

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 85584

Title: T/Myeloid Mixed-Phenotype Acute Leukemia Treated with Venetoclax and

Decitabine: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00070191 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-26 12:34

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-26 13:33

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

(1) The overall structure of the manuscript is complete and contains a title, abstract, keywords, core tip, introduction, case presentation and results, discussion, acknowledgments, and references. (2) The scientific question is to emphasize the beneficial effect of the administration of venetoclax along with a hypomethylating drug (HMA) in patients with T/myeloid mixed-phenotype acute leukemia (MPAL). This is presented in the introduction section, along with the relevant background, rationale, aim, significant findings, and potential significance of the given case. Therefore, this section is suitable to attract readers' attention. (3) The methods and techniques adopted in the paper are presented in the Methods section. Besides, the manuscript provides adequate details of methods to allow a reader to repeat the research. (4) The data source is reliable and indicated by the information presented in the case presentation section. However, pathological diagnostic findings (such as blood smears and/or bone marrow biopsies) should be submitted as microscopic figures. (5) The conclusion of the case presentation is presented. This section should be ameliorated to inform the readers briefly about the contributions of the data to the field. Besides, the future research directions should be



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

given in detail. (7) The manuscript cites all critical, relevant, and timely references. (8) There is no indication of academic misconduct in the study. (9) The manuscript contributes to understanding the pathogenesis of the disease and treatment. (11) The manuscript describes an important direction of research (12) The title of the manuscript does not contain grammatical errors. (13) The manuscript falls within the scope of WJCC (14) The language of the paper needs to reach the standard of publishing, and minor revisions are required. Peer-reviewer's Conclusion: The manuscript falls within the scope of WJCC. The experiences and lessons presented in the manuscript improve the readers' practice. The content of the manuscript has value for publication. However, necessitate revisions according to the comments listed above.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 85584

Title: T/Myeloid Mixed-Phenotype Acute Leukemia Treated with Venetoclax and

Decitabine: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05722553 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: Doctor, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Chief Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania
Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-25

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-11 09:08

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-11 09:18

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author's I was pleased to review your article. You describe a rare case. Please explain the novelty of the case presented. It will be interesting to a add a pucture with the pathological exam. Please highlight the role of transplantation in this pathology. Munir English edits.