

May 16, 2014

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 10492-edited.docx).

**Title:** Capsule endoscopy capture rate: has 4 frames-per-second any impact over 2 frames-per-second?

**Authors:** Fernandez-Urien I, Carretero C, Borobio E, Borda A, Estevez E, Galter S, Gonzalez-Suarez B, Gonzalez B, Lujan MS, Martinez JL, Martínez V, Menchen P, Navajas J, Pons V, Prieto C, Valle J.

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10492

On behalf of all authors, I appreciate you and the reviewers very much for your instructive suggestions and the expert comments on our manuscript entitled "Capsule endoscopy capture rate: has 4 frames-per-second any impact over 2 frames-per-second?". We express our sincere thanks to you for your allowing us to revise our manuscript. We also appreciate the comments from the reviewers, which have helped us to improve our manuscript.

As suggested, a native English speaker of our team has reviewed the manuscript and based of her comments we have modified the text. On the other hand, we have reviewed the manuscript according to your suggestions BPG's revision policies and comments raised by reviewers. Please, note that all changes have been highlighted with red color.

Please find below our answer to the reviewer's comments:

**Reviewer #1 (6344)**

1. Comments in the text about other capsule endoscopy companies.

In fact, we did not write comments about other capsule companies. The main reason for that is the low number of comparative studies between them that have been published. As suggested by the reviewer, we have included some comments and references regarding other companies and these comparative studies. We believe that the paper has improved.

2. The text could be shortened.

We agree with this comment. The text could be shorter. However, this is a quite complex study and it has been difficult to shorten the text. Moreover, in the instructions to authors of the journal there are no references regarding the length of the manuscripts (i.e. word count). However, we have reviewed it carefully and tried to re-write some parts in order to shorten it.

**Reviewer #2 (503460)**

1. The references are not up to date.

We agree with this comment. Some of the references are “classic” but solid references of capsule endoscopy papers. This is the reason for using them. However, we have added and changed some references in order to update it.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Gastroenterology*.

Sincerely yours,

Ignacio FERNANDEZ-URIEN, MD, PhD  
Department of Gastroenterology  
Navarra Hospitalary Complex  
Pamplona, Navarra (Spain)  
E-mail: ifurien@yahoo.es