

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 89174

Title: Individualized anti-thrombotic therapy for acute myocardial infarction complicated with left ventricular thrombus: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07729308

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: N/A

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-30 14:38

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-03 03:13

Review time: 3 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review: Individualized anti-thrombotic therapy for acute myocardial infarction complicated with left ventricular thrombosis: A case report I commend the author for compiling this manuscript, even in the absence of a source of funding. Their dedication to helping the medical community is truly commendable. 1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes, it does 2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? The following sentence is too long: "Currently, there is no standard anti-thrombotic therapy for patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) complicated with left ventricular thrombosis (LVT), While vitamin K antagonist is the preferred oral anticoagulant, how to define the course of anticoagulant drugs remains challenging." Please revise punctuation. The words "While" should not be capitalized after a comma. The authors have provided a certificate indicating that this manuscript underwent English revision. Nevertheless, I highly recommend that it undergo a review by someone with a medical background Please see below a small suggestion. "Currently, there is no established standard anti-thrombotic therapy for patients experiencing acute myocardial infarction (AMI) complicated by left

ventricular thrombosis (LVT). While vitamin K antagonists are the preferred choice for oral anticoagulation, determining the optimal course of anticoagulant medication remains a challenge. This study makes a significant contribution to the medical community. However, the presentation of the observations, as depicted in the manuscript, may not be optimal. 3 Key Words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes, it does 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? Yes, it does 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? In the section “ Chief complaint: A 52-year-old male was admitted to the hospital on December 7, 2019, mainly due to "chest pain for more than one month, which worsened for two hours". Do you mean the following: “Chief Complaint: A 52-year-old male was admitted to the hospital on December 7, 2019, primarily presenting with "chest pain persisting for over one month, with a recent exacerbation lasting two hours." In the section “On November 25, the case was discharged from the hospital and diagnosed as AMI. Based on the patient's compliance, the enoxaparin injection was replaced with dabigatran etexilate capsule 110 mg bid, and the other discharge drugs remained the same as before. The patient's medication compliance was 100% at one week after discharge. The patient felt chest pain two hours before was located in the precordial area, without sweating or discernible severity. Thus, he visited our hospital for further treatment.” Do the authors mean the following: “ On November 25, the patient was discharged from the hospital after being diagnosed with AMI. Based on the patient's adherence to the prescribed treatment plan, enoxaparin injections were switched to dabigatran etexilate capsules (110 mg twice daily), while the other discharge medications remained unchanged. The patient's compliance with medication was at 100% one week after discharge. The patient experienced chest pain two hours before visiting our hospital, which was localized to the precordial area and

not accompanied by sweating or apparent severity. Consequently, he sought further medical treatment at our hospital". The patient sought further medical treatment a week after discharged, is that understanding correct? In the section: "Personal and Family History: Personal history and family history had not unique...., No genetic history in the family". Do the authors mean the following: "Personal and Family History: The personal history and family history did not reveal any distinctive features. There was no significant genetic history within the family". In the section: "Imaging examinations Cardiac ultrasound showed coronary artery stent implantation, normal diameters of all heart chambers, segmental dyskinesia of the left ventricular wall, slightly decreased left ventricular function, LVEF of 0.49, and a band-shaped slightly higher echo of about 45.3×42.7×17.4 mm in the apex of the left ventricle. Admission diagnosis was as follows: (1) AMI; (2) coronary atherosclerotic heart disease; (3) post-coronary stent implantation; (4) ventricular thrombosis. Please refer to thrombus as thrombus, then if the authors want to characterized it by the shape and size will be find, but be clear and definite to call thrombus as it is. In the section: "Treatment process The patient started triple anti-thrombotic drugs (aspirin enteric-coated tablets 0.1 g qd, clopidogrel hydrogen sulfate 75 mg qd, dabigatran ester capsules 110 mg bid).." Please, you can express the dosing schedule using "daily" and "twice daily." Here's the revised sentence: "The patient initiated a regimen of triple anti-thrombotic medications (daily aspirin enteric-coated tablets at 0.1 g, daily clopidogrel hydrogen sulfate at 75 mg, and dabigatran etexilate capsules at 110 mg twice daily)...." 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? Yes, they are This study makes a significant contribution to the medical field by providing valuable information to guide the management of left ventricular thrombus. The current American Association guidelines typically recommend a three-month course of oral anticoagulants. However, this case study



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

highlights the importance of extended treatment and continuous monitoring of the thrombus, even after the patient is discharged. 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? The discussion should content more bibliographic citation to back up their conclusions. If Im not mistaken I could recognize only 3 citation, please add more citations to back up your conclusion. 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams, and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative, with labeling of figures using arrows, asterisks, etc, and are the legends adequate and accurately reflective of the images/illustrations shown? No, they are not. The impact of this manuscript could be significantly enhanced if it included all the echocardiogram images captured throughout the course of the case. Additionally, it would be beneficial if the thrombus size were consistently measured in a similar fashion across all the images for a more comprehensive analysis. 10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? Yes, it does 11 References. Does the manuscript appropriately cite the latest, important and authoritative references in the Introduction and Discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? Please see comment under discussion. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? To enhance the manuscript, improvements in the organization of the timeline of events and grammar correction are necessary. 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? The consent term was written in Chinese

Observation: Please mention the source of guidelines. To mention the source of guidelines referenced in this manuscript, you could say something like: "The guidelines mentioned in this manuscript are primarily derived from [Source Name or Organization], which provide recommendations for the management of [specific medical condition]." Replace "[Source Name or Organization]" with the actual name of the source or organization from which the guidelines were obtained.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 89174

Title: Individualized anti-thrombotic therapy for acute myocardial infarction complicated with left ventricular thrombus: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07729308

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: N/A

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-23

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-05 20:14

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-05 20:54

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Observations: 1- In the abstract and throughout the manuscript, there are instances of text like +ADw-html+AD4APA-p+AD4-. Please correct these. 2- In the abstract: However, the manner in which the observations are presented in the manuscript may not be optimal. What is meant by this? Perhaps this should be omitted. 3- In the section on laboratory examinations, the word “oratory” appears, presumably intended to be “laboratory”. Similarly, in the treatment section, the word “eatment” seems to be a typographical error for “treatment”.