

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 89900

Title: Ex-vivo liver resection auto-transplantation and special systemic therapy in perihilar cholangiocarcinoma treatment

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03881243

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Chief Technician, Deputy Director, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-30 04:13

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-05 15:19

Review time: 5 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: 1. This editorial describes the application of ELRAT in perihilar cholangiocarcinoma, while clinical doctor confuse to choose beneficial populations. The Editorial need to be made in the review. 2. The fifth paragraph of the second part is a bit too long for the outcome of the article mentioned, which could add to the discussion and summary. 3. The fourth paragraph of Part Two refers to "higher morbidity", but not in the following paragraphs. 4. "Munugala et al added to FGFR the Isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutations accounted up to 15% in different subsets of CCA" The meaning of the number 15% in this sentence is unclear. 5. The editorial should add more comments on the article by Hu et al. 6. Explain the abbreviations that appear for the first time in the article, such as "CCA", "LT", "ERAT".