

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 89511

Title: Early gastric cancer recurrence after endoscopic submucosal dissection: Not to be

ignored!

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05194798 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-18 22:23

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-25 23:13

Review time: 7 Days

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair |
| this manuscript | [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |



| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|--|
| Language quality | [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | []Yes [Y]No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is an editorial article that focused on the importance of paying more attention to post-endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) gastric cancer recurrence in patients with early gastric cancer (EGC) and how to manage it effectively. The authors mentioned that we should pay attention not only to the local recurrence after ESD but also metachronous GC recurrence. In addition, the authors raised challenges we should cope with. This is a well-written paper that presents informative data. The results will be of interest to clinicians and researchers in the field.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 89511

Title: Early gastric cancer recurrence after endoscopic submucosal dissection: Not to be

ignored!

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05342613 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: FACS

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-03

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-30 07:52

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-06 07:01

Review time: 5 Days and 23 Hours

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair |
| this manuscript | [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |



| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|---|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | []Yes [Y]No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. YES 2 Abstract. YES. SUITABLE 3 Key Words. OK 4 Background. YES, MORE DETERMINATIVE 5 Methods. YES, SUITABLE 6 Results. YES 7 Discussion. ÇOK TANIMLAYICI OLMUŞ. AKLA GELEBİLECEK SORULARI BİLİMSEL, SADE VE NET IFADELERLE AÇIKLAMIŞLAR. 8 Illustrations and tables. NO NEED 9 Biostatistics. DNO NEED 10 Units. YES 11 References. YES. APPROPRIATE 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. WELL WRITEN 13 Research methods and reporting. IT IS MORE EDITORIAL THAN EVER 14 Ethics statements. NO NEED