

Dear Chief Editor

My colleagues and I really appreciate your effort to publish the scientific data from different countries especially the less developed ones.

We revised the manuscript according to the valuable comments of the reviewers. Herein, please find the answers to all the comments provided point by point.

Reviewer #1: I congratulate the authors on their very good work. The article merits consideration for publishing. However it requires improvement in writing style and there are some ortographical errors. A few phrases are even hard to understand. I suggest using a professional editing service or requiring revision from a native english-speaking country reviewer. I will give you one example: "Several studies have reported invasive aspergillosis among 1-15% of organ ..." -> consider changing to "Several studies reported rates of invasive aspergillosis of around 1% to 15% of organ transplant recipients" Another issue is the introduction of the article. It should be more concise. A relevant part of the introduction should be moved to the discussion section.

- 1- The writing style of second version of the manuscript has been improved by authors.
- 2- To make the introduction more concise, we moved a relevant part of the introduction to the discussion section. (Introduction, paragraph 5 moved to the discussion, paragraph 4)

Reviewer #2: Important manuscript for invasive aspergillosis after liver transplant However small number of patients and retrospective study.

- 3- Language polishing of the manuscript has been done by authors.
- 4- Liver transplant and hepatobiliary department of Imam Khomeini hospital complex is a high volume center of liver transplant in Iran. Also, the basic and important clinical information of patients is regularly and accurately recorded in an Excel file. As a result, considering the mentioned issues and the rarity of the disease, the information presented in this article seems to have good scientific importance.

Language

- 5- The revised manuscript has been edited and polished by all authors.

Abbreviations:

- 6- The basic rules on abbreviations were implemented in the manuscript according to the guidelines.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS:

- 7- We tried all the best to improve the scientific and language quality of the manuscript.

Company editor-in-chief:

- 8- The manuscript has been revised according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.
- 9- There is not any figure in the manuscript.
- 10- The tables have been revised according to the editorial office comments. (Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content)
- 11- The references have been revised according to the Format for References Guidelines.

Best regards

Zahra Ahmadinejad (corresponding author)