

## Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS



Dear Yue-Li Tian, Science Editor, Editorial Office

Re: New therapies for COPD, lung regeneration (manuscript No. 14115)

I appreciate your encouragement for my manuscript. Thanks for the reviewers' comments, my manuscript become more comprehensive. I described comments for reviewers as below. I hope that these changes and comments will satisfy Referees.

Based on your comment, I re-write the text and delete the part of duplication as I could. I am sorry, but I am not a native speaker. Non-English author is difficult to write the mini-review completely in own words.

Manuscript Review Result

***Reviewed by 00608132***

Nice work and very nice point. Just you need to revise the English a bit more especially in the introduction

Thank you for your kind words. I revised the English.

***Reviewed by 00570480***

Thank-you for the opportunity to read this review of potential lung regeneration therapies in COPD. The review is written well and is quite comprehensive in its review of current literature which may lead to new approaches to therapy. there are no editing issues. I would improve the document by making the figure more detailed to include greater details of the mechanisms by which each approach to regeneration works.

I appreciate for you kind words.

***Reviewed by 00608206***

Authors

Reviewer's report (ESPS Manuscript NO: 14115) Title: Title: New therapies for COPD, lung regeneration  
Running Title: Regeneration for COPD Reviewer's report: Review article on new therapies for lung regeneration in COPD. Topic of interest serviced properly. References are acceptably date: 28% (19/68) of the last 5 years. COMMENTS TO AUTHORS: GENERAL COMMENTS Review article on new therapies for lung regeneration in COPD. Topic of interest serviced properly. References are acceptably date: 28% (19/68) of the last 5 years. The structure of the article and its general outline is correct. There are no ethical problems. SPECIFIC COMMENTS: TITLE: Specific, it adequately contains the primary endpoint. (Words: 6). ABSTRACT: Define and explain the concepts well properly structure review article. Key words: Correct INTRODUCTION: Clear and correct introduction. Well structured. The DIFFERENT SECTIONS (Abstract, Introduction, Current therapies for COPD,

Overview of lung regeneration, Regeneration-promoting agents, Cell therapy, Future directions and references) are clear and well structured. The figure is simple but summarizes the review. The review is presented in a clear and correct form. REFERENCES: References are acceptably date: 28% (19/68) of the last 5 years. MINOR COMMENTS Orthographic revision: It is not necessary

I appreciate your thoroughly review.

I appreciate your kindness to understand my position and need your favor.

Sincerely yours,

Masaki Fujita M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University,

Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan

7-45-1 Nanakuma, Jonanku, Fukuoka 814-0180, JAPAN