

## RESPONSE TO THE REVIEWERS (Manuscript ID: 90157)

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

Thank you for your valuable comments and suggestions about our manuscript entitled "Amebic Liver Abscess: An Update," (manuscript no 90157, review). These are very helpful for revising and improving our manuscript.

Our point-by-point responses to the issues raised in the peer review report are as follows:

### **Reviewer #1:**

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

**1.** This manuscript discusses the types of amebic liver abscess, pathogenesis characteristics, clinical manifestations, diagnostic methods and so on, which is of some significance. However, there are several points that need to be revised and improved, such as the abstract should be able to reflect the main content and viewpoints of the full text.

**Authors' response:** Thank you for your valuable opinion and suggestion. We have revised the abstract as per your suggestions. It reflects the content and viewpoints of the full text.

**2.** The database source, time, and selection of the literature should be provided;

**Authors' response:** Thank you for your valuable opinion and suggestion. In the revised manuscript, the data source with other details have been included (section introduction, highlighted in yellow)

**3.**The discussion part should also summarize the content of the review, and it should have its own viewpoints and reflections;

**Authors' response:** Thank you for your suggestion. The content of the review, viewpoints and reflections have been well summarized in the conclusion section of the revised manuscript.

**4.** because it is a review, the references should be mainly in the last three years. It is suggested to publish it after revision and improvement.

**Authors' response:** Thank you for your valuable opinion and suggestion. We have cited all the relevant papers published during recent times. There are 26 references from last 3 years publications.

#### **4 LANGUAGE POLISHING REQUIREMENTS FOR REVISED MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED BY AUTHORS WHO ARE NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

As the revision process results in changes to the content of the manuscript, language problems may exist in the revised manuscript. Thus, it is necessary to perform further language polishing that will ensure all grammatical, syntactical, formatting and other related errors be resolved, so that the revised manuscript will meet the publication requirement (Grade A).

Authors are requested to send their revised manuscript to a professional English language editing company or a native English-speaking expert to polish the manuscript further. When the authors submit the subsequent polished manuscript to us, they must provide a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

**Authors' response:** The revised manuscript has been edited for proper English language by a profession body and a high quality has been achieved (certificate included).

## 5 ABBREVIATIONS

In general, do not use non-standard abbreviations, unless they appear at least two times in the text preceding the first usage/definition. Certain commonly used abbreviations, such as DNA, RNA, HIV, LD50, PCR, HBV, ECG, WBC, RBC, CT, ESR, CSF, IgG, ELISA, PBS, ATP, EDTA, and mAb, do not need to be defined and can be used directly.

**Authors' response:** Thank you for your valuable comment. The revised manuscript has been thoroughly been edited keeping in mind the aforementioned suggestions.

## 6 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

### ***(1) Science editor:***

(3) Table(s) and figure(s): There are 5 Figures and 2 Tables should be improved. Detailed suggestions for each are listed in the specific comments section

**Authors' response:** Thank you for your suggestions. We have modified the tables and figures as per the suggestions.

.

3 Language evaluation: The English-language grammatical presentation needs to be improved to a certain extent. There are many errors in grammar and format, throughout the entire manuscript. Before final acceptance, the authors must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the

following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend:  
<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

**Authors' response:** The revised manuscript has been edited for proper English language by a native profession body and a high quality has been achieved (certificate included).

4 Specific comments: (1) Please provide the filled conflict-of-interest disclosure form.

**Authors' response:** It will be included with the submission of rivesed manuscript.

(2) Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT. All text can be edited, including A, B, arrows, etc. With respect to the reference to the Figure, please verify if it is an original image created for the manuscript, if not, please provide the source of the picture and the proof that the Figure has been authorized by the previous publisher or copyright owner to allow it to be redistributed. All legends are incorrectly formatted and require a general title and explanation for each figure. Such as Figure 1 title. A: ; B: ; C: .

**Authors' response:** All figures are original and have been formatted as per the requirement of the journal. They are being submitted in the form of PPT (editable).

(3) Please obtain permission for the use of picture(s). If an author of a submission is re-using a figure or figures published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published, and correctly indicate the reference source and copyrights. For example, "Figure 1 Histopathological examination by hematoxylin-eosin staining (200 ×). A: Control group; B: Model group; C: Pioglitazone hydrochloride group; D: Chinese herbal medicine group. Citation: Yang JM, Sun Y, Wang M, Zhang XL, Zhang SJ, Gao YS, Chen L, Wu MY, Zhou L, Zhou YM, Wang Y, Zheng FJ, Li YH. Regulatory effect of a Chinese herbal medicine formula on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2019; 25(34): 5105-5119. Copyright ©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc[6]". And please cite the reference source in the references list. If the author fails to properly cite the published or copyrighted picture(s) or table(s) as described above, he/she

will be subject to withdrawal of the article from BPG publications and may even be held liable.

**Authors' response:** All the figures are original and have not been used earlier in any publication.

(4) Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

**Authors' response:** Tables have been modified as per the suggestion.

5 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

**Authors' response:** Thank you so much.

**(2) Company editor-in-chief:**

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, and full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the *World Journal of Hepatology*, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

When revising the manuscript, it is recommended that the author supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the *RCA*, of which data source is PubMed. *RCA* is a unique artificial intelligence system for citation index evaluation of medical science and life science literature. In it, upon obtaining

search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our *RCA* database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>, or visit PubMed at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>.

**Authors' response:** Thank you for recommending conditional acceptance of our paper for the esteemed journal – World Journal of Hepatology. We have revised the manuscript thoroughly as per the revisers' suggestions and the journal's guidelines.

Best regards,

**Ramesh Kumar,**

**MD, DM, Additional Professor, Head,**

Department of Gastroenterology, 4<sup>th</sup> floor, IPD Block-C,

All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna-801507, India.,

Email: [docrameshkr@gmail.com](mailto:docrameshkr@gmail.com)