Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

May 9, 2014

Dear Editor,

Please find the enclosed edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 10133-review.doc).

Title: Comprehensive urodynamics: being devoted to clinical urologic practice

Author: Chuangyu Qu, Danfeng Xu

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Urology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10133

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:
1 Format has been updated as in ESPS manuscript No 10133-edited.

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

(1) 505691 Detailed and interesting information. 9000pt data presented.

To this opinion, I don't think any revision is needed.

(2) 742243：The authors present a comprehensive review of fundamental concepts behind technique, application, and interpretation of UDS testing and how they are applicable to general urologists in office settings. The authors' experience and that of experts in the field are also considered in order to provide the readers with useful practical suggestions in everyday clinical practice. The manuscript contain significant information, the clinical problem is relevant and concisely stated. The theoretical and technological methods are described comprehensively, the interpretations and conclusions are justified by the literature search and personal experience.

To this opinion, I don't think any revision is needed.

(3) 505614：REVIEW WJCU N° 10133

"COMPREHENSIVE URODYNAMICS: BEING DEVOTED TO CLINICAL UROLOGIC PRACTICE"

Respectfully: This is a very long (9.746 words, 24 figures!) and poorly structured article (from Introduction to Conclusion). **Answer:** Long paper is needed because my experience is enough to support it.

- It goes from one clinical entity to another without any order, mixing physiopathology and some unusual clinical cases. **Answer:** Please read my manuscript carefully, the contents are all from up-dated practice.
- Some subtitles do not reflect the following content and the depth development of the topics is uneven. **Answer:** I think the subtitles reflect the content in general.
- A lot of references are not directly related to the text statement (example Refs. 11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 43, 47). **Answer:** We think references are directly related to the text statement generally.
- The International Continence Society (ICS) standards are not followed: urodynamics curves with intravesical and abdominal pressures starting at cero; Schaefer nomogram used in women. **Answer:** Schaefer nomogram was used in many papers and I did so. Pves and Pabd started at zero. This error will be revised later in our practice.
- The aims of the study (last paragraph of the introduction: "This discussion paper broadly reviews the fundamental concepts behind technique, application, and interpretation of UDS testing and how

they are applicable to general urologists in office settings.") are definitely not met. **Answer:** We will do more work to fit your opinion.

3 References and typesetting were corrected

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Clinical Urology*.

Sincerely yours,

瞿创予

Chuangyu Qu, MD
Dept. of Urology, Changzheng Hospital
Second Military Medical University
Shanghai, China 200003
No 440, North Chengdu Rd.
Fax: +86-21-81885721
E-mail: qu_cy@sohu.com