Response to reviewer's comments

Comments	Authors' response	Changes effected	Page numbers
Overall, this manuscript presents innovative ideas clearly and effectively. The structure is well-organized, and the writing is clear and concise. The author has done an excellent job of explaining a complex technical process in an easy-to-understand way.	Thank you for your appreciation		
However, to improve the manuscript, the author should ensure that the references cited in the introduction and related work section are thoroughly addressed in the reference section.	References cited are thoroughly addressed in the reference section; additional references are added to elaborate our perspective	Number of references has increased from 9 to 17	11-14
Additionally, the introduction should provide an extended version of the abstract, with elaboration on the key points and supportive ideas and references.	Introduction provides an extended version of the abstract, as recommended; Elaboration on key points and ideas included as recommended	Introduction section has been corrected	4, 5
Lastly, the conclusion section needs revision to provide a more insightful and comprehensive summary of the manuscript.	Conclusion section includes a more comprehensive and insightful summary of the manuscript, as recommended	Conclusion section has been corrected	10
Finally, the author should ensure that all references are properly formatted according to the relevant rules.	Entire references section is reformatted as per journal's requirements	References list has been updated	11-14