

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 86280

Title: Mucoepidermoid carcinoma of the lung with hemoptysis as the initial symptom: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05487696

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist, Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-12

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-06 09:26

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-06 09:42

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-Abstract should be concise - The term “mucus epidermoid carcinoma” should be replaced by mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Language and grammar revision is essential. Introduction section doesn’t contain any cited source?! Also lacking important information introducing the case and its importance to be reported. - D dimer test is not properly typed. - I wonder if you asked for any tumor markers? - In discussion, you should discuss the different rare tumors came with unusual presentations; examples should be provided: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eucr.2020.101367> & <https://doi.org/10.7497%2Fj.issn.2095-3941.2014.03.007> - You should discuss the mucoepidermoid carcinoma in salivary gland and in lung, is there any morphologic or genetic relations.