

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 86326

Title: The efficacy and safety of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in

recurrent pancreatitis of pediatric asparaginase-associated pancreatitis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03025524 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-27 06:34

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-29 01:49

Review time: 1 Day and 19 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in treating the recurrent pancreatitis due to asparaginase-associated pancreatitis. The title is "Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in recurrent pancreatitis of pediatric asparaginase-associated pancreatitis". 1. The sample size of the study is a relatively small. 2. Several factors influence the outcome of the study. Please discuss these. 3. Please review the literature and add more details in the discussion section. 4. Please add the limitations of the study. 5. What is the new knowledge of the study? 6. Please recommend to the readers "How to apply this knowledge in clinical practice?".



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 86326

Title: The efficacy and safety of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in

recurrent pancreatitis of pediatric asparaginase-associated pancreatitis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03034605 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MCh, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Attending Doctor, Chief Doctor, Consultant

Physician-Scientist, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-19

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-01 09:04

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-10 03:56

Review time: 8 Days and 18 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty



Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have conducted an interesting study to determine the role of ERCP in asparaginase induced recurrent pancreatitis in pediatric patients. I have following comments regarding the manuscript: 1. What percentage of patients with asparaginase induced pancreatitis develop recurrent pancreatitis? 2. Does stopping the use of asparaginase in patients who developed pancreatitis not prevent future attacks of pancreatitis? Or is it so that asparaginase cannot be stopped in pediatric patients with ALL. 3. Instead of postoperative pancreatitis it would be better to use the term 'post ERCP pancreatitis'. 4. Does asparaginase induced pancreatic stone development or these patients already had underlying chronic pancreatitis? 5. Was endoscopic cystogastrostomy done for the pancreatic pseudocysts in study patients? 6. Please mention the mean duration of post-ERCP follow up of the study patients.