

World Journal of *Clinical Oncology*

World J Clin Oncol 2024 February 24; 15(2): 165-359



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 2 February 24, 2024

EDITORIAL

- 165 Circulating tumor cells as prognostic marker in pancreatic cancer
Yakar M, Etiz D
- 169 Unlocking the potential-vitamin D in prostate cancer prevention
Cassell A, Konneh S
- 175 TM9SF1 is implicated in promoting the proliferation and invasion of bladder cancer cells
Zhou SQ, Luo LX

REVIEW

- 178 Updates on management of gliomas in the molecular age
Mohamed AA, Alshaibi R, Faragalla S, Mohamed Y, Lucke-Wold B

MINIREVIEWS

- 195 Deregulation of interferon-gamma receptor 1 expression and its implications for lung adenocarcinoma progression
Tecalco-Cruz AC, Medina-Abreu KH, Oropeza-Martínez E, Zepeda-Cervantes J, Vázquez-Macías A, Macías-Silva M

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical and Translational Research

- 208 Elucidating the molecular basis of ATP-induced cell death in breast cancer: Construction of a robust prognostic model
Zhang HL, Doblin S, Zhang ZW, Song ZJ, Dinesh B, Tabana Y, Saad DS, Adam Ahmed Adam M, Wang Y, Wang W, Zhang HL, Wu S, Zhao R, Khaled B
- 243 Identification of immune cell-related prognostic genes characterized by a distinct microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma
Li MT, Zheng KF, Qiu YE
- Retrospective Study
- 271 Population-based X-ray gastric cancer screening in Hiroshima prefecture, Japan
Vu NTH, Urabe Y, Quach DT, Oka S, Hiyama T
- 282 Endoscopic resection for calcifying fibrous tumors of the gastrointestinal tract
Geng ZH, Zhu Y, Fu PY, Qu YF, Chen SY, Zhong YS, Zhang YQ, Chen WF, Qin WZ, Hu JW, Cai MY, Yao LQ, Li QL, Zhou PH

Observational Study

- 290** Prevalence, risk factors, and BRAF mutation of colorectal sessile serrated lesions among Vietnamese patients
Vu NTH, Le HM, Vo DTN, Vu HA, Le NQ, Ho DDQ, Quach DT

Basic Study

- 302** TM9SF1 promotes bladder cancer cell growth and infiltration
Wei L, Wang SS, Huang ZG, He RQ, Luo JY, Li B, Cheng JW, Wu KJ, Zhou YH, Liu S, Li SH, Chen G
- 317** Limonin inhibits the stemness of cancer stem-like cells derived from colorectal carcinoma cells potentially via blocking STAT3 signaling
Zhang WF, Ruan CW, Wu JB, Wu GL, Wang XG, Chen HJ

META-ANALYSIS

- 329** Identification and validation of a pyroptosis-related prognostic model for colorectal cancer based on bulk and single-cell RNA sequencing data
Zhu LH, Yang J, Zhang YF, Yan L, Lin WR, Liu WQ

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 356** Bridging the gap: Predicting brain metastasis in breast cancer
Gonsalves D, Ciérvidé R, Couñago F

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*, Arkadeep Dhali, MBBS, MPH, FRSPH, Academic Clinical Fellow, Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, Sheffield, United Kingdom.
arkadipdhali@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Oncology* (WJCO, *World J Clin Oncol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of oncology and covering a wide range of topics including art of oncology, biology of neoplasia, breast cancer, cancer prevention and control, cancer-related complications, diagnosis in oncology, gastrointestinal cancer, genetic testing for cancer, gynecologic cancer, head and neck cancer, hematologic malignancy, lung cancer, melanoma, molecular oncology, neurooncology, palliative and supportive care, pediatric oncology, surgical oncology, translational oncology, and urologic oncology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJCO as 2.8; IF without journal self cites: 2.8; 5-year IF: 3.0; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.36.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Xiang-Di Zhang; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Xu Guo.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ISSN

ISSN 2218-4333 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

November 10, 2010

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Hiten RH Patel, Stephen Safe, Jian-Hua Mao, Ken H Young

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

February 24, 2024

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Bridging the gap: Predicting brain metastasis in breast cancer

Daniela Gonsalves, Raquel Ciérvidé, Felipe Couñago

Specialty type: Oncology

Provenance and peer review:

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B

Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Sun GH, China

Received: November 29, 2023

Peer-review started: November 29, 2023

First decision: December 22, 2023

Revised: January 4, 2024

Accepted: January 30, 2024

Article in press: January 30, 2024

Published online: February 24, 2024



Daniela Gonsalves, Felipe Couñago, Department of Radiation Oncology, GenesisCare Madrid, Madrid 28043, Spain

Daniela Gonsalves, Felipe Couñago, Facultad de Medicina Salud y Deporte, Universidad Europea de Madrid, Madrid 28670, Spain

Raquel Ciérvidé, Department of Radiation Oncology, HM Hospitales, Madrid 28050, Spain

Corresponding author: Felipe Couñago, PhD, Director, Department of Radiation Oncology, GenesisCare Madrid, Modesto La Fuente, Madrid 28010, Spain. fcounago@gmail.com

Abstract

Chen *et al* explored clinicopathological features and prognostic factors, revealing advanced tumor stage, lung metastases, HER-2 overexpression, and triple-negative status as key contributors. Recent research connects astrocytes' role in brain metastasis with signaling pathways and the impact of Trastuzumab on HER-2 tumor survival. Factors such as positive HER2 status, lack of estrogen receptor expression, and liver metastasis are identified as additional risk factors. The routine use of magnetic resonance imaging, insights into gene mutations associated with metastasis, and the role of radiotherapy, including prophylaxis possibilities, is controversial in clinical practice. Understanding these risk factors in a multidisciplinary collaboration is precise for local treatments and targeted therapies, particularly for HER2+ tumors, impacting directly on longer survival.

Key Words: Brain metastases; Breast cancer; Clinicopathological features; High-risk factors

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Based on an institutional analysis of risk factors to develop brain metastases in a setting of breast cancer patients, we have conducted a brief review of the literature on the known risk factors as well as the various strategies that could contribute to improving disease control and survival prospects for these patients.

Citation: Gonsalves D, Ciérvidé R, Couñago F. Bridging the gap: Predicting brain metastasis in breast cancer. *World J Clin Oncol* 2024; 15(2): 356-359

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v15/i2/356.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v15.i2.356>

TO THE EDITOR

We have read with great interest the institutional analysis by Chen *et al*[1] entitled “Analysis of clinicopathological features and prognostic factors of breast cancer brain metastasis” and we would like to express our congratulations to the authors for their research, which is highly relevant to the oncology community.

The authors conducted an analysis of clinical and pathological characteristics in 68 breast cancer (BC) patients with brain metastases. These patients were compared in a 1:2 ratio with another 136 breast cancer patients who did not develop brain metastases, and this comparison was based on age and the site of disease onset. The study involved a retrospective examination, an evaluation of risk factors, and an identification of prognostic markers related to brain metastases.

They shared their institutional discoveries with the readers, determining that an advanced tumor stage at diagnosis (hazard ratio: 5.58, 95%CI: 1.99-15.68), the presence of lung metastasis (HR: 24.18, 95%CI: 6.40-91.43), HER-2 overexpression ($P < 0.001$), and the triple-negative status ($P < 0.005$) increased the likelihood of developing brain metastases. With a special interest in lung metastasis resulting as the only statistically significant risk factor in multivariate analysis associated with increasing the risk for breast cancer brain metastasis (BM) (BCBM) (HR: 24.18, 95%CI: 6.40-91.43). Regarding survival time, they showed that the presence of neurological symptoms (HR: 1.923, 95%CI: 1.005-3.680), the occurrence of bone metastasis (HR: 2.011, 95%CI: 1.056-3.831), and molecular type independently contribute to influencing the prognosis of BM[1].

Although the blood-brain barrier acts as a significant protector against tumor cells, infections, and external agents, recent studies, such as the one by Priego *et al*[2], suggest that astrocytes, which constitute approximately 50% of the cells in the brain, participate in the development of brain metastases through signaling pathways such as STAT3, PI3K-Akt, and Her2-Her3. This involvement facilitates tumor cells in crossing the barrier and creating favorable microenvironments for their development[2,3].

Since the introduction of Trastuzumab in 1990, the survival of patients with HER-2 tumors has significantly increased, allowing them more time to develop brain metastasis[4].

Despite the relatively small sample size of the analysis, their results align with the existing body of literature on this subject since numerous prognostic factors have been identified as being linked to an increased risk of recurrence and developing BM, such as III-IV stage at initial diagnosis, presence of nodal infiltration, size of initial tumor and histological differentiation[5].

As highlighted by the authors, the risk and prognosis vary depending on the BC subtype. According to existing literature, patients who are HER2-positive and those with triple-negative BC, exhibit higher rates of brain metastases, approximately 20%-50% and 25%-46% respectively, will eventually develop brain metastasis[6].

Furthermore, positive HER2 status and lack of estrogen receptor expression (ER-), were identified as risk factors for the development of cerebral metastasis[7].

Some other risk factors for the development of BM have been identified, such as liver metastasis[8].

Although authors didn't find a statistical association with age and the risk of developing brain metastasis, literature remains controversial regarding this issue[9].

Another clinical factor contributing to the development of brain metastases is the resistance to endocrine therapy observed in breast cancer patients with ER+. It is recognized that, initially, fifteen to twenty percent of ER+ breast cancer patients exhibit resistance, and an additional 30%-40% develop resistance to endocrine treatment over time[10]. Endocrine resistance was not evaluated as a factor in this analysis. However, it could certainly be interesting to explore in future studies.

A recent German multicenter registry of brain metastases of breast cancer published in 2023, analyzed a total of 2889 patients, showing long-term survival factors in BCBM such as performance status, younger age, HER2-positive subtype, oligo BM and visceral metastases[11].

Hence, individuals displaying these clinical features might be more suitable for prolonged local and systemic treatments. Remarkably recognizing factors linked to prolonged survival holds significance in enhancing treatment strategies.

The authors also suggest that early diagnosis and early treatment could potentially enhance the prognosis of high-risk patients. This alignment with the literature stems from the observation that early detection of BM (within the first 6 months of metastatic disease diagnosis) and the presence of asymptomatic BM are linked to longer overall survival[12].

However, there is still controversy in routine use of magnetic resonance imaging in the follow up of breast patients. European Association of Neuro-Oncology (EANO) guidelines indicate that screening for BM should be considered for metastatic BC patients with HER2-positive and triple-negative breast cancer with a level IV (EANO) and IV B (European Society for Medical Oncology)[13]. Although this approach would increase the detection rate of asymptomatic BM, practical implementation in routine follow-up is constrained by health economic considerations.

In addition, and regarding early diagnosis, recent reviews have identified 268 genes in 431 evaluated studies, with 8% of these mutations being associated with the onset of metastasis. Surprisingly, 68% of these genes are targets for future drugs[14]. An in line with this article, a meta-analysis published in 2023 identified six genes exhibiting high prevalence of mutations in BM, due to their potential role in the cerebral metastatic process and resistance to first-line anticancer drugs: ESR1, ERBB2, EGFR, PTEN, BRCA2, and NOTCH1[15].

Regarding the role of radiotherapy in brain metastases, its efficacy is not in question due to the scientific evidence in its favor. However, there is a possibility of extending its role to prophylaxis, similar to what is done in small cell lung carcinoma. Hashem *et al*[16] randomly assigned 62 high-risk breast cancer patients to prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) with 24 Gy in 10 fractions over 2 wk, comparing them with an observation group. Neurocognitive function (NCF) was assessed at baseline and every 6 months using the Mini-Mental State Exam. No patient in the PCI group developed

brain metastases, compared to 6.4% in the non-prophylaxis group. NCF was similar in both arms[17]. Canney *et al*[18] also published a prospective randomized clinical trial testing the role of prophylactic cranial radiotherapy based on 30 Gy in 10 fractions in metastatic breast cancer patients treated with Trastuzumab. Results showed that the cumulative incidence of BM at 2 years in the PCI arm was 21%, compared to 32.4% in the non-PCI arm. However, no significant differences in NCF were reported.

No less important is the role of the multidisciplinary collaboration of different specialists, better and quicker access to precise local treatments like cerebral radiosurgery compared to whole-brain radiotherapy, as well as more equitable access to the latest targeted therapies, especially in patients with HER2+ tumors, would likely have a favorable impact on their prognosis.

To conclude, all efforts that enable to understand the risk factors that help predict the occurrence of brain metastases, as well as to identify which patients with metastases survive longer, will have a direct impact on personalizing and better tailoring treatments for each patient.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Gonsalves D, Ciervide R, Couñago F contributed equally to this work; All authors have read and approve the final.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: Spain

ORCID number: Raquel Ciervide 0000-0003-0130-878X; Felipe Couñago 0000-0001-7233-0234.

S-Editor: Liu JH

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Zhang XD

REFERENCES

- Chen YR, Xu ZX, Jiang LX, Dong ZW, Yu PF, Zhang Z, Gu GL. Analysis of clinicopathological features and prognostic factors of breast cancer brain metastasis. *World J Clin Oncol* 2023; **14**: 445-458 [PMID: 38059189 DOI: 10.5306/wjco.v14.i11.445]
- Priego N, Zhu L, Monteiro C, Mulders M, Wasilewski D, Bindeman W, Doglio L, Martínez L, Martínez-Saez E, Ramón Y Cajal S, Megías D, Hernández-Encinas E, Blanco-Aparicio C, Zarzuela E, Muñoz J, Fustero-Torre C, Piñero-Yáñez E, Hernández-Lain A, Bertero L, Poli V, Sanchez-Martinez M, Menendez JA, Soffiotti R, Bosch-Barrera J, Valiente M. STAT3 labels a subpopulation of reactive astrocytes required for brain metastasis. *Nat Med* 2018; **24**: 1024-1035 [PMID: 29892069 DOI: 10.1038/s41591-018-0044-4]
- Hosonaga M, Saya H, Arima Y. Molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying brain metastasis of breast cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2020; **39**: 711-720 [PMID: 32399646 DOI: 10.1007/s10555-020-09881-y]
- Lin NU, Winer EP. Brain metastases: the HER2 paradigm. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007; **13**: 1648-1655 [PMID: 17363517 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2478]
- Koniali L, Hadjisavvas A, Constantinidou A, Christodoulou K, Christou Y, Demetriou C, Panayides AS, Pitris C, Pattichis CS, Zambanopoulos E, Kyriacou K. Risk factors for breast cancer brain metastases: a systematic review. *Oncotarget* 2020; **11**: 650-669 [PMID: 32110283 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.27453]
- Tomasik B, Bienkowski M, Górska Z, Gutowska K, Kumięga P, Jassem J, Duchnowska R. Molecular aspects of brain metastases in breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2023; **114**: 102521 [PMID: 36736124 DOI: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2023.102521]
- Heitz F, Rochon J, Harter P, Lueck HJ, Fisseler-Eckhoff A, Barinoff J, Traut A, Lorenz-Salehi F, du Bois A. Cerebral metastases in metastatic breast cancer: disease-specific risk factors and survival. *Ann Oncol* 2011; **22**: 1571-1581 [PMID: 21059640 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdq625]
- Bai X, Lin X, Song J, Chang JH, Han LL, Fan C. Incidence of central nervous system metastases in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer treated with trastuzumab: A meta-analysis. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 2021; **76**: e2653 [PMID: 34406268 DOI: 10.6061/clinics/2021/e2653]
- Rostami R, Mittal S, Rostami P, Tavassoli F, Jabbari B. Brain metastasis in breast cancer: a comprehensive literature review. *J Neurooncol* 2016; **127**: 407-414 [PMID: 26909695 DOI: 10.1007/s11060-016-2075-3]
- Lei JT, Anurag M, Haricharan S, Gou X, Ellis MJ. Endocrine therapy resistance: new insights. *Breast* 2019; **48** Suppl 1: S26-S30 [PMID: 31839155 DOI: 10.1016/S0960-9776(19)31118-X]
- Riecke K, Müller V, Neunhöffer T, Park-Simon TW, Weide R, Polasik A, Schmidt M, Puppe J, Mundhenke C, Lübke K, Hesse T, Thill M, Wuerstlein R, Denkert C, Decker T, Fehm T, Nekljudova V, Rey J, Loibl S, Laakmann E, Witzel I. Long-term survival of breast cancer patients with brain metastases: subanalysis of the BMBC registry. *ESMO Open* 2023; **8**: 101213 [PMID: 37075697 DOI: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2023.101213]
- Niikura N, Hayashi N, Masuda N, Takashima S, Nakamura R, Watanabe K, Kanbayashi C, Ishida M, Hozumi Y, Tsuneizumi M, Kondo N, Naito Y, Honda Y, Matsui A, Fujisawa T, Oshitanai R, Yasojima H, Tokuda Y, Saji S, Iwata H. Treatment outcomes and prognostic factors for

patients with brain metastases from breast cancer of each subtype: a multicenter retrospective analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014; **147**: 103-112 [PMID: [25106661](#) DOI: [10.1007/s10549-014-3090-8](#)]

- 13 **Cagney DN**, Martin AM, Catalano PJ, Redig AJ, Lin NU, Lee EQ, Wen PY, Dunn IF, Bi WL, Weiss SE, Haas-Kogan DA, Alexander BM, Aizer AA. Incidence and prognosis of patients with brain metastases at diagnosis of systemic malignancy: a population-based study. *Neuro Oncol* 2017; **19**: 1511-1521 [PMID: [28444227](#) DOI: [10.1093/neuonc/nox077](#)]
- 14 **Morgan AJ**, Giannoudis A, Palmieri C. The genomic landscape of breast cancer brain metastases: a systematic review. *Lancet Oncol* 2021; **22**: e7-e17 [PMID: [33387511](#) DOI: [10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30556-8](#)]
- 15 **Nguyen TT**, Hamdan D, Angeli E, Feugeas JP, Le QV, Pamoukdjian F, Bousquet G. Genomics of Breast Cancer Brain Metastases: A Meta-Analysis and Therapeutic Implications. *Cancers (Basel)* 2023; **15** [PMID: [36980614](#) DOI: [10.3390/cancers15061728](#)]
- 16 **Hashem T**, Eldin KK, Metwaly H, Elkholy E. Prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) in high-risk breast cancer patients: Preliminary data. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26**: 11501 [DOI: [10.1200/jco.2008.26.15_suppl.11501](#)]
- 17 **Gandhi AK**, Sharma DN, Rath GK. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in breast cancer: A new way forward. *Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol* 2015; **36**: 77-78 [PMID: [26157281](#) DOI: [10.4103/0971-5851.158822](#)]
- 18 **Canney P**, Murray E, Dixon-Hughes J, Lewsley LA, Paul J. A Prospective Randomised Phase III Clinical Trial Testing the Role of Prophylactic Cranial Radiotherapy in Patients Treated with Trastuzumab for Metastatic Breast Cancer - Anglo Celtic VII. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2015; **27**: 460-464 [PMID: [25976296](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.clon.2015.04.033](#)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

