World Journal of *Transplantation*

World J Transplant 2023 December 18; 13(6): 290-402





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJT

World Journal of Transplantation

Contents

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 6 December 18, 2023

MINIREVIEWS

290 Liver volumetric and anatomic assessment in living donor liver transplantation: The role of modern imaging and artificial intelligence

Machry M, Ferreira LF, Lucchese AM, Kalil AN, Feier FH

- 299 Anti-thymocyte globulin for treatment of T-cell-mediated allograft rejection Acharya S, Lama S, Kanigicherla DA
- 309 BK viral infection: A review of management and treatment Gorriceta JH, Lopez Otbo A, Uehara G, Posadas Salas MA
- 321 Focus on limbal stem cell deficiency and limbal cell transplantation Tonti E, Manco GA, Spadea L, Zeppieri M

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

331 Predictors of graft function and survival in second kidney transplantation: A single center experience Khalil M, Gadelkareem RA, Abdallah MA, Sayed MAB, Elanany FG, Fornara P, Mohammed N

Retrospective Study

344 Risk stratification of renal transplant recipients using routine parameters: Implication of learning from SARS-CoV-2 into transplant follow-up program

Ghazanfar A, Abbas M, Hussain MW, Kayal M

Observational Study

357 Outcomes of early hospital readmission after kidney transplantation: Perspectives from a Canadian transplant centre

Famure O, Kim ED, Li Y, Huang JW, Zyla R, Au M, Chen PX, Sultan H, Ashwin M, Minkovich M, Kim SJ

Prospective Study

368 Dosing strategies for *de novo* once-daily extended release tacrolimus in kidney transplant recipients based on CYP3A5 genotype

Diamond A, Karhadkar S, Chavin K, Constantinescu S, Lau KN, Perez-Leal O, Mohrien K, Sifontis N, Di Carlo A

Basic Study

379 Pathophysiology of acute graft-versus-host disease from the perspective of hemodynamics determined by dielectric analysis

Nagasawa M



Contents

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 6 December 18, 2023

SCIENTOMETRICS

391 Unveiling transplantation research productivity of United States: A bibliometric analysis Rawashdeh B, AlRyalat SA, Abuassi M, Prasad R, Cooper M



Contents

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 6 December 18, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Transplantation, Jegan Arunachalam, MD, DM, Assistant Professor, Department of Nephrology, Madurai Medical Collage, Madurai 625020, India. jeganmddm@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Transplantation (WJT, World J Transplant) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of transplantation with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJT mainly publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of transplantation and covering a wide range of topics including bone transplantation, brain tissue transplantation, corneal transplantation, descemet stripping endothelial keratoplasty, fetal tissue transplantation, heart transplantation, kidney transplantation, liver transplantation, lung transplantation, pancreas transplantation, skin transplantation, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJT is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The WJT's CiteScore for 2022 is 2.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2022: Transplantation is 23/51.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yan-Liang Zhang; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ping Yan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Transplantation	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2220-3230 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
December 24, 2011	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Quarterly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Maurizio Salvadori, Sami Akbulut, Vassilios Papalois, Atul C Mehta	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3230/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
December 18, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com
	· · · · ·

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJT

World Journal of Transplantation

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Transplant 2023 December 18; 13(6): 331-343

DOI: 10.5500/wjt.v13.i6.331

ISSN 2220-3230 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Predictors of graft function and survival in second kidney transplantation: A single center experience

Mahmoud Khalil, Rabea Ahmed Gadelkareem, Medhat Ahmed Abdallah, Mohamed Abdel-Basir Sayed, Fathy Gaber Elanany, Paolo Fornara, Nasreldin Mohammed

Specialty type: Transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Gong N, China

Received: August 16, 2023 Peer-review started: August 16, 2023 First decision: September 14, 2023 Revised: October 19, 2023 Accepted: November 3, 2023 Article in press: November 3, 2023 Published online: December 18, 2023



Mahmoud Khalil, Rabea Ahmed Gadelkareem, Medhat Ahmed Abdallah, Mohamed Abdel-Basir Sayed, Fathy Gaber Elanany, Nasreldin Mohammed, Department of Urology, Assiut Urology and Nephrology Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut 71515, Assiut, Egypt

Paolo Fornara, Department of Urology and Kidney Transplantation, Martin Luther University, Halle (Saale) 71515, Germany

Corresponding author: Rabea Ahmed Gadelkareem, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Assiut Urology and Nephrology Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Elgamaa Street, Assiut 71515, Assiut, Egypt. dr.rabeagad@yahoo.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

The increasing kidney retransplantation rate has created a parallel field of research, including the risk factors and outcomes of this advanced form of renal replacement therapy. The presentation of experiences from different kidney transplantation centers may help enrich the literature on kidney retransplantation, as a specific topic in the field of kidney transplantation.

AIM

To identify the risk factors affecting primary graft function and graft survival rates after second kidney transplantation (SKT).

METHODS

The records of SKT cases performed between January 1977 and December 2014 at a European tertiary-level kidney transplantation center were retrospectively reviewed and analyzed. Beside the descriptive characteristics, the survivals of patients and both the first and second grafts were described using Kaplan-Meier curves. In addition, Kaplan-Meier analyses were also used to estimate the survival probabilities at 1, 3, 5, and 10 post-operative years, as well as at the longest followup duration available. Moreover, bivariate associations between various predictors and the categorical outcomes were assessed, using the suitable biostatistical tests, according to the predictor type.

RESULTS

Out of 1861 cases of kidney transplantation, only 48 cases with SKT were eligible for studying, including 33 men and 15 women with a mean age of 42.1 ± 13 years.



The primary non-function (PNF) graft occurred in five patients (10.4%). In bivariate analyses, a high body mass index (P = 0.009) and first graft loss due to acute rejection (P = 0.025) were the only significant predictors of PNF graft. The second graft survival was reduced by delayed graft function in the first (P = 0.008) and second (P < 0.001) grafts. However, the effect of acute rejection within the first year after the first transplant did not reach the threshold of significance (P = 0.053). The mean follow-up period was 59.8 ± 48.6 mo. Censored graft/patient survival rates at 1, 3, 5 and 10 years were 90.5%/97.9%, 79.9%/95.6%, 73.7%/91.9%, and 51.6%/83.0%, respectively.

CONCLUSION

Non-immediate recovery modes of the first and second graft functions were significantly associated with unfavorable second graft survival rates. Patient and graft survival rates of SKT were similar to those of the first kidney transplantation.

Key Words: Graft failure; Graft function; Kidney; Kidney retransplantation; Primary non-function graft; Second kidney transplantation

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Second kidney transplantation (SKT) is a viable option for patients with failed first kidney transplantation (FKT). Although the first primary nonfunction graft is a common contributor to SKT, it is also a potential outcome among a major proportion of those populations. Also, it is a significant risk factor for graft survival among those patients with functioning SKTs. Hence, the non-immediate recovery of the first graft function and delayed graft function in the second graft are significantly associated with unfavorable second graft survival rates. Inspite of this wide spectrum of risk factors, patient and graft survival rates in SKT seemed to be similar to those of FKT. SKT should be recommended for patients with failed FKT.

Citation: Khalil M, Gadelkareem RA, Abdallah MA, Sayed MAB, Elanany FG, Fornara P, Mohammed N. Predictors of graft function and survival in second kidney transplantation: A single center experience. *World J Transplant* 2023; 13(6): 331-343 **URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3230/full/v13/i6/331.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v13.i6.331

INTRODUCTION

Kidney transplantation is the optimal treatment of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), because it provides better outcomes in survival rates, quality of life, and economic saving[1,2]. However, the expected survival of renal allografts is relatively lower than the patients' survival. This discrepancy between the patient and graft survival rates resulted in a progressively increasing number of patients who may need kidney retransplantation (KRT)[3-5]. Rates of KRT represent more than 15% of patients on the waiting lists[2,3,5], where the second kidney transplantation (SKT) is the most frequent form[5,6]. The numbers of KRT being still relatively far less than that of the first kidney transplantation (FKT) has resulted in persistent debates about the risk factors that may affect KRT and its controversial survival benefits. The magnitude of the reported outcomes of KRT has been shown to be either inferior or acceptable relative to those of FKT[5,7,8]. Beside the potential exposure to the same risk factors of FKT, recipients of KRT are prone to additional factors that may evolve from the repeated process such as sensitization and technical difficulties[5,6,9].

The unresolved debates about the risk factors and survival rates represented our rationale to present the current single center experience of SKT and explore the predictors for the graft function and survival of SKT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

The electronic and manual records of the cases of KRT which were performed between January 1977 and December 2014 at Urology Department, Martin-Luther University, Halle (Saale), Germany were reviewed for the characteristics of the FKT and SKT processes. The effects of these variables on the primary graft function and the survival of both graft and patient were evaluated in SKT.

The target population was the adult patients who received SKT. Exclusion criteria were blood grouping or human leucocytic antigen (HLA) incompatible transplants; immunosuppression protocols other than basiliximab or anti-thymoglobulin for induction, and steroid, tacrolimus or cyclosporine, and mycophenolate mofetil for maintenance; missing data; and SKT within the year just before data collection.

Zaishidena® WJT | https://www.wjgnet.com

Ethical approval

The authors confirm that all the experimental protocols of this study were approved by the Ethical Committee (Institutional Review Board; IRB) of the Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Egypt and Martin-Luther University, Germany (IRB approval number: 17200548/2015).

Statistical analysis

The statistical methods were implemented using IBM[®] SPSS[®] Statistics 23 and GraphPad Prism[®] 6. Two-tailed *P* values < 0.05 were considered significant.

After excluding primary non-function grafts, the survivals of both the first and second grafts were described using Kaplan-Meier curves. The same method was also used to describe patient survival after the second transplantation for the whole study sample. Moreover, regarding the graft and the patient survivals after SKT, Kaplan-Meier analyses were also used to estimate the survival probabilities at 1, 3, 5, and 10 post-operative years, as well as at the longest follow-up duration available.

Bivariate associations between various predictors and the categorical outcomes were assessed according to the predictor type. For quantitative predictors, the independent-samples *t* test was used when all outcome groups were normally distributed. Otherwise, the independent-samples Mann-Whitney *U* test and the Kruskal-Wallis test were used for binary and multinomial outcomes, respectively. For categorical predictors, Fisher's exact test was used.

As regards the second graft survival, associations with categorical predictors were evaluated by Kaplan-Meier curves for the strata of each predictor; the similarity between these curves for each predictor was tested by the log-rank test. On the other hand, associations with quantitative predictors were evaluated by Cox regression, where testing of the proportional hazards assumption was done by correlating ranked survival times with Schoenfeld residuals.

RESULTS

Between January 1977 and December 2014, a total of 1861 kidney transplants were done, of whom 176 cases had SKT. Only 48 cases were eligible for the current study. Characteristics of patients, donors, FKT, and SKT are summarized in Table 1. Twenty-three cases (47.9%) had primary non-function (PNF) first graft, while only five cases (10.4%) had PNF second graft. Patients with PNF grafts were excluded from the graft survival analyses. The median survival time for the first graft was 36 mo, while it was undefined for the graft and the patient after SKT (Figure 1). Survival probabilities of the graft and the patient after the SKT are shown in Table 2. The follow-up period ranged from 12 to 174 mo.

PNF graft occurred in five patients (10.4%). In bivariate analyses, a high body mass index (BMI) of the recipient was the only significant quantitative predictor of PNF graft (P = 0.009) (Tables 3 and 4). Also, first graft loss due to acute rejection was the only significant categorical predictor of PNF graft (P = 0.025) (Table 5).

The second graft survival was best in cases with a PNF first graft, while it was worst in cases with a delayed graft function (DGF) of the first graft (P = 0.008). Also, the second graft survival was better in cases with an immediate second graft function than in those with a delayed second graft function (P < 0.001) (Figure 2). Finally, the occurrence of acute rejection within the first year after the FKT decreased the survival of the second graft, but didn't reach the threshold of significance (P = 0.053) (Tables 6 and 7; Figures 3-5).

No significant associations were found between panel reactive antibodies (PRA) categories at SKT on one hand and first graft nephrectomy (P = 0.784), the duration before first graft nephrectomy (P = 0.497), or acute rejection of the second graft in the first year after SKT (P = 0.223) on the other hand. Also, no significant association was found between the number of second graft arteries and the vascular complications of SKT (P = 0.382).

DISCUSSION

Graft loss is always a potential outcome after variable periods of FKT[3,8-10]. This outcome created an imperative need for KRT[11]. Nowadays, there is a progressive rise in the numbers of patients receiving this line of treatment. KRT entails more risk factors for unfavorable outcomes than FKT[6,12]. Also, there are substantial controversies about the differences between FKT and SKT regarding patient and graft survival rates[7]. The current study targeted the potential risk factors affecting the second graft function in a large-volume kidney transplantation center.

In our study, the mean patient age at SKT was similar to that reported in other studies[5,13]. Also, our results resembled other studies regarding the gender distribution at SKT[5,13,14]. Causes of ESRD before kidney transplantation are not the same among the different world regions. Diabetic and hypertensive nephropathies represent the main causes in the United States. However, in the current series, glomerulonephritis was the leading cause, as in other countries[5,13].

It has been reported that occurrence of certain clinical outcomes after FKT is significantly associated with more likelihood of the same outcomes after KRT which increases the chances of graft loss[13]. In general, graft loss can be classified into three major categories: PNF grafts, patient death with a functioning graft, and loss of a previously functioning graft due to different medical and surgical causes[15,16].

PNF graft is defined as the permanent absence of functions of the transplanted kidney starting immediately after transplantation. It accounts for 0.6%-8% of all renal graft loss and it is significantly associated with poor patient survival [15,17]. In our series, a slightly higher rate was observed in SKT (10.4%), while the rate was much higher in FKT (47.9%). The major cause of PNF grafts has been reported to be venous or arterial thrombosis occurring within 1-2 d after

Table 1 Characteristics of recipients, donors, first kidney transplantation, and second kidney transplantation, n (%)

Variable		Value ¹
Recipient age at SKT (yr)		47.5 (41.3-56; 24-70)
Recipient sex	Male	33 (68.8)
	Female	15 (31.3)
Recipient BMI (kg/m ²) at SKT		24.7 (22.13-26.95; 19-33.5)
Causes of ESRD	Glomerulonephritis	16 (33.3)
	DM	1 (2.1)
	Hypertension	4 (8.3)
	PCKD	4 (8.3)
	Others	23 (47.9)
Overall duration of dialysis (mo.)		95 (76-121.8; 29-244)
Start of first graft function	PNF	23 (47.9)
	DGF	8 (16.7)
	Immediate	17 (35.4)
GFR one year after FKT (mL/min/1.73 $m^2)$		0 (0-29.3; 0-78.8)
Attacks of acute rejection in first year after \ensuremath{FKT}^2		0 (0-1; 0-6)
First graft loss due to rejection		3 (6.3)
First graft nephrectomy		37 (77.1)
SKT donor type	Living	3 (6.3)
	Deceased	45 (93.8)
SKT donor age (yr)		50 (36.3-60.8; 16-74)
Recipient age minus donor age (yr) at SKT		0 (-10-7; -39-34)
SKT donor BMI (kg/m ²)		25 (23-27; 19-37.9)
Recipient BMI minus donor BMI (kg/m ²) at SKT		-0.45 (-3.8-3.15; -16.7-9.6)
SKT PRA level	0-30%	35 (72.9)
	31-80%	10 (20.8)
	> 80%	3 (6.3)
SKT HLA mismatches		2 (1.3-3.8; 0-6)
SKT laterality relative to FKT	Ipsilateral	1 (2.1)
	Contralateral	47 (97.9)
Number of renal arteries at SKT	Single	43 (89.6)
	Double	5 (10.4)
SKT operative time (min)		140 (113-170; 82-236)
SKT ischemia time (min)		708 (531-897; 74-1319)
SKT operative revision		24 (50)
SKT vascular complications		8 (16.7)
Start of second graft function	PNF	5 (10.4)
	DGF	10 (20.8)
	Immediate	33 (68.8)
Attacks of acute rejection in first year after SKT		0 (0-1; 0-3)
GFR one year after SKT (mL/min/1.73 $m^2)$		36 (22.8-52.8; 0-82.4)



Baisbideng® WJT | https://www.wjgnet.com

¹Quantitative variables are expressed as median (IQR; range), while categorical variables are expressed as count (percentage).

²Two missing cases.

174 (study max.)

51.57

+21.01

BMI: Body mass index; DM: Diabetes mellitus; DGF: Delayed graft function; ESRD: End-stage renal disease; FKT: First kidney transplantation; GFR: Glomerular filtration rate; HLA: Human leucocytic antigen; PCKD: Polycystic kidney disease; PNF: Primary non-function; PRA: Panel reactive antibodies; SKT: Second kidney transplantation.

Table 2 Survival probabilities of the graft and the patient after the second kidney transplantation by Kaplan-Meier analyses								
Fallow we time	Second graft sur	vival		Patient survival after second kidney transplantation				
(months)	Survival probability (%)	Upper 95% confidence limit	Lower 95% confidence limit	Survival probability (%)	Upper 95% confidence limit	Lower 95% confidence limit		
12	90.53	+5.81	-13.84	97.87	+1.83	-12.04		
36	79.88	+9.55	-16.22	95.60	+3.29	-12.10		
60	73.71	+11.31	-17.34	91.92	+5.51	-15.82		
120	51.57	+21.01	-26.12	83.04	+9.90	-20.65		

83.04

+9.90

-20.65

Table 3 Quantitative predictors (normally distributed over both outcome groups) of primary non-function second graft by the independent-samples *t* test

-26 12

	Primary non-function (<i>n</i> = 5)		Primary function (n	R value1	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	P value
Recipient age (yr)	47.8	5.4	47.9	1.8	0.98
Donor age (yr)	49.6	7.5	48.0	2.2	0.82
Recipient BMI (kg/m ²)	28.04	0.83	24.20	0.47	0.009
Total ischemia time (min)	655	98	711	48	0.70
Operative time (min)	150	20	142	6	0.66

¹Since Levene's test yielded no significant differences between variances of outcome groups for the five tested predictors, equal variances were assumed. BMI: Body mass index.

Table 4 Quantitative predictors (non-normally distributed over one or both outcome groups) of primary non-function second graft by the independent-samples Mann-Whitney U test

	Primary non-function (<i>n</i> = 5)		Primary function (n = 43)		P value
	Median	Mean rank	Median	Mean rank	
Duration of first graft function (mo)	0	17.6	4	25.3	0.26
Total duration of dialysis before second transplantation (including before first transplantation) (mo)	93	19.3	96	25.1	0.39

transplantation[15]. In our series, although the odds of PNF in cases with vascular complications was 4.1 times higher than in cases without these complications, the result was statistically insignificant probably due to the small sample. However, high recipients' BMI and first graft loss due to acute rejection were significantly associated with the occurrence of PNF after SKT. This might be attributable to the same mechanisms that decrease the second graft survival[15]. To our knowledge, it seems that these factors have not yet been studied relative to PNF graft after SKT.

The third category of kidney transplantation loss outcomes is the loss of the graft which functioned for a certain period before being permanently non-functioning. The risk factors of this outcome are multiple and have different tributaries. Regarding the elements of kidney transplantation process (recipient, donor, and process) and the previously proposed categorizations in the literature[5,14,18], the potential predictors or risk factors that affect the outcome of SKT could be classified into five classes: recipient-related, donor-related, FKT process-related, SKT process-related, and common factors.

Table 5 Categorical predictors of primary non-function second graft by Fisher's exact test								
Variables		Primary non-function (<i>n</i> = 5)	Primary function (<i>n</i> = 43) ¹	Odds ratio ²	P value			
DM as a cause of ESRD	No	5	42	0	1			
	Yes	0	1					
First graft function	No	3	20		0.84			
	Delayed	1	7					
	Instant	1	16					
Acute rejection in first year after first	No	3	24	0.94	1			
transplantation	Yes	2	17					
First graft loss by acute rejection	No	3	42	28	0.025			
	Yes	2	1					
First graft nephrectomy	No	0	11	Not	0.58			
	Yes	5	32	assessed				
Living donor	No	5	40	0	1			
	Yes	0	3					
PRA grouping	0% to 30%	3	32		0.33			
	31% to 80%	1	9					
	Over 80%	1	2					
Number of HLA mismatches	0	1	6		0.51			
	1 to 3	2	27					
	4 to 6	2	10					
Over one artery	No	5	38	0	1			
	Yes	0	5					
Vascular complications	No	3	37	4.1	0.19			
	Yes	2	6					

¹Except for acute rejection in first year after first transplantation, where n = 41 because two cases are missing.

²Odds of primary non-function in the presence of the predictor to odds of primary non-function in its absence.

³Not assessed, for the calculation entails division by zero.

DM: Diabetes mellitus; ESRD: End-stage renal disease; HLA: Human leukocytic antigens; PRA: Panel reactive antibodies.

The recipient-related risk factors include patient's age, sex, BMI, race, the cause of ESRD, and the associated comorbidities like diabetes mellitus and hypertension[5,13,19,20]. The second class risk factors are the donor-related factors either in FKT and SKT processes such as donor type (living or deceased), age, sex, and relatedness[5,13,14,21]. In the current series, the studied group of these factors showed no significant effects on SKT graft survival. We examined the effect of two further potential recipient-related variables; the differences between recipients' and donors' age and BMIs. Although they have been studied previously for their effect on FKT graft survival[22,23], they haven't been tested upon KRT survival so far. However, no significant association with the second graft survival could be found. It may be better demonstrated in larger studies.

The third class includes the factors from FKT process such as duration of FKT graft function and estimated glomerular filtration rate at one year after FKT[13,21,24-26]. The fourth class of risk factors includes factors that affect only SKT process such as sensitization due to previous transplantation represented by PRA level, first graft nephrectomy, and serum creatinine at one year after SKT[5,21,25].

The fifth class consists of the common variables between FKT and SKT processes and they represent the major proportion of risk factors. They involve all the phases of the process; factors in the preoperative phase such as number of HLA mismatches[4,5,18], and duration of dialysis[13,27]; factors in the operative and perioperative phases such as ischemia time, DGF[20,28], mode of recovery of graft function[13,27], and surgical complications[14]; factors in the postoperative phase such as acute rejection[13,27]; and factors involving the whole phases such as immunosuppressive regimens[5,12,29], and volume of transplantation center[18]. The reported incidence of DGF among KRTs ranged from 26.7%-39% [5,7,20]. In our study, the non-immediate mode of recovery of first graft function and DGF of second graft were

Table 6 Categorical predictors of second graft survival by the log-rank test for Kaplan-Meier curves							
Variables		Events (<i>n</i> = 13)	Censored (<i>n</i> = 30) ¹	Log-rank statistic	P value		
DM as a cause of ESRD	No	12	30	1.218	0.270		
	Yes	1	0				
First graft function	No	2	18	9.684	0.008		
	Delayed	4	3				
	Instant	7	9				
Acute rejection in first year after first transplantation	No	5	19	3.757	0.053		
	Yes	8	9				
First graft loss by acute rejection	No	13	29	0.369	0.543		
	Yes	0	1				
First graft nephrectomy	No	3	8	0.097	0.756		
	Yes	10	22				
Living donor	No	12	28	0.002	0.965		
	Yes	1	2				
PRA grouping	0% to 30%	11	21	0.693	0.707		
	31% to 80%	2	7				
	Over 80%	0	2				
Number of HLA mismatches	0	2	4	0.106	0.948		
	1 to 3	8	19				
	4 to 6	3	7				
Over one artery	No	10	28	1.584	0.208		
	Yes	3	2				
Vascular complications	No	13	24	1.723	0.189		
	Yes	0	6				
Delayed second graft function	No	7	26	12.238	0.0005		
	Yes	6	4				

¹Except for acute rejection in first year after first transplantation, where n = 28 because two cases are missing.

BMI: Body mass index; DM: Diabetes mellitus; ESRD: End-stage renal disease; HLA: Human leukocytic antigens; PRA: Panel reactive antibodies.

the only significant predictors for low second graft survival. It has been reported that occurrence of acute rejection during their first year post FKT is significantly associated with occurrence of acute rejection during KRT[13,21]. The current results showed that the incidence of acute rejection in FKT approached the threshold of significance in affection of the graft survival of SKT. This insignificant association could be attributed to the small sample size. The significant association of the mode of recovery of FKTs and the nearly significant association of the incidence of acute rejection among FKTs with the SKT graft survival, without the same effect on the SKT, could be attributed to the more stringent immunosuppression protocols and precise donor selection. This may improve the SKT graft function recovery and decrease the incidence of acute rejections. Thus, it may improve the short-term results to some extent but, it doesn't exterminate the inherent high risk of those patients [9,30].

With controversy, rates of graft and patient survivals of KRTs have been reported as inferior[3,14] or insignificantly different from those of FKT[4,5,21]. In the current study, the long-term graft survival rates were similar to FKT. This outcome is similar to the other studies[4,21].

This study was conducted in a large-volume kidney transplantation center and extracted from a relatively large reviewed number of kidney transplantations. Also, new potential predictors including the differences in age and BMI between the recipients and donors were studied for their effect on graft survival.

Limitations of the current study were the relatively small sample size that didn't allow for adequate powerful statistical tests such as the multivariate analysis and lack of reporting of some complications as post-transplant neoplastic diseases and infections. Specifically, there were some missing data, such as the levels of the donor specific antibodies against the HLA alleles of the first graft and the pathological evaluation of the donors. In addition, the retrospective studying has its mere limitations of difficult implementation of comparison and randomization.

Zaishidena® WJT https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 7 Quantitative predictors of second graft survival by Cox regression

Variables		95%CI for HR			
		Lower bound	Upper bound	value	testing ¹
Recipient age (yr)	0.976	0.930	1.023	0.306	0.074
Recipient BMI (kg/m ²)	0.980	0.810	1.185	0.833	0.787
Duration of first graft function (mo)	1.007	0.994	1.020	0.307	0.059
Total duration of dialysis before second transplantation (including before first transplantation) (mo)	1.006	0.995	1.017	0.295	0.061
Donor age (yr)	1.016	0.979	1.055	0.396	0.852
Recipient age minus donor age (yr)	0.972	0.937	1.009	0.140	0.306
Recipient BMI minus donor BMI (kg/m ²)	0.984	0.893	1.085	0.751	0.410
Total ischemia time (min)	1.001	0.999	1.003	0.284	0.579
Operative time (min)	0.995	0.979	1.010	0.497	0.363

¹Testing of the proportional hazards assumption was done by correlating ranked survival times with Schoenfeld residuals. BMI: Body mass index; HR: Hazard ratio; PH: Proportional hazards.



Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier curve for overall survival rates. A: First graft survival with 95% confidence bands. Twenty-three cases were excluded from the analysis due to primary non-function grafts. All 25 cases had the event; B: Second graft survival with 95% confidence bands. Five cases were excluded from the analysis due to primary non-function grafts. Thirteen cases had the event, while 30 cases were censored; C: Patient survival after the second kidney transplantation with 95% confidence bands. Only five patients died, while 43 patients were censored.

CONCLUSION

SKT is a viable option for patients with failed FKT. Demographics and clinical characteristics of the patients accessing SKT are not significantly different from those of FKT. There are multiple potential factors that may originate from the different components and phases of SKT and could affect the survival outcomes. Although the first PNF graft is a

Raishideng® WJT | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier curves for the second graft survival stratified by the mode of graft function. A: In the first kidney transplantation; B: In the second kidney transplantation.



Figure 3 Kaplan-Meier curves for the second graft survival stratified by four non-significant predictors related to the first kidney transplantation. A: End-stage renal disease caused by diabetes mellitus; B: Acute rejection within one year after first transplantation; C: First graft loss by acute rejection; D: First graft nephrectomy.

Raishideng® WJT | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 4 Kaplan-Meier curves for the second graft survival stratified by three non-significant predictors related to the donor of second kidney transplantation. A: Living versus deceased donor; B: Number of human leukocytic antigens mismatches; C: Panel reactive antibodies. HLA: human leukocytic antigens; PRA: Panel reactive antibodies.



Figure 5 Kaplan-Meier curves for the second graft survival stratified by second non-significant predictors related to the second kidney transplantation recipient. A: Number of renal arteries; B: Vascular complications.

Raisbideng® WJT | https://www.wjgnet.com

common contributor to SKT, it is also a potential outcome among a major proportion of those populations. Also, it is a significant risk factor for graft survival among those patients with functioning SKTs. So, the non-immediate recovery of the first graft function and DGF in the second graft are significantly associated with unfavorable second graft survival rates. Inspite of this wide spectrum of risk factors, patient and graft survival rates in SKT seemed to be similar to those of FKT.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

The increasing kidney retransplantation rate has created a parallel field of research, including the risk factors and outcomes of this advanced form of renal replacement therapy. The presentation of experiences from different kidney transplantation (KT) centers may help enrich the literature on kidney retransplantation, as a specific topic in the field of KT.

Research motivation

Despite the potential high risks of repeated KT, increase of the rate of second KT (SKT) seems to be a modifiable variable and may provide better outcomes than return to dialysis in patients with failed first KT.

Research objectives

To identify the risk factors affecting primary graft function and graft survival rates after SKT.

Research methods

The records of SKT cases performed between January 1977 and December 2014 at a European tertiary-level kidney transplantation center were retrospectively reviewed and analyzed. Beside the descriptive characteristics, the survivals of patients and both the first and second grafts were described using Kaplan-Meier curves. In addition, Kaplan-Meier analyses were also used to estimate the survival probabilities at 1, 3, 5, and 10 post-operative years, as well as at the longest follow-up duration available. Moreover, bivariate associations between various predictors and the categorical outcomes were assessed, using the suitable biostatistical tests, according to the predictor type. However, associations with quantitative predictors were evaluated by Cox regression.

Research results

Out of 1861 cases of kidney transplantation, only 48 cases with SKT were eligible for studying, including 33 men and 15 women with a mean age of 42.1 ± 13 years. The primary non-function (PNF) graft occurred in five patients (10.4%). In bivariate analyses, a high body mass index (P = 0.009) and first graft loss due to acute rejection (P = 0.025) were the only significant predictors of PNF graft. The second graft survival was reduced by delayed graft function in the first (P = 0.008) and second (P < 0.001) grafts. However, the effect of acute rejection within the first year after the first transplant did not reach the threshold of significance (P = 0.053). The mean follow-up period was 59.8 ± 48.6 mo. Censored graft/patient survival rates at 1, 3, 5 and 10 years were 90.5%/97.9%, 79.9%/95.6%, 73.7%/91.9%, and 51.6%/83.0%, respectively.

Research conclusions

Non-immediate recovery modes of the first and second graft functions were significantly associated with unfavorable second graft survival rates. Patient and graft survival rates of SKT were similar to those of the first KT.

Research perspectives

Repeated kidney transplantation may provide better outcomes in patients with failed previous grafts. However, this approach may be associated with higher risks than the first time due to the surgical difficulties and immunological sensitization. Controlling of these risk factors can enhance the outcomes.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Khalil M and Gadelkareem RA designed the research, collected the data, performed statistical analysis and wrote the paper; Abdallah MA, Mohammed N and Sayed MA contributed to data collection, literature review, writing and revision; and Elanany FG and Fornara P contributed to literature review, writing, revision and supervision of the work; All authors approved the paper.

Institutional review board statement: The authors confirm that all the experimental protocols of this study were approved by the Ethical Committee (Institutional Review Board; IRB) of the Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Egypt and Martin-Luther University, Germany (IRB approval number: 17200548/2015).

Informed consent statement: This article is a retrospective study. Hence, the patients were not required to give informed consent to the study, because the manipulated data were anonymous and were obtained after each patient, with his potential kidney donor(s), agreed



WJT https://www.wjgnet.com

to the plan of management.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no financial relationships to disclose.

Data sharing statement: The data supporting this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Egypt

ORCID number: Mahmoud Khalil 0000-0002-2564-5476; Rabea Ahmed Gadelkareem 0000-0003-4403-2859; Mohamed Abdel-Basir Sayed 0000-0003-1555-1182; Fathy Gaber Elanany 0009-0000-3059-1591; Paolo Fornara 0000-0002-7184-5030; Nasreldin Mohammed 0000-0002-0232-9497.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: Egyptian Urological Association.

S-Editor: Liu IH L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zhang YL

REFERENCES

- 1 Tonelli M, Wiebe N, Knoll G, Bello A, Browne S, Jadhav D, Klarenbach S, Gill J. Systematic review: kidney transplantation compared with dialysis in clinically relevant outcomes. Am J Transplant 2011; 11: 2093-2109 [PMID: 21883901 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03686.x]
- United States Renal Data System. 2021 USRDS Annual Data Report: Epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States. National 2 Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD, 2021. (Accessed March 10, 2022). Available from: www.usrds.org
- Magee JC, Barr ML, Basadonna GP, Johnson MR, Mahadevan S, McBride MA, Schaubel DE, Leichtman AB. Repeat organ transplantation in 3 the United States, 1996-2005. Am J Transplant 2007; 7: 1424-1433 [PMID: 17428290 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.01786.x]
- Barocci S, Valente U, Fontana I, Tagliamacco A, Santori G, Mossa M, Ferrari E, Trovatello G, Centore C, Lorenzi S, Rolla D, Nocera A. 4 Long-term outcome on kidney retransplantation: a review of 100 cases from a single center. Transplant Proc 2009; 41: 1156-1158 [PMID: 19460504 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.03.083]
- Ingsathit A, Kantachuvesiri S, Rattanasiri S, Avihingsanon Y, Premasathian N, Pongskul C, Jittikanont S, Lumpaopong A, Sumethkul V. 5 Long-term outcome of kidney retransplantation in comparison with first kidney transplantation: a report from the Thai Transplantation Registry. Transplant Proc 2013; 45: 1427-1430 [PMID: 23726588 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2012.08.029]
- Kienzl-Wagner K, Mark W, Maglione M, Brandacher G, Öllinger R, Margreiter R, Pratschke J, Bösmüller C. Single-center experience with 6 third and fourth kidney transplants. Transpl Int 2011; 24: 780-786 [PMID: 21569127 DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-2277.2011.01269.x]
- Khalil AK, Slaven JE, Mujtaba MA, Yaqub MS, Mishler DP, Taber TE, Sharfuddin AA. Re-transplants compared to primary kidney 7 transplants recipients: a mate kidney paired analysis of the OPTN/UNOS database. Clin Transplant 2016; 30: 566-578 [PMID: 26915071 DOI: 10.1111/ctr.12722]
- Fallahzadeh MK, Birdwell KA. Waitlist Mortality for Second Kidney Transplants. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2022; 17: 6-7 [PMID: 34965956 8 DOI: 10.2215/CJN.15021121]
- Mouquet C, Benalia H, Chartier-Kastler E, Sylla C, Coriat P, Bitker MO, Richard F. [Renal retransplantation in adults. Comparative 9 prognostic study]. Prog Urol 1999; 9: 239-243 [PMID: 10370947]
- Meier-Kriesche HU, Schold JD, Kaplan B. Long-term renal allograft survival: have we made significant progress or is it time to rethink our 10 analytic and therapeutic strategies? Am J Transplant 2004; 4: 1289-1295 [PMID: 15268730 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2004.00515.x]
- Barba Abad J, Robles García JE, Saiz Sansi A, Tolosa Eizaguirre E, Romero Vargas L, Algarra Navarro R, Rosell Costa D, Zudaire Bergera 11 JJ, Berián Polo JM, Pascual Piedrola JI. Impact of renal retransplantation on graft and recipient survival. Arch Esp Urol 2011; 64: 363-370 [PMID: 21610281]
- Santos AH Jr, Casey MJ, Womer KL. Analysis of Risk Factors for Kidney Retransplant Outcomes Associated with Common Induction 12 Regimens: A Study of over Twelve-Thousand Cases in the United States. J Transplant 2017; 2017: 8132672 [PMID: 29312783 DOI: 10.1155/2017/8132672]
- 13 Heaphy EL, Poggio ED, Flechner SM, Goldfarb DA, Askar M, Fatica R, Srinivas TR, Schold JD. Risk factors for retransplant kidney recipients: relisting and outcomes from patients' primary transplant. Am J Transplant 2014; 14: 1356-1367 [PMID: 24731101 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.12690]
- Ahmed K, Ahmad N, Khan MS, Koffman G, Calder F, Taylor J, Mamode N. Influence of number of retransplants on renal graft outcome. 14 Transplant Proc 2008; 40: 1349-1352 [PMID: 18589103 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2008.03.144]
- El-Zoghby ZM, Stegall MD, Lager DJ, Kremers WK, Amer H, Gloor JM, Cosio FG. Identifying specific causes of kidney allograft loss. Am J 15 *Transplant* 2009; **9**: 527-535 [PMID: 19191769 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2008.02519.x]
- Yu MY, Kim YC, Lee JP, Lee H, Kim YS. Death with graft function after kidney transplantation: a single-center experience. Clin Exp Nephrol 16 2018; 22: 710-718 [PMID: 29159528 DOI: 10.1007/s10157-017-1503-9]



- Parasuraman R, L Zhang P, Samarapungavan D, Pothugunta K, Reddy G, Rocher L, Dumler F, Raofi V, Cohn S, Koffron A. Primary 17 nonfunction of renal allograft secondary to acute oxalate nephropathy. Case Rep Transplant 2011; 2011: 876906 [PMID: 23213607 DOI: 10.1155/2011/876906
- 18 Gjertson DW. A multi-factor analysis of kidney regraft outcomes. Clin Transpl 2002; 335-349 [PMID: 12971460]
- Pour-Reza-Gholi F, Nafar M, Saeedinia A, Farrokhi F, Firouzan A, Simforoosh N, Basiri A, Einollahi B. Kidney retransplantation in 19 comparison with first kidney transplantation. Transplant Proc 2005; 37: 2962-2964 [PMID: 16213274 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2005.08.034
- 20 Arnol M, Prather JC, Mittalhenkle A, Barry JM, Norman DJ. Long-term kidney regraft survival from deceased donors: risk factors and outcomes in a single center. Transplantation 2008; 86: 1084-1089 [PMID: 18946346 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e318187ba5c]
- 21 El-Agroudy AE, Wafa EW, Bakr MA, Donia AF, Ismail AM, Shokeir AA, Shehab El-Dein AB, Ghoneim MA. Living-donor kidney retransplantation: risk factors and outcome. BJU Int 2004; 94: 369-373 [PMID: 15291869 DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2004.04934.x]
- 22 Kostakis ID, Moris DN, Barlas A, Bokos I, Darema M, Theodoropoulou E, Karaolanis G, Kostakis A, Boletis I, Zavos G. Impact of donor and recipient age difference on long-term allograft survival after living donor renal transplantation: analysis of 478 cases. Clin Transplant 2013; 27: 838-843 [PMID: 23991890 DOI: 10.1111/ctr.12219]
- Wang HH, Lin KJ, Liu KL, Chu SH, Hsieh CY, Chiang YJ. Size does matter-donor-to-recipient body mass index difference may affect renal 23 graft outcome. Transplant Proc 2012; 44: 267-269 [PMID: 22310629 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2011.12.064]
- Almond PS, Matas AJ, Gillingham K, Troppmann C, Payne W, Dunn D, Sutherland D, Najarian JS. Risk factors for second renal allografts 24 immunosuppressed with cyclosporine. Transplantation 1991; 52: 253-258 [PMID: 1871798 DOI: 10.1097/00007890-199108000-00013]
- Arndorfer JA, Meier-Kriesche HU, Ojo AO, Gruber SA, Cibrik DM, Lake KD, Kaplan B, Leichtman AB. Time to first graft loss as a risk 25 factor for second renal allograft loss. Transplant Proc 2001; 33: 1188-1189 [PMID: 11267251 DOI: 10.1016/s0041-1345(00)02379-4]
- 26 Abouljoud MS, Deierhoi MH, Hudson SL, Diethelm AG. Risk factors affecting second renal transplant outcome, with special reference to primary allograft nephrectomy. Transplantation 1995; 60: 138-144 [PMID: 7624955]
- Johnston O, Rose CL, Gill JS. Risks and benefits of preemptive second kidney transplantation. Transplantation 2013; 95: 705-710 [PMID: 27 23503503 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e31827a938f]
- Thompson JS, Thacker LR 2nd, Krishnan G. Human leukocyte antigens DR and AB and kidney retransplantation. Transplantation 2003; 75: 28 718-723 [PMID: 12640315 DOI: 10.1097/01.TP.0000048376.79803.C1]
- Schold J, Poggio E, Goldfarb D, Kayler L, Flechner S. Clinical outcomes associated with induction regimens among retransplant kidney 29
- Zhu L, Fu C, Lin K, Wang Z, Guo H, Chen S, Lin Z, Chen Z, Chen G. Patterns of Early Rejection in Renal Retransplantation: A Single-Center 30 Experience. J Immunol Res 2016; 2016: 2697860 [PMID: 28058265 DOI: 10.1155/2016/2697860]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

