

Point-by-point responses to editors and reviewers

Thanks for your hard work in reviewing our manuscript. We read with great caution to all your kind comments. Our point-by-point responses to all comments are as following:

REVIEWERS' COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR:

Reviewer 1:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

The article is aimed to comment on the article by Zhang JC et al. published in the recent issue of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2023; 15(12): 699-704. The title is “Colonoscopy in the diagnosis and management of appendiceal disease”.

1. This is an editorial.

Response: Thanks for your friendly reminding.

2. Several factors associate with the diagnosis and management of appendiceal disease. Please discuss these.

Response: Thanks for your friendly reminding. We have added the section about this in the revised manuscript.

3. Please review the literature and add more details in the discussion section.

Response: Thanks for your friendly reminding. We have reviewed the literature and enriched the discussion section in the revised manuscript.

4. What is the new knowledge of this article?

Response: We have revised this manuscript based on your valuable comments. In this manuscript, we summarize the current state of colonoscopic management of appendiceal disease, with an emphasis on reconsideration of the function of the appendix and endoscopic treatment.

5. Please recommend to the readers “How to apply this knowledge?”. Response:

Thanks for your friendly reminding. We have revised this manuscript based on your valuable comments.