000; **260**: 62-71 [PMID: 10967537 DOI: 10.1002/1097-0185(20000901)260:1<62::Aid-ar70>3.0.Co;2-4]
- 17 **Lei J**, Jiang X, Li W, Ren J, Wang D, Ji Z, Wu Z, Cheng F, Cai Y, Yu ZR, Belmonte JCI, Li C, Liu GH, Zhang W, Qu J, Wang S. Exosomes from antler stem cells alleviate mesenchymal stem cell senescence and osteoarthritis. *Protein Cell* 2022; **13**: 220-226 [PMID: 34342820 DOI: 10.1007/s13238-021-00860-9]
- 18 **Rong X**, Zhang G, Yang Y, Gao C, Chu W, Sun H, Wang Y, Li C. Transplanted Antler Stem Cells Stimulated Regenerative Healing of Radiation-induced Cutaneous Wounds in Rats. *Cell Transplant* 2020; **29**: 963689720951549 [PMID: 32907381 DOI: 10.1177/0963689720951549]
- 19 **Rong X**, Chu W, Zhang H, Wang Y, Qi X, Zhang G, Li C. Antler stem cell-conditioned medium stimulates regenerative wound healing in rats. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2019; **10**: 326 [PMID: 31744537 DOI: 10.1186/s13287-019-1457-9]
- 20 **Freytes DO**, Martin J, Velankar SS, Lee AS, Badylak SF. Preparation and rheological characterization of a gel form of the porcine urinary bladder matrix. *Biomaterials* 2008; **29**: 1630-1637 [PMID: 18201760 DOI: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.12.014]
- 21 **Figueiredo CC**, Pereira NB, Pereira LX, Oliveira LAM, Campos PP, Andrade SP, Moro L. Double immunofluorescence labeling for CD31 and CD105 as a marker for polyether polyurethane-induced angiogenesis in mice. *Histol Histopathol* 2019; **34**: 257-264 [PMID: 30207375 DOI: 10.14670/HH-18-038]
- 22 **Miller I**, Min M, Yang C, Tian C, Gookin S, Carter D, Spencer SL. Ki67 is a Graded Rather than a Binary Marker of Proliferation versus Quiescence. *Cell Rep* 2018; **24**: 1105-1112.e5 [PMID: 30067968 DOI: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.110]
- 23 **Buchanan EP**, Longaker MT, Lorenz HP. Fetal skin wound healing. *Adv Clin Chem* 2009; **48**: 137-161 [PMID: 19803418 DOI: 10.1016/s0065-2423(09)48006-5]
- 24 **Helmo FR**, Machado JR, Guimarães CS, Teixeira Vde P, dos Reis MA, Corrêa RR. Fetal wound healing biomarkers. *Dis Markers* 2013; **35**: 939-944 [PMID: 24427782 DOI: 10.1155/2013/567353]
- 25 **Mascharak S**, desJardins-Park HE, Davitt MF, Griffin M, Borrelli MR, Moore AL, Chen K, Duoto B, Chinta M, Foster DS, Shen AH, Januszyk M, Kwon SH, Wernig G, Wan DC, Lorenz HP, Gurtner GC, Longaker MT. Preventing Engrailed-1 activation in fibroblasts yields wound regeneration without scarring. *Science* 2021; **372** [PMID: 33888614 DOI: 10.1126/science.aba2374]
- 26 **Asadi N**, Pazoki-Toroudi H, Del Bakhshayesh AR, Akbarzadeh A, Davaran S, Annabi N. Multifunctional hydrogels for wound healing: Special focus on biomacromolecular based hydrogels. *Int J Biol Macromol* 2021; **170**: 728-750 [PMID: 33387543 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.12.202]
- 27 **Cheng MH**, Uriel S, Moya ML, Francis-Sedlak M, Wang R, Huang JJ, Chang SY, Brey EM. Dermis-derived hydrogels support adipogenesis in vivo. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2010; **92**: 852-858 [PMID: 19280638 DOI: 10.1002/jbm.a.32410]
- 28 **Singelyn JM**, DeQuach JA, Seif-Naraghi SB, Littlefield RB, Schup-Magoffin PJ, Christman KL. Naturally derived myocardial matrix as an injectable scaffold for cardiac tissue engineering. *Biomaterials* 2009; **30**: 5409-5416 [PMID: 19608268 DOI: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.06.045]
- 29 **Voytik-Harbin SL**, Brightman AO, Kraine MR, Waisner B, Badylak SF. Identification of extractable growth factors from small intestinal submucosa. *J Cell Biochem* 1997; **67**: 478-491 [PMID: 9383707]
- 30 **Young DA**, Ibrahim DO, Hu D, Christman KL. Injectable hydrogel scaffold from decellularized human lipoaspirate. *Acta Biomater* 2011; **7**: 1040-1049 [PMID: 20932943 DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2010.09.035]
- 31 **Okada M**, Payne TR, Oshima H, Momoi N, Tobita K, Huard J. Differential efficacy of gels derived from small intestinal submucosa as an injectable biomaterial for myocardial infarct repair. *Biomaterials* 2010; **31**: 7678-7683 [PMID: 20674011 DOI: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.09.035]

- 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.06.056]
- 32 **DeQuach JA**, Mezzano V, Miglani A, Lange S, Keller GM, Sheikh F, Christman KL. Simple and high yielding method for preparing tissue specific extracellular matrix coatings for cell culture. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: e13039 [PMID: 20885963 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0013039]
- 33 **Ba H**, Wang D, Yau TO, Shang Y, Li C. Transcriptomic analysis of different tissue layers in antler growth Center in Sika Deer (*Cervus nippon*). *BMC Genomics* 2019; **20**: 173 [PMID: 30836939 DOI: 10.1186/s12864-019-5560-1]
- 34 **Zhang Q**, Chang C, Qian C, Xiao W, Zhu H, Guo J, Meng Z, Cui W, Ge Z. Photo-crosslinkable amniotic membrane hydrogel for skin defect healing. *Acta Biomater* 2021; **125**: 197-207 [PMID: 33676048 DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2021.02.043]
- 35 **Hsieh CM**, Wang W, Chen YH, Wei PS, Liu YH, Sheu MT, Ho HO. A Novel Composite Hydrogel Composed of Formic Acid-Decellularized Pepsin-Soluble Extracellular Matrix Hydrogel and Sacchachitin Hydrogel as Wound Dressing to Synergistically Accelerate Diabetic Wound Healing. *Pharmaceutics* 2020; **12** [PMID: 32545186 DOI: 10.3390/pharmaceutics12060538]
- 36 **Rose LF**, Chan RK. The Burn Wound Microenvironment. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)* 2016; **5**: 106-118 [PMID: 26989577 DOI: 10.1089/wound.2014.0536]
- 37 **Shah M**, Foreman DM, Ferguson MW. Neutralisation of TGF-beta 1 and TGF-beta 2 or exogenous addition of TGF-beta 3 to cutaneous rat wounds reduces scarring. *J Cell Sci* 1995; **108**: 985-1002 [PMID: 7542672 DOI: 10.1242/jcs.108.3.985]
- 38 **Lichtman MK**, Otero-Vinas M, Falanga V. Transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) isoforms in wound healing and fibrosis. *Wound Repair Regen* 2016; **24**: 215-222 [PMID: 26704519 DOI: 10.1111/wrr.12398]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

