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**Abstract**

**AIM:** To improve an asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR)-based enrichment method for detection of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

**METHODS:** Peripheral blood samples were collected from healthy subjects, patients with HCC or various other cancers, and patients with hepatic lesions or hepatitis. CTCs were enriched from whole blood by extracting CD45-expressing leukocytes with monoclonal antibody coated-beads following density gradient centrifugation. The remaining cells were cytocentrifuged on polylysine-coated slides. Isolated cells were treated by triple immunofluorescence staining with CD45 antibody and a combination of antibodies against ASGPR and carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1 (CPS1), used as liver-specific markers, and costained with DAPI. The cell slide was imaged and stained tumor cells that met preset criteria were counted. Recovery, sensitivity and specificity of the detection methods were determined and compared by spiking experiments with various types of cultured human tumor cell lines. Expression of ASGPR and CPS1 in cultured tumor cells and tumor tissue specimens was analyzed by flow cytometry and triple immunofluorescence staining, respectively.

**RESULTS:** CD45 depletion of leukocytes resulted in a significantly greater recovery of multiple amounts of spiked HCC cells than the ASGPR+ selection (*P*s < 0.05). The expression rates of either ASGPR or CPS1 were different in various liver cancer cell lines, ranging between 18% and 99% for ASGPR and between 9 and 98% for CPS1. In either human HCC tissues or liver cancer cell lines, there were a few HCC cells that did not stain positive for ASGPR or CPS1. The mixture of monoclonal antibodies against ASGPR and CPS1 identified more HCC cells than either antibody alone. However, these antibodies did not detect any tumor cells in blood samples spiked with the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7 and the human renal cancer cell line A498. ASGPR+ or/and CPS1+ CTCs were detected in 29/32 (91%) patients with HCC, but not in patients with any other kind of cancer or any of the other test subjects. Furthermore, the improved method detected a higher CTC count in all patients examined than did the previous method (*P* = 0.001), and consistently achieved 12%–21% higher sensitivity of CTC detection in all seven HCC patients with more than 40 CTCs.

**CONCLUSION:** Negative depletion enrichment combined with identification using a mixture of antibodies against ASGPR and CPS1 improves sensitivity and specificity for detecting circulating HCC cells.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.
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**Core tip:** We previously described asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR)-based positive selection for the enrichment and further detection of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, expression of ASGPR is heterogeneous in human HCC, and ASGPR– cells are missed by this method. In this study, we describe an improved method using depletion enrichment with identification using antibodies against ASGPR and carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1. The improved method significantly improved sensitivity for CTC enrichment, and also provided high specificity for CTC detection in patients with HCC, thereby minimizing false negative/positive results.

Liu HY, Qian HH, Zhang XF, Li J, Yang X, Sun B, Ma JY, Chen L, Yin ZF. Improved method increases sensitivity for circulating hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most common cancer in the world and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths[1,2]. There are an estimated 100 million newly diagnosed patients with HCC per year, with 548600 deaths from liver cancer reported in the year 2000[3]. For patients with adequate hepatocellular function and anatomically resectable tumors, liver resection is generally the first treatment consideration. However, up to 40% of those patients develop recurrences within the first year and there is a 25%–40% expected five-year survival rate[4,5]. The spread of disseminated circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in the blood plays a major role in tumor recurrence and the initiation of metastases after surgery[6,7]. CTCs may represent an active source of HCC metastasis or recurrence, as transplanted allografts are the most common site of early tumor recurrence[8,9].

Currently, the detection and molecular characterization of CTCs are utilized in a variety of malignancies, and have become one of the most active areas of translational cancer research[10-12]. Various technologies for CTC detection and enrichment have been developed in the past decade, including strategies that mainly depend on epithelial cell-surface markers, such as epithelial cell adhesion molecules[13,14]. However, only a small proportion of HCC cells express this marker[15-18], which only identifies low numbers of CTCs in approximately 30 – 40% of patients[19–21]. Moreover, Morris *et al*[21] used a marker-independent isolation approach to successfully identify CTCs in all patient samples, demonstrating the poor concordance between epithelial cell adhesion molecule-positive CTCs and HCC CTCs.

We recently developed and validated an asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR)-based magnetic cell separation method for detection of HCC CTCs[22,23]. ASGPR is an abundant cell surface receptor specific to mammalian hepatocytes that recognizes and internalizes desialylated glycoproteins with exposed terminal *N*-acetylgalactosamine or galactose residues[24,25]. However, several studies reported that the expression of ASGPR is heterogeneous in human HCC[26-28]. Therefore, we evaluated a modified strategy to increase analytical sensitivity of the assay. The modified method involves an initial depletion of CD45+ leukocytes from the sample, followed by detection of CTCs with a combination of two antibodies against liver-specific markers, ASGPR and carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1 (CPS1; a newly identified antigen for Hep Par 1)[29].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Patients and sample collection***

Participants in this study included patients with HCC (*n* = 32), 17 patients with other types of cancer, including breast (*n* = 3), lung (*n* = 2), esophageal (*n* = 3), gastric (*n* = 5) and colorectal (*n* = 4) cancer, patients with other liver diseases, including benign intrahepatic space-occupying lesions (*n* = 12), acute hepatitis A (*n* = 3), chronic hepatitis B (*n* = 6), chronic hepatitis C (*n* = 4) and cirrhosis (*n* = 15), as well as healthy volunteers (*n* = 20). Peripheral venous blood samples (5 mL) from each subject were collected into VACUETTE polyethylene tubes containing ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid (Greiner Bio-One GmbH; Frickenhausen, Germany). The study was approved by the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital (Shanghai, China) and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

***Cell line and culture***

Human liver cancer cell lines (HepG2, Hep3B, Huh7, MHCC-97H, MHCC-97L, PLC/PRF/5, and SMMC-7721), the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7, and human renal cancer cell line A498 were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, United States) and cultured according to their instructions.

***Flow cytometric analysis***

A total of 4 × 105 cells were incubated at 37°C for 45 min with monoclonal mouse anti-ASGPR and/or monoclonal anti-CPS1 antibodies (Abcam; Cambridge, United Kingdom) followed by staining with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated secondary antibody (Beyotime; Shanghai, China) at 4°C for 30 min in the dark. Flow cytometric analysis was then performed using a FACSCalibur system (Becton, Dickinson and Co.; Franklin Lakes, NJ, United States). For spiking experiments, various numbers of tumor cells were added to the 5 mL blood sample aliquots.

***Immunofluorescence staining***

HCC tissue sections were incubated with anti-ASGPR and rabbit anti-CPS1 (Abcam) antibodies at 4°C overnight, and then stained with Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit and fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies (Beyotime) with DAPI at room temperature for 30 min.

Cell slides were incubated with mouse anti-cytokeratin (CK) antibody (CK3-6H5; Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) or a mouse monoclonal antibody cocktail against ASGPR and CPS1 and a rat anti-human CD45 monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Dallas, TX, United States). Slides were then stained with Cy3-conjugated goat anti-mouse and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated rabbit anti-rat (Invitrogen of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, United States) IgG secondary antibodies.

***Mononuclear cell enrichment followed by depletion of CD45+ leukocytes***

After enriching mononuclear cells and tumor cells from the whole blood samples by density gradient with Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom), CD45+ leukocytes were depleted from the enriched cells with 25 μL of beads coated with anti-CD45 monoclonal antibody (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The remaining CD45- cells were cytocentrifuged on polylysine-coated slides, which were dried and stored at 4°C for subsequent immunofluorescence staining.

***Identification and enumeration of CTCs***

The cell slides were imaged and CTCs counted according to the method previously described[22].

***Statistical analysis***

SPSS statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) was used to conduct Student’s *t*-tests and ANOVA. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, and a two-sided *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

***Comparison of HCC enrichment by CD45+ depletion and ASGPR+ selection***

To compare two methods of HCC enrichment, one of the healthy volunteer’s blood samples was spiked with various amounts of HepG2 cells and recovery was measured by enumeration of spiked HepG2 cells after enrichment. The results show that a significantly greater proportion of HepG2 cells were recovered with CD45+ depletion than by ASGPR+ selection at each spiking level (*P*s < 0.05) (Figure 1).

***ASGPR and CPS1 antibody cocktail for HCC detection***

Immunofluorescence analysis of human HCC tissues showed that, based on DAPI staining, almost all of the HCC cells stained positive for both ASGPR and CPS1, whereas there were cells that did not stain with ASGPR or CPS1 separately (Figure 2A). Flow cytometry was performed on multiple cancer cell lines (HepG2, Hep3B, Huh7, MHCC-97H, MHCC-97L, PLC/PRF/5, and SMMC-7721), revealing that the expression rates of ASGPR varied between 18 and 99%, and between 9 and 98% for CPS1. A typical flow cytometry experiment from Hep3B cells is shown in Figure 2B. These results demonstrate heterogeneous expression of ASGPR and CPS1 in human HCC.

We then used the antibody cocktail containing both anti-ASGPR and anti-CPS1 to analyze a healthy volunteer’s blood sample spiked with HepG2 cells, and identified more cells compared with either antibody alone (Table 1). However, neither the ASGPR nor the CPS1 antibody detected tumor cells in blood samples spiked with cell lines MCF-7 and A498 (100 cells spiked), though CD45 depletion of leukocytes enriched them from the spiked blood samples (data not shown).

***ASGPR and CPS1 antibody cocktail with CD45 depletion for CTC detection***

HCC CTCs were defined as large cells with a morphologically intact DAPI-stained nucleus that were CD45- and ASGPR+ and/or CPS1+ (Figure 3A). Using the antibody cocktail in CD45-depleted blood samples, CTCs were detected in 29/32 (91%) patients with HCC (Table 2). On the contrary, no CTCs were detected in samples from the healthy volunteers, or from any of the other cancer or hepatitis patient samples. This method was then compared with the previous reported method (ASGPR+ selection followed by identification with CK and CPS1 antibodies[23]). The current method detected a significantly higher CTC count than did the previous method (*P* = 0.001), with 12 - 21% increased sensitivity of CTC detection in HCC patients with more than 40 CTCs (Figure 3B, C).

**DISCUSSION**

ASGPR is highly expressed in the human liver but not in other organs[24,25]. Given the generally accepted absence of normal hepatocytes in circulation, blood cells labeled with the ASGPR antibody are thus considered to be circulating HCC cells. However, not all HCC tissues and human liver cancer cell lines express ASGPR, which is consistent with previous reports[26,27]. Alternatively, detection can be enhanced by the removal normal hematopoietic cells, such as CD45+ cells, thereby enriching the blood cell suspension for the rare tumor cells. Additional advantages to this type of negative depletion include potential time/cost-efficiency and improved sample yield and purity, allowing subsequent multiple biomarker analysis[30]. A number of authors have used either cell lysis or gradient separation to remove red blood cells, followed by CD45+ depletion[31-34]. Our strategy utilized a Ficoll gradient to remove red blood cells and targeted CD45+ cells with magnetic particles for further removal, which is expected to enrich all nucleated cells, including HCC CTCs that lack expression of ASGPR.

CPS1 is a mitochondrial urea cycle enzyme found in hepatocytes[29] that can be detected with the Hep Par 1 antibody, which is commonly used to determine the hepatocellular origin of neoplasms in diagnostic surgical pathology practice. However, several studies reported heterogeneous expression of CPS1 in human HCC[35-37]. For example, Timek *et al* reported that 17/18 small tissue biopsy specimens of HCC were positive for Hep Par 1, but only 19/29 fine-needle aspiration biopsy specimens were positive[37]. Because of this, we previously utilized a mixture of two antibodies (against CPS1 and CK) to stain ASGPR+ HCC CTCs. However, CK was not employed in the present method, as it can detect viable circulating epithelial cells in healthy people and patients with benign diseases. To decrease the occurrence of false-positive results, we used a modified mixture of two antibodies against liver-specific antigens (ASGPR and CPS1) that are downregulated in HCC cells.

The combination of ASGPR and CPS1 antibodies allows for increased detection of cells that may express only one of the two markers, as was observed in our immunohistochemical analyses. Furthermore, when combined with CD45+ depletion, this method obtained greater than 82% recovery of HepG2 cells from blood samples. This method was specific for HCC CTCs, as breast cancer tumor cells were not detected. Consistent with these results, blood tests showed that the current system detected a higher CTC count in almost all patients examined than did the previous system[25], further indicating that the previous ASGPR-based capture process underestimated the CTC population.

Collectively, the results reported here demonstrate that negative depletion enrichment combined with identification using an antibody cocktail against ASGPR and CPS1 not only significantly improves sensitivity for CTC enrichment, but also provides high specificity for CTC detection in patients with HCC, thereby minimizing false negative/positive results.

**COMMENTS**

***Background***

The development of overt metastasis is preceded by the dissemination of tumor cells into blood circulation, bone marrow or the lymphatic system. The spread of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in the blood plays a major role in the initiation of metastases and tumor recurrence after surgery.

***Research frontiers***

CTCs provide a readily accessible real-time liquid biopsy of tumors, serving as biomarkers of the metastatic disease process. Currently, the detection and molecular characterization of CTCs are applied in a variety of malignancies, and have become one of the most active areas of translational cancer research.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

Authors previously described asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR)-based positive selection for enrichment and further detection of CTCs of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In this study, they improve upon this method by combining depletion enrichment with identification using an antibody cocktail of liver-specific markers (ASGPR and carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1). The current method significantly improves sensitivity for CTC enrichment, and provides high specificity for CTC detection in patients with HCC, thereby minimizing the possible false negative/positive results.

***Applications***

The improved method will allow for effective enrichment and accurate enumeration of circulating HCC cells, which has great potential for predicting HCC patient prognosis and for monitoring treatment response.

***Terminology***

CTCs are cancer cells shed from either the primary tumor or its metastases that circulate in the peripheral blood.

***Peer review***

The paper is well written, clear and concise. The topic is interesting. The methods are sound. Conclusions are consistent with the results.
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**Figure 1 Flow cytometric analysis.** Recovery of spiked HepG2 human liver cancer cells from blood samples using depletion of CD45+ leukocytes (white bars) and asialoglycoprotein receptor-positive selection (black bars).
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**Figure 2 Immunofluorescence with hepatic antibody cocktail.** A: Immunofluorescence staining of humanhepatocellular carcinoma tissue with anti-asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASPGR; green) and anti-carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1 (CPS1; red) antibodies and costained with DAPI (blue) (magnification ×400); B: ASGPR+ and CPS1+ cells were analyzed by flow cytometry of Hep3B human liver cancer cells.
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**Figure 3 Improved circulating tumor cell detection sensitivity.** A: Immunofluorescence staining of circulating tumor cells (CTCs)(arrow) detected in blood from hepatocellular carcinoma patients with antibodies against asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASPGR) and/or carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1 (CSP1) (red), and CD45 (green) with nuclear DAPI staining (blue) (magnification ×400); B: CTC numbers detected in the same HCC patients using the currently described method of CD45+ depletion and ASPGR+/CSP1+ immunostaining (green squares) and a previously described method with ASPGR+ selection followed by staining with cytokeratin and CSP1 antibodies (purple diamonds); C: The current method showed higher detection sensitivity in all patients with > 40 CTCs.

**Table 1 Detection of HepG2 cells in blood at different spiking levels**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Spiked cells, *n*** | **ASGPR** | | **CPS1** | | **ASGPR + CPS1** | |
| **Detected cells, *n*** | **Detection rate** | **Detected cells, *n*** | **Detection rate** | **Detected cells, *n*** | **Detection rate** |
| 10 | 7 ± 1 | 74% ± 11% | 6 ± 1 | 64% ± 11% | 8% ± 1% | 84% ± 9% |
| 50 | 36 ± 3 | 72% ± 6% | 31 ± 3 | 62% ± 6% | 44% ± 3% | 89% ± 7% |
| 250 | 150 ± 16 | 60% ± 6% | 140 ± 16 | 56% ± 6% | 215% ± 11% | 86% ± 4% |
| 1000 | 573 ± 24 | 57% ± % | 531 ± 21 | 53% ± 2% | 824% ± 21% | 83% ± 2% |

ASGPR: Asialoglycoprotein receptor; CPS1: Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase 1.

**Table 2 Clinicopathologic profiles and detection of circulating tumor cells in 32 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Patient ID** | **Age/sex** | **Tumor size, cm** | **Portal vein tumor thrombus** | **TNM** | **CTCs, *n*** |
| 1 | 70/M | > 5 | + | IV | 56 |
| 2 | 49/M | > 5 | + | III | 72 |
| 3 | 27/M | > 5 | - | III | 29 |
| 4 | 51/M | < 5 | - | I | 11 |
| 5 | 49/M | > 5 | + | IV | 108 |
| 6 | 48/F | < 5 | + | III | 43 |
| 7 | 53/M | > 5 | + | IV | 47 |
| 8 | 64/M | > 5 | + | III | 87 |
| 9 | 47/F | > 5 | + | IV | 92 |
| 10 | 62/M | > 5 | + | III | 51 |
| 11 | 35/F | < 5 | - | I | 0 |
| 12 | 42/F | < 5 | - | II | 23 |
| 13 | 57/M | < 5 | - | II | 26 |
| 14 | 41/F | < 5 | - | II | 23 |
| 15 | 71/M | > 5 | + | III | 72 |
| 16 | 37/M | < 5 | - | II | 15 |
| 17 | 47/F | < 5 | - | I | 13 |
| 18 | 65/M | > 5 | - | III | 33 |
| 19 | 65/M | < 5 | - | I | 5 |
| 20 | 63/M | > 5 | + | IV | 67 |
| 21 | 46/F | < 5 | - | II | 29 |
| 22 | 43/M | < 5 | - | II | 9 |
| 23 | 48/M | < 5 | - | I | 0 |
| 24 | 37/M | > 5 | + | III | 50 |
| 25 | 35/F | > 5 | + | III | 38 |
| 26 | 56/F | < 5 | - | II | 56 |
| 27 | 77/F | < 5 | - | II | 17 |
| 28 | 49/M | < 5 | - | II | 29 |
| 29 | 58/M | < 5 | - | I | 0 |
| 30 | 38/M | > 5 | + | III | 50 |
| 32 | 75/F | < 5 | - | II | 22 |
| 32 | 74/M | < 5 | - | II | 28 |

Tumor-node-metastasis stage (TNM) staging from the Sixth Edition of the International Union Against Cancer.