

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Hematology*

Manuscript NO: 88637

Title: Unusual presentation of extramedullary blast crisis in chronic myeloid leukemia:
A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05852316

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-03

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-26 04:05

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-30 02:34

Review time: 3 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. During the patient's hospitalization. No bone marrow biopsy was performed. Why not to perform a bone marrow biopsy ? 2. Treatment . The author provides treatment materials that are not very detailed. Suggest providing a more detailed treatment plan 3. Figures - Legend . Figure 2 , Contrast enhanced computed tomography of abdomen showing Ascites (Blue arrow), Omental caking (Green arrow), Hepatomegaly (Red arrow), and Splenomegaly (Yellow arrow). marked with red, blue, and yellow, suggests to redesign. 4. Figure 3 、 4 The provided pathological images show unclear cell morphology, and Provide a suggestion for the author to provide clearer images again.