

Dear Review Professor,

On behalf of the entire research team, I would like to express our sincere gratitude to you. Your expert review and invaluable suggestions have had a profound impact on our research work. Your guidance has helped us better understand our study and provided us with valuable opportunities for improving and enhancing the quality of the manuscript.

Following your recommendations, we have comprehensively revised the manuscript to meet the requirements and expectations you outlined. We deeply appreciate your dedicated review, which has strengthened our work.

Thank you once again for your valuable insights and assistance.

Sincerely,

Han-wen Zhang

2023-9-4

Review 1

Please make the alterations listed below to your paper:

Q1. Changing the title of the article so that it more accurately reflects the purpose of the current study. Ensure that the new title of the paper does not exceed twenty words and contains no abbreviations, as these are among the requirements of a distinguished title for a scientific paper.

A1. The feedback from the reviewing professor was indeed valuable, and we have made the requested modification to the title as per your suggestion:

"Distinctive MRI Imaging Features in Primary Central Nervous System Lymphoma: A Case Report." We appreciate your guidance and input.

Q2. The study's introduction requires a great deal of work and should be divided into the following three paragraphs: a- The first paragraph should emphasize the significance of the current research. b- The second paragraph should clarify the knowledge gap that the current study aims to address. c- The third and final paragraph should focus on the research problem and how to approach it within the context of the current study's objectives.

A2. We greatly appreciate the valuable guidance provided by the reviewing professor. In response to the feedback, we have restructured the introduction section into three distinct segments, each serving a specific

purpose. These revisions have allowed us to provide a clearer delineation of the study's significance, address the knowledge gap, and elucidate the research problem more effectively. We believe that these modifications have significantly improved the clarity and organization of our manuscript's introduction, aligning it more closely with the expectations of rigorous scientific presentation. Thank you for your insightful suggestions, which have contributed to enhancing the overall quality of our work.

Q3. The "Case Report" section is extremely brief and of very low quality in its current form. In light of this, I expect that the authors will expand this section of the paper by including more clarifications and information regarding the evolution and progression of the patient's medical condition.

A3. We sincerely appreciate the valuable feedback provided by the reviewer. The insightful suggestions have significantly enhanced the depth and quality of the "Case Report" section. Your guidance has been instrumental in ensuring that our paper provides a more comprehensive understanding of the patient's medical journey, diagnosis, and treatment. Thank you for your thoughtful input, which has strengthened our work.

Q4. It is only natural that the final paragraph of the discussion section be devoted to elucidating the study's strengths and shortcomings, as well as

its future directions. I trust that the author(s) will make the necessary changes in this regard.

A4. We appreciate the reviewer's suggestion to enhance the discussion section's final paragraph. In response to this feedback, we have restructured the discussion to include a dedicated final paragraph that elucidates both the strengths and limitations of our study, as well as outlines potential directions for future research. We believe that this modification enhances the comprehensiveness and clarity of our discussion, aligning it more effectively with the expectations of a scientific discussion. Thank you for your valuable guidance, which has contributed to the improvement of our manuscript.

Q5. Please rewrite the conclusion section of the study to clarify whether or not the research problem was resolved, i.e. whether or not the study's objectives were met.

A5. Yes, our study resolved the research problem by characterizing PCNSL's MRI imaging features through an individual case analysis. We met our study objectives in doing so. However, PCNSL research is complex, and larger sample sizes are needed for a comprehensive understanding. Our study serves as an initial step in this direction.

Q6. I discovered that many of the cited sources are obsolete and require revision. I hope the author(s) will revise the references section by removing any irrelevant material and using only references from 2023 and five years prior.

A6. We have trimmed, revised, and removed references that were older than the past five years. In addition, we have included recent research references from the last five years. We greatly appreciate your valuable suggestions, which have contributed to the refinement of our study.

Q7. Are the images used in this paper the product of the author(s) or have they been obtained from other sources? If the images are derived from other sources, please consider the property rights and rights of the third party in order to avoid potential future disputes.

A7. This study is based on patient images from our hospital, and patients were informed and provided informed consent before participating.