PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 87262

Title: Transoral outlet reduction: outcomes of endoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass revision in 284 patients at a community practice

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05722553

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: Doctor, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Chief Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-01 06:09

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-01 15:09

Review time: 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author's I was pleased to review your article and I have the following comments<
In the section discussion it is mandatory to compare your results with the existing
literature. please highlight the limitation of the study.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 87262

Title: Transoral outlet reduction: outcomes of endoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass revision in 284 patients at a community practice

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04213605

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc

Professional title: Teaching Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Singapore

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-31

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-18 00:27

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-18 00:31

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Accept in present form.

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Did the patients have barium diet examination?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 87262

Title: Transoral outlet reduction: outcomes of endoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass revision in 284 patients at a community practice

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03032964

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS

Professional title: Chief Physician, Doctor, Postdoc, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-31

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-21 07:04

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-23 00:33

Review time: 1 Day and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Here are some of my tips: 1. Dilation of the gastrojejunal anastomosis (GJA) is the main cause of RYGB's post-operative weight recurrence and one of the mechanisms of TORe, so it would be better to include GJA in keywords. 2. Provide more specific data and statistics: When referring to RYGB's weight loss and recurrence rates, more specific data and statistics can be provided to increase the accuracy and credibility of the information. 3. The article "Five-year outcomes of transoral outlet reduction for the treatment of weight regain after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass" have shown that almost all of the patients with TORe stopped gaining weight 3 or even 5 years after surgery, and most of them showed clinically significant weight loss. Therefore, can we consider extending the measurement time of TBWL and EWL to 5 years? 4. Could you elaborate further on how community setting deal with complications after TORe surgery in large hospitals? 5. Could you design a learning curve or process of TORe for other community settings to learn from?