

Reviewer 1

Dear editor, I am pleased to review the submitted paper entitled "Expandable endoprotheses in skeletally immature patients: where we are" The present paper reviewed improvement of extendable endoprosthesis replacements over the years and the comparison between noninvasive prostheses and minimally invasive expandable prostheses. In my opinion the content is original, current, objective and persuasive. But there are some questions need to be address: 1.Please kindly divide maintext to different sections such as noninvasive prostheses; minimally invasive expandable prostheses; expandable joint; expandable nails; complications. 2.Please provide illustrations of different prostheses. 3.Please summarize the studies into a table.

Answer 1

Dear Editor and Reviewer,

I want to thank you for your insightful comments and suggestions. I made all the possible changes that were suggested and detailed them in the below. I sincerely appreciate your insightful comments on the paper. I want to thank you again for your valuable time and contributions to strengthen my manuscript.

Yours truly,

Corresponding author.

1) Thank you for your comments. In this study, on the one hand, the studies are listed chronologically in the most appropriate way possible, on the other hand, the types of prosthesis, their development processes and their specific complications are reported. Important results of prominent studies in this field were analyzed. In some of the studies in the literature, different extensible prosthesis types or different prosthesis types were compared. In addition, most of the studies were in the form of case series, and each study shared its own outcome and complication data. Dividing the study into individual headings and collecting each type of prostheses and complications under different headings will disrupt the flow and integrity of the given subject. For this reason, it was planned to edit the article to maintain the same flow.

2) Thank you for your comments. In this article, although the information has been collected in a compilation format, at the end of the day, this is an editorial article. I guess editorial notes do not include pictures, I have never come across an editorial where pictures are included. Readers will be able to learn which prosthesis is mentioned in which article from the resources section and, if necessary, examine the relevant article/prostheses.

3) Thank you for your comments. This study was written as an editorial, not a compilation. For this reason, articles were not added according to any rules in this study. Additionally, for example, information about any parameter was not analyzed in every article. In other words, this article is not a detailed review/compilation of all articles on any subject. For example, only one specific complication was taken from a case series in one article, only a comparison result was taken from another, and only some rates were taken from another. The aim here is to elucidate this broad subject in the most concise way possible. This editorial is a bit long despite all the effort, adding tables will further expand the article. For these reasons, tables could not be added.