

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 85431

Title: HYBRID LAPARO-ENDOSCOPIC ACCESS - NEW APPROACH TO SURGICAL

TREATMENT FOR GIANT FIBROVASCULAR POLYP OF ESOPHAGUS. CASE

**REPORT** 

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02914875 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-11 06:37

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-24 07:45

**Review time:** 13 Days and 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty



Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for allowing me to review this article. The authors described the combination of laparoscopic and endoscopic resection for the giant fibrovascular polyp of the esophagus. This manuscript is well-written and very informative. Minor comment P3, L2, I could not understand the sentence "diastases of the diaphragmatic crura is up to 5cm...". What does "diastases" mean? P3, L3, Is "fungus" misspell for "fundus"? There are some abbreviations for giant fibrovascular polyp and readers would be confused (P6, L5, GFE, and EFP in P7, L28). In conclusion, the authors described "this method has been shown to have comparable rates of recurrence" (P9, L10). Does "this method" mean your hybrid laparo-endoscopic access? If so, is it a disadvantage of your reported approach?



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 85431

Title: HYBRID LAPARO-ENDOSCOPIC ACCESS - NEW APPROACH TO SURGICAL

TREATMENT FOR GIANT FIBROVASCULAR POLYP OF ESOPHAGUS. CASE

**REPORT** 

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03881371 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-10

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-21 05:17

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-03 13:28

**Review time:** 12 Days and 8 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty



Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
	[ ]
Scientific significance of the	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study described a case with a giant fibrovascular esophageal polyp who underwent a novel hybrid technique (endoscopic submucosal dissection with laparoscopic removal of the tumor). This is a very interesting study and a well-written manuscript. But I have a few comments or concerns about this study: 1."According to examinations, the patient was diagnosed with a giant esophageal fibrovascular polyp, type 1 sliding hiatal hernia." ----Was giant esophageal fibrovascular polyp already diagnosed prior to the hybrid surgery, or was it still under suspicion? How did the authors diagnose this rare disorder according to EGD, EUS and CT scan? 2."Due to a large size of the tumor (diameter in the widest part of about 5 cm) it was carried out through the gastro-esophageal junction into the stomach with considerable effort (Fig. 5 C, D)." -----Were there any complications such as bleeding or Mallory-Weiss tear when the tumor went through the gastroesophageal junction? 3. There are a few instances where sentence structure can be



https://www.wjgnet.com

improved for better flow and comprehension. Additionally, thorough proofreading is needed to correct minor grammatical and typographical errors.