

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87084

Title: Subchondral fatigue fracture of the femoral head in young military recruits:

Potential risk factors

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05213310 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-02 08:48

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-12 22:08

Review time: 10 Days and 13 Hours

| [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: |
|---|
| Good |
| [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |
| [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair |
| [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |
| |



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|---|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y] Yes [] No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1- The current article's title should be rewritten to reflect the goal for which the current study was conceived and conducted. 2- The informative balance must be maintained between the various sections of the study abstract. 3- Only the most important findings of the current study should be presented in the results section of the current study abstract. 4- I hope the author(s) will use recent references in the MRI acquisition section that substantiate what the researcher/researchers said in this line. 5- The final paragraph of the discussion section should be devoted to assessing the present study's merits and flaws, as well as outlining the current study's future directions. specify in the conclusion section whether the current study met its aims, i.e. whether the research topic was solved. 7- Some references are outdated and should be replaced with more recent ones. It would be ideal if the usage of references were between the year 2023 and five years previously.