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**Abstract**

Progression of normal glucose tolerance (normal GT) to overt diabetes is mediated by a transition state called impaired glucose tolerance (impared GT). Beta cell dysfunction and insulin resistance are the main defects in type 2 diabetes mellitus (Type 2 DM) and even normoglycemic impared GT patients manifest these defects. Beta cell dysfunction and insulin resistance also contribute to the progression of impared GT to Type 2 DM. Improving insulin sensitivity and/or preserving functions of beta-cells can be a rational way to normalize the GT and to control transition of impared GT to Type 2 DM. Loosing weight, for example, improves whole body insulin sensitivity and preserves beta-cell function and its inhibitory effect on progression of impared GT to Type 2 DM had been proven. But interventions aiming weight loss usually not applicable in real life. Pharmacotherapy is another option to gain better insulin sensitivity and to maintain beta-cell function. In this review, two potential treatment options (lifestyle modification and pharmacologic agents) that limits the impared GT-Type 2 DM conversion in prediabetic subjects are discussed.
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**Core tip:** Behavioral changes (dieting plus exercising) are effective in preventing impaired glucose tolerance (GT)-type 2 diabetes mellitus (Type 2 DM) conversion as well as impaired fasting glucose (FG) - Type 2 DM conversion but loosing weight is hard and also difficult to maintain. Pharmacological interventions (plus dieting and exercising) improving and preserving beta-cell function and enhancing insulin sensitivity may be suitable choices for high-risk impaired GT patients. Troglitazone in Prevention of Diabetes Study, Pioglitazone in Prevention of Diabetes Study, Diabetes Reduction Assessment with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication Trial, Actos Now for the prevention of diabetes study and Diabetes Prevention Program have proven that TZDs obviously prevent the development of Type 2 DM in impaired GT subjects as well as impaired FG subjects (Table 1). In Diabetes Prevention Program and Indian Diabetes Prevention Program, metformin slowed down the progression of impared GT to Type 2 DM, and eventually ADA Consensus Conference Statement proposed metformin usage in high-risk impared GT individuals. However, the efficacy of pioglitazone and rosiglitazone efficacy in preventing impared GT progression to Type 2 DM nearly doubles metformin’s efficacy (31% *vs* 72% and 62%, respectively). Rosiglitazone (low dose = 2 mg/d) together with metformin (850 mg/d) was proven to slow down impared GT progression to Type 2 DM as well as being more tolerable.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Impaired glucose tolerance (impaired GT) (second hour plasma glucose level 140-199 mg/dL) was first described in 1979 as “an intermediate stage in the transition from normal glucose tolerance (normal GT) to overt type 2 diabetes mellitus (Type 2 DM)”[1]. Individuals with impared GT possess higher risk for Type 2 DM later in life[2]. ADA-revised Type 2 DM diagnostic criteria declared a new term called impaired fasting glucose (impaired FG) (glucose level 100-125 mg/dL) in 1997[3]. Impaired FG is an intermediate stage that GT changes from normal GT to Type 2 DM gradually and defined by fasting plasma glucose level. Subjects who have impaired FG are also candidates for developing Type 2 DM later. But clinical and epidemiologic studies showed that impaired FG and impaired GT are different sorts of glucose intolerance[4]. Both impaired GT and impaired FG are called “prediabetes” because of gradual progression to Type 2 DM. Nearly 70 million prediabetics (impaired GT and/or impaired FG) live in America. Since prediabetes is so prevalant[5], increase mortality, morbidity and healthcare costs (annually $245 billion in 2012) it is accepted as an important public health problem. Thus, alleviating the progression of impaired GT and/or impaired FG to Type 2 DM is a reasonable way to combat with diabetes epidemic and to lessen healthcare costs.

The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial[6], the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS)[7,8] and the Kumamoto Study[9] showed hyperglycemia is a risk factor for macrovascular and especially for microvascular complications[10,11]. Latest evidence illuminated that strict glycemic control is more effective in controlling diabetic vascular complications in new-onset diabetes patients than in long-standing, poorly-controlled Type 2 DM patients[12,13]. Therefore, in new-onset Type 2 DM, main target must be to achieve normoglycemic control[14]. Early detection and effective intervention of Type 2 DM diminishes long-term complications leading morbidity and mortality and eventually expected to provide social, medical, and economic benefits. Treatment should be initiated in impaired GT period in order to reverse the main pathophysiological defects in prediabetes[4,15-18] because this is a hopeful way of intervention to prevent hyperglycemia-related vascular complication development[15-18].

**TYPE 2 DM PATHOGENESIS**

Recent proof favors dual-level emergence of Type 2 DM[19-24] (Figure 1). In individuals tended to progress Type 2 DM, earliest metabolic abnormality is the insulin resistance. When insulin resistance appears, beta-cells increase their insulin secretion to maintain normoglycemia. Thus, hyperinsulinemia is the main sign of insulin resistance. If beta-cells can not overcome insulin resistance, GT aggrevates. Eventually, impaired GT appears and followed by overt Type 2 DM[22-25].

Thus, impaired GT individuals’ plasma insulin levels are high but their beta-cell function are extreemly diminished[22,23,25]. Therefore, noticing the difference between insulin secretion and beta-cell function is important.

***Insulin resistance***

The common defect in prediabetes and Type 2 DM is insulin resistance[26-29] and involves liver[22,23,30], muscle[22,23,28,31,32], and adipose tissue[23]. Insulin resistance antecedents the glucose intolerance and Type 2 DM[22,23,33]. Normal GT offspring of two diabetic parents[34,35] and people with impaired GT[36] are markedly insulin resistant and develop hyperinsulinemia in order to compansate the pathologic state[14,34,35]. Evience supports that insulin resistance may have a genetic component that worsens by environmental factors such as sedantary lifestyle and gaining weight. Hence, interventions that ameliorate insulin resistance and limits the insulin secretory demand on beta-cells shown to stop or postpone impaired GT conversion to Type 2 DM[37-40].

***Impairment of beta-cell function***

Insulin resistance is the basic characteristics of impared GT while deficiency of beta-cell function is the reason of impaired GT and its conversion to Type 2 DM[22,23,41]. Thus, interventions preserving beta-cell function may be a good idea to prevent the generation of Type 2 DM. In order to estimate impaired GT progression to Type 2 DM oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) can be used and a low plasma insulin response is a clue for progression. Especially, reduction of insulin secretion in the first phase (0-10 min later following intravenous glucose challenge) is a good indicator for conversion to diabetes[33,36,42,43]. The first phase insulin secretion deteriorates gradually when the fasting plasma glucose (PG) exceeds 90 mg/dL and is almost completely lost when the fasting PG reaches over 110 mg/dL[22,23,44,45]. As previously described, it is crucial to discriminate insulin secretion from beta-cell function. Beta-cells respond unit glucose increase (ΔG) with unit insulin increase (ΔI), and this response is modulated by severity of insulin resistance[46]. Pure plasma insulin response measurement can lead to confusing about the health of beta-cells. The gold standard for the estimation of beta-cell function is to calculate insulin secretion/insulin resistance (disposition) index (ΔI/ΔG ÷ IR). Both genetic and acquired factors (glucotoxicity[47] lipotoxicity[48], incretin deficiency/resistance[49-51]) effect loss of beta-cell function. Compared to normal glucose tolerant individuals, impaired glucose tolerant individuals have a 4-6 fold increment in Type 2 DM risk[52]. Prospective epidemiologic studies reveal that nearly 40% of subjects developing Type 2 DM at follow-up had normal glucose tolerant initially. Beta-cell dysfunction is an optimal predictor for 2-h plasma glucose during OGTT in normal glucose tolerant individuals[43,52]. Beta-cell dysfunction is also an optimal predictor for normal GT conversion to impaired GT and thereby to Type 2 DM[23,24,52]. Individuals in the upper tertile of normal GT have lost 50% of their beta-cell function, wheras subjects in the upper tertile of impared GT 70%-80% (Figure 2). Individuals in the upper tertile of impaired GT are maximally insulin resistant and decline in beta-cell function is about 70%-80%. At this point, minimal extra reduction in insulin secretion causes a prominent increase in fasting and postprandial blood glucose levels. Once overt Type 2 DM emerges, beta-cell function diminishes progressively[53] despite therapies with metformin, sulfonylureas, and insulin to control glycemia. Genetics, insulin resistance leading insulin secretory demand increment, glucotoxicity, lipotoxicity, impaired incretin release/action, amylin accumulation, and decreased beta-cell mass are causitive factors in the progression of beta-cell dysfunction. Interventions in order to postpone or preclude beta-cell failure are valuable tools in combatting with the conversion of impared GT to Type 2 DM.

**BETA-CELL FUNCTION AND INSULIN RESISTANCE IN IMPAIRED FG AND IMPAIRED GT**

Impaired GT or impaired FG patients, and particularly people possesing both impaired GT and impaired FG[54,55] carry high risk for Type 2 DM[56-58]. Impaired GT and impaired FG are eventualy end up with Type 2 DM but they exhibit different physiological and pathological processes and have distinct reflections on atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease emergence. In people with impaired FG hepatic insulin resistance is moderate and OGTT-early insulin response (0-30 min) is diminished[59]. When hyperglycemic clamp and IVGTT techniques were used in OGTT, first phase insulin secretion is found to be blunted in impaired FG[60,61] (Figure 3). But, late (60-120 min) plasma insulin response is unspoilt and muscle insulin sensitivity is near-normal in impaired FG patients; therefore two hour plasma glucose levels returns to its initial fasting PG levels[62-64]. Adversely, people with impared GT have moderate to severe muscle insulin resistance and impaired plasma insulin responses (both early and late responses) during oral GT test[63,64]. Even if fasting PG is relatively stable, it rises progressively during OGTT and not come back to normal levels for a long time while two-hour plasma glucose remains well above the fasting plasma glucose level. On the other hand, impared GT and impaired FG share a characteristic impaired insulin secretion pattern in the first phase. However, insulin secretion in second-phase is intact in impaired FG states. Whereas, muscle insulin resistance is the dominant factor in impaired GT, in impaired FG tissue responsible for insulin resistance is that of liver. Also, impaired GT and impaired FG exhibit distinct characteristics for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Impaired GT seems to be related with metabolic syndrome and a good indicator of cardiovascular disease, while impaired FG predicts these events to a lesser extent[65].

**DETECTION OF HIGH RISK INDIVIDUAL BY HBA1C**

ADA recommends considering HbA1c = 5.7%-6.4% level as an instrument to detect future diabetes risk. However, no previous study has adopted HbA1c level as a screening tool to identify subjects at high risk (HbA1c = 5.7%-6.5%) and has examined the efficacy of interventions to reduce the risk of transition to Type 2 DM. We[66] and others[67] previously have demonstrated the concordance of HbA1c versus OGTT in high risk individuals and found only little overlap between them. Moreover, we[66] have shown that HbA1c was a poor predictor of impaired beta cell function which is the principle factor mediating the process in which high risk individuals become overt diabetes. Discussion below is about how we should prevent diabetes among high risk individuals, namely individuals with impaired FG/impaired GT identified by OGTT results.

**INTERVENTION TO PREVENT THE PROGRESSION OF IGT TO TYPE 2 DM**

First step in the progression of NGT to Type 2 DM is impaired GT and impaired FG[22-24,33]. The impaired GT and impaired FG shares 2 features in common: beta-cell function impairment and insulin resistance. Thereby, it seems logical to assume that efforts to preserve or increase functions of beta-cells and/or decrease insulin resistance may be a potent way to delay the conversion of impaired GT to DM.

***Amelioration of ınsulin resistance: Loosing weight***

The basic risk factor in the progression of impaired GT to diabetes is obesity[34,68]. The main reason of Type 2 DM epidemic confronted during the last two decades may be the obesity epidemic itself. Sedantary lifestyle and eventually gaining weight triggers insulin resistance and force the capacity of beta-cell insulin secretion. On the other hand, loosing weight by means of lifestyle interventions, pharmacologic therapies or bariatric surgery augments insulin sensitivity, decreases beta-cell work overload, and gets GT better in impaired GT states[69-71]. Four studies have shown that loosing weight through dieting and/or exercising improves insulin sensitivity and ameliorates beta-cell function, thus is a good way to limit impaired GT progression to Type 2 DM[72-74]. When individuals loose the 5% of their body weight, total body insulin sensitivity improves by 30%[73] and decrease in their impared GT to Type 2 DM progression nearly by 58%[37].

Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study, intervention individuals were given special advice to loose weight (> 5% of total body weight), to decrease total fat consumption (< 30% of total calories) as well as saturated fat consumption (< 10% of total fat), to increase fiber consumption (15 g for each 1000 kilocalories) and to increase physical activity (30 min/d). These individuals were followed up 3.2 years. Cumulative diabetes incidence was 58% lower in the intervention individuals compared to controls (HR = 0.4, *P* < 0.001). Individuals in the study were categorized considering whether they succeeded their initial targets at one year of assessment (Figure 4). Reciprocal relationship was determined between achievement score and new diabetes cases. If an individual succeeded 4-5 goals, diabetes did not develop[72]. Another landmark clinical trial [Dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP)] assigned 3234 prediabetic patients (impaired FG+impaired GT) to placebo, metfomin (2 × 850 mg per day), or a lifestyle modification program. In this program targets are loosing 7% of body weight, taking 150 min-physical exercise every week and reducing (25% of total calories) total intake of fat. Individuals were followed up to 2.8 years. Lifestyle modifications (compared to placebo) decreased the new diabetes cases by 58%. Hovewer, in subjects who lost weight and who met physical exercise/dieting targets, risk of diabetes decreased > 90%. These results are consistent with the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study in which participants met four or five of their goals. In post-hoc analyses of both studies, weight loss was the most important contributor to Type 2 DM prevention. In the DPP trial, a 5-kg weight loss over time could account for the 55% reduction in the risk of diabetes over the mean of 3.2 years of follow-up in this high-risk population[37].

Isolated impaired FG and isolated impaired GT individuals carry nearly the same risk about the progression of impaired FG to Type 2 DM, but there is no major clinical trial assessing the lifestyle intervention efficacy on preventing impaired FG - Type 2 DM conversion. A small study[75] in Japanese subjects with impaired FG has reported that an intensive weight loss program is more effective in reducing the conversion rate from impaired FG to Type 2 DM compared to less intensive intervention (HR = 0.56, 95%CI = 0.36-0.87). Subgroup analysis revealed that subjects who had impaired FG+impaired GT at baseline manifested greater reduction in the conversion to Type 2 DM (HR = 0.41, 95%CI = 0.24-0.69) while it was not statistically significant in subjects with isolated impaired FG (HR = 1.17, 95%CI = 0.50-2.74). A significant difference achieved by lifestyle intervention on diabetes conversion between two groups (*P* = 0.03).

Lifestyle intervention is the most effective approach to combat with progression of impaired GT to Type 2 DM, but preserving the final weight and exercising is unsustainable[76]; for example, when DPP trial ended, people gained weight again[77] (Figure 5). Weight loss achieved by drugs is also a good way to diminish conversion of impaired GT to Type 2 DM. Orlistat brings 5.8 kg loss while lifestyle changes brings 3.0 kg loss, while impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion limited by orlistat was about a 37% in XENDOS study[78]. But, when plasebo was given instead of the drug, individuals gained weight again although they continued their diets so weight loss provided by pharmacologic interventions is also unsustainable[79]. Typically, most weight loss programs resulted in weight regain no matter what intervention type (lifestyle or pharmacologic) was used and when loosing weight programme stopped, impaired GT - Type 2 DM progression rate mimics control individuals[80]. Thus, we can conclude that “legacy” effect via weight loss is not much in terms of slowing down the impaired GT - Type 2 DM progression. In real-life, even maintaining 5% weight loss is unrealistic. In a study performed in Finland community[81] a diabetes prevention program aiming 5%-7% weight loss applied 10149 registered subjects and 1/3 of these subjects lost more than 2.5% of their body weight. Moreover, in case of achievement of sustainable weight loss, diabetes incidence decrease was about 50%-60%. In other words, impaired GT - Type 2 DM progression continued in 40% to 50% of subjects although they lost weight successfully. Therefore, changes in lifestyle are insufficient in preventing diabetes in prediabetic people. But opposite to behavioral interventions such as dieting and exercising, pharmacological interventions always limits impaired GT or impaired FG progression to Type 2 DM.

***Correction of ınsulin resistance: Pharmacotherapies***

Lifestyle intervention is impractical and not satisfactory for insulin sensitivity improvement, pharmacologic agents used as an alternative way of enhancing insulin impact and limiting impaired GT - Type 2 DM progression. In some clinical studies, pharmacotherapy getting insulin sensitivity better in adipocytes, in muscle-cells or liver-cells have found to diminish conversion of impaired GT - Type 2 DM.

**Metformin:** Fasting PG concentration and hemoglobin A1c can be decreased by metformin in Type 2 DM through inhibition of liver glucose production[82-84] or through preserving beta-cell function[85]. However, in some studies including UKPDS and ADOPT, it is shown that hemoglobin A1c decreases first and then rises again gradually[7,8,85,86]. In DPP study, impaired GT conversion to Type 2 DM by 31% when metformin was given at the dose of 1700 mg/d; also this therapy corrected insulin sensitivity and diminishes new metabolic syndrome cases. Again, metformin in Indian Diabetes Prevention Program limits the impaired GT - Type 2 DM progression[87]. Other minor studies[88-90] show that metformin lowers the plasma glucose concentration in obese adolescents. However, there is no study investigating the efficacy of metformin on diminishing the conversion rate of impaired FG to Type 2 DM. It is proven that metformin and weight loss has similar effectiveness on decreasing the progression of impaired GT to Type 2 DM in younger than 65-year-old subjects, subjects with body mass index over 35 and subjects whose fasting plasma glucose exceeding 110 mg/dL[37]. Thus, it is not unusual to claim that metformin would significantly lower the conversion rate from impaired FG to Type 2 DM. A prospective randomized clinical trial illuminated the answer. Eventually, American Diabetes Association advices metformin useage in high-risk individuals (younger than 60-year-old, body mass index over 30 kg/m2 and HbA1c over 6.0%) with impaired GT or impaired FG, taking into account that metformin has been known as a safe generic drug[91]. However, similar to sulfonylureas, metformin cannot stop beta-cell failure which is crutial for Type 2 DM. While metformin response initially seems good, HbA1c begins to rise eventually.

**Thiazolidinediones:** Thiazolidinediones act on “peroxisome proliferator activator receptor gamma” (PPAR-γ) and eventually improve two main defects generated by impaired GT. Thiazolidinediones bring adipocytes as well as liver and muscle cells sensitivity to insulin[92–94] and also support and protect beta-cells function[95]. Hypothesis that defends “thiazolidinediones improve muscle insulin sensitivity by reducing plasma free fatty acid levels and intramyocellular lipid content, and redistributing fat from visceral to subcutaneous adipose depots” finds lots of evidence. Moreover, muscle and fat cell PPAR-γ receptors mediates insulin-sensitizing effect directly[92–94]. There is no significant difference between troglitazone[96], pioglitazone[97], and rosiglitazone[98] in controlling glycemia and increasing insulin sensitivity in Type 2 DM. Troglitazone increase GT and insulin sensitivity as well as limits Type 2 DM conversion in impaired GT individuals[38,99,100] and in women developing diabetes during their pregnancies[101]. In Diabetes Prevention Program, impaired GT -Type 2 DM progression reduced by 23% by troglitazone within three years, even if the drug was stopped after 10 mo[38]. After 1.5 years of follow-up diabetes incidence was markedly reduced for every 100 person-treatment years in impaired GT subjects taking troglitazone compared with placebo (3.0 *vs* 12.0 cases; *P* < 0.001), compared with metformin (3.0 *vs* 6.7 cases; *P* = 0.02) and compared with lifestyle changing activities (3.0 *vs* 5.1, *P* = 0.18) (Figure 6). Impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion decrease attributed to rosiglitazone was 62% in DREAM trial[39] and best indicator of diabetes prevention was recovery in insulin secretion/insulin resistance index. Pioglitazone and troglitazone slows down impaired GT progression to Type 2 DM in women with gestational diabetes history[101–103]. In Actos Now for the prevention of diabetes study, impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion rate fall attributed to pioglitazone was 72% (*P* < 0.00001)[40].

***Beta-cell function sustainability***

Because impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion and appearance of hyperglycemia led by gradual beta-cell failure, improving beta-cell function in impaired GT individuals are expected to be useful in lowering the new cases of Type 2 DM. Although thiazolidinediones strikingly increase insulin sensitivity in impaired GT individuals, the best indicator of Type 2 DM prevention is reinforcing beta-cell function. In diabetic human trials[101,103] and animal studies[104] troglitazone[99–101], pioglitazone[95,97,102], and rosiglitazone[95,98] increased the function of beta-cells by: (1) unloading beta-cells via advancing insulin sensitivity; (2) decreasing plasma free fatty acid levels; (3) correcting lipotoxicity; in other words sending toxic lipid metabolites (diacylglycerol, ceramides and fatty acyl CoAs) away from beta-cells; and (4) exerting direct PPAR-γ receptor-mediated beta-cell effect[48,94,95]. Thiazolidinediones both advance insulin sensitivity and protect beta-cell function so that they blocks impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion and create a longstanding HbA1c decreament in Type 2 DM[23]. Nevertheless, thiazolidinediones induce fluid retention plus fat weight gain and they have the disadvantage of being expensive[39,105] For that reason, American Diabetes Association decleared metformin instead of thiazolidinediones for treatment of impaired GT or impaired FG[91] even if thiazolidinediones doubles the effect of metformin in preventing impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion[105,106] (Figure 6). In Actos Now for the prevention of diabetes study titrated pioglitazone dose was 45 mg per day. But, even 15 to 30 mg daily pioglitazone dose increased insulin secretion and sensitivity in Type 2 DM[107] while causing lesser fluid retention and lesser fat gain[108]. Also, Canadian individuals with impaired GT were given 2 mg per day rosiglitazone plus 1000 mg per day metformin, and impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion reduction with this regimen was about 71% with no significant fluid retention and weight gain[109].

In all of the 8 studies continued over 1.5 years, thiazolidinediones reduced HbA1c levels and maintained this decrement in Type 2 DM subjects. In ADOPT, 5-year rosiglitazone-associated HbA1c decrease was obtained[86]. Sustained reduction in HbA1c implicates that thiazolidinediones are long-acting drugs on beta-cell functionality. Parallely, in another study, insulin secretion/insulin resistance index which is the gold standart in the measurement of beta-cell function is calculated in 61 Type 2 DM subjects and functions of beta-cells improved by rosiglitazone and pioglitazone in a similar way[95]. Consequently, thiazolidinediones protect and augment beta-cell function, sensitize insulin as well as preserve long standing HbA1c reduction and delay impared GT- Type 2 DM progression.

**Glucagon-like peptide-1 analogues:** Oral glucose consumption provides 2-3-fold greater plasma insulin response compared to same level of hyperglycemia enhanced by intravenous glucose and this is called “incretin effect”[110–112]. Ninety percent of incretin effect derived from L cell-associated Glucagon-like peptide-1 ***(***GLP-1) release and K cell-associted GIP release. GIP and GLP-1 are strong stimuli for insulin secretion. GLP-1 also blocks secretion of glucagon, postpones emptying of stomach, diminishes appetite, limits food consumption and potentiates loosing weight. Dipeptidyl peptidase-IV cleaves GLP-1 and GIP rapidly within one or two minutes, those peptides are not suitable for therapy of Type 2 DM and/or impaired GT individuals. GLP-1 receptor agonists (namely liraglutide and exenatide) mimicing GLP-1 actions are resistant to degenarating effect of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV[113,114]. Like endogenous GLP-1, liraglutide and exenatide are powerful insulin secretagogues, and they decrease secretion of glucagon, potentiate loosing weight and effectively decrease plasma glucose levels in Type 2 DM. A three-year prospective study showed exenatide reduced HbA1c for a long time, augmented functions of beta-cells and provided gradual weight loss[115]. One favorable aspect of GLP-1 analogues is that hypoglycemia is uncommon during therapy because GLP-1 analogues merely increase secretion of insulin whenever there is hyperglycemia. Glucose physiologically triggers release of insulin. Glucose increases the ATP generation, eventually generated ATPs close the potassium channels. Consequently, membrane of beta-cells are depolarized, calcium influx occurs and exocytosis begins in insulin-contaning vesicles[116]. Eventually, glucose mediates insulin secretion. But effect of GIP and GLP-1 on beta-cells are totally independent from hyperglycemia. After they bind self receptors, adenylate cyclase is activated, ATP is converted to cAMP so they “amplifies” insulin secretion by means of hyperglycemia. If hyperglycemia does not exist, GLP-1 or GIP can not augment secretion of insulin[117].

The typical signs in subjects with impaired GT and Type 2 DM are severe decrease in functions of beta-cells and obvious decrease in incretin effect after meal or after glucose consumption[110–112]. Studies have pointed out that in impaired GT and Type 2 DM cases the main defect is the incapability of beta-cells to respond glucose. Incretin hormones partially overcome beta-cell “blindness” to glucose[118]. In impaired GT cases GLP-1 response after meal usually is not changed or slight impairment is observed[119-121] while GLP-1 response in the first 10 min is usually lessened (this implicates phasic defect in GLP-1 secretion) but GIP secretion is mildly elevated[122]. On the contrary, in Type 2 DM beta-cells are resistant to GLP-1-mediated insulin secretion[123]. Also, beta-cells are resistant to GIP-mediated stimulation of insulin secretion. If insulin is given and glycemia reverted to normal, susceptibility of beta-cells to GIP can be improved, but this is not true for GLP-1[50].

If hyperglycemia exists, normal GT individuals give powerful insulin secretion response against the GLP-1 increase. Inversely, in Type 2 DM the same GLP-1 amount cannot increase insulin secretion even hyperglycemia exists[50,51]. But whenever plasma GLP-1 levels inreased pharmacologically, insulin response becomes normal in hyperglycemic states (Figure 7). Hence, pharmacological plasma GLP-1 levels may restore “beta-cell glucose blindness” in impaired GT and Type 2 DM. Although GLP-1-analogue-mediated beta cell stimulation is only sustainable during wash-out period, a novel trial declared that 3-year exenatide therapy partially recovered responsiveness of beta-cell to glucose[124].

Conversion of normal GT to impaired GT and eventually to Type 2 DM is mediated by nonstop failure of beta-cells ([Figures](http://jcem.endojournals.org.libproxy.uthscsa.edu/content/96/8/2354.full#F2) 1 and 2). Exenatide: (1) increases responsiveness of beta cells to glucose and augments functions of beta-cells in Type 2 DM; (2) facilitates loosing weight; (3) does not induce hypoglycemia; and (4) is applied once a week (Bydureon). For that reason, exenatide could be a good choice to decrease the conversion of impaired FG/impared GT to Type 2 DM and to a guarantee for normal GT. There is no study investigating GLP-1 analogue effect on impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion. On the other hand liraglutide was investigated in obese but nondiabetic individuals (31% had impared GT)[125]. In these impaired GT individuals, 84%-96% decrement was observed in Type 2 DM progression. Five percent weight loss was achieved in 61% of individuals while ten percent weight loss achived in 19% of individuals. New metabolic syndrome cases was decreased up to 60%. Therefore, long-acting GLP-1 analogues could be preferable drugs in order to prevent conversion of impaired GT to Type 2 DM, because they carry additional effects such as weekly administration, beta cell function augmentation, and facilitation of loosing weight[126].

**DPP-IV inhibitors:** DPP-IV is the enzyme that cleaves GLP-1; DPP-IV inhibitors block this enzyme and therefore rise plasma GLP-1 concentrations. But, DPP-IV inhibitor-related increase in GLP-1 concentrations is uniquely dependent on endogenous GLP-1 secretion. Thus, DPP-IV inhibitor-related plasma GLP-1 rise usually is lower than GLP-1 analogue-related rise. DPP-IV inhibitors accomplish moderate increase in insulin secretion and have moderate inhibition on glucagon[110]. Vildagliptin administration in impaired GT individuals reveals little augmentation on functionality of beta-cells. However, vildagliptin effect totally disappared after washout[116]. There is no study calculating DPP-IV inhibitor-mediated conversion rate of impiared GT - Type 2 DM switch. In contrary to GLP-1 analogues, DPP-IV inhibitors cannot help loosing weight and they exert insufficient effect on beta-cells. Accordingly, GLP-1 analogues may be superior to DPP-IV inhibitors in impaired GT treatment.

**Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors:** Impaired GT-Type 2 DM conversion rate decreased about 25% by acarbose[127] and voglibose[128]. This effect was attributed to inhibition of carbohydrate absorption but increment in incretin secretion induced by alpha-glucosidase inhibitors may be the real reason of positive impact on glucose homeostasis[129]. Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors changes microbial flora of gut, thus they may help to heal glucose intolerance[130].

**Pharmacotherapy cessation and emergence of diabetes:** Pharmacological therapy applied to increase insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function have potent impact on prediabetes–diabetes conversion. But, we are not sure whether this effect is transient or sustained when the intervention is discontinued. Pharmacologic interventions prevents or delays diabetes onset by:(1) masking diabetes appearance by supressing glucose; (2) preventing or delaying diabetes development only while it is being used; or (3) retaining their effects even after withdrawal.

Reassessing glycemic status after washing out the pharmacotherapy could clarify which possibility is relevant for the intervention[131]. Several studies investigating wash out effect are conducted in order to answer these questions. After 2.8 years of intervention in DPP trial, the incidence of diabetes in individuals with impaired GT was reduced by 58% with lifestyle modifications while the reduction is only 31% with metformin therapy compared with placebo. At the end of the trial 11-d washout period applied, participants who were taking metformin or placebo and had not developed diabetes were tested with a repeat OGTT in order to assess whether the observed metformin effect was sustained after cessation of the drug. Washout control reveals metformin participants had a significant increase in fasting glucose levels. It is concluded that one-quarter of the beneficial effect of metformin to prevent Type 2 DM was attributable to a pharmacological effect and this effect did not persist when the drug was withdrawn. However, the overall effect of metformin in preventing diabetes remained substantial at 25% after withdrawal of the intervention[132].

In DREAM trial rosiglitazone slows down the new-onset diabetes in people with impared GT±impaired FG significantly (HR = 0.40, *P* < 0.0001). After a median 71-d medication washout period, the incidence of diabetes is similar both in intervention and placebo groups. This evidence suggests rosiglitazone does not have a sustained effect on the underlying disease pathophysiology and effective as long as the therapy is being given[131].

In STOP-NIDDM trial acarbose given to impaired GT patients delayed progression to Type 2 DM. The risk of progression to diabetes over 3.3 years was reduced by 25%. In the last 3 mo of the study placebo was given to all subjects. During this placebo treatment period, the incidence of diabetes was higher in the group originally assigned to acarbose than in the group first randomized to placebo (HR = 0.45, *P* < 0.005). On the other hand, STOP-NIDDM trial demonstrated that beneficial effect of acarbose preventing Type 2 DM was partially attributable to its pharmacological effect and similar to metformin, the effect is not sustainable when drug use is stopped.

**DETECTION OF HIGH RISK PERSONS FOR PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTION**

Prediabetics prone to develop Type 2 DM plus atherosclerosis-induced cardiovascular complications are usually sub-maximally insulin resistant. In addition, these individuals have lost two third of their beta cell functions, their HbA1c levels usually are around 6% and at least 10% have diabetic retinopathy[133,134], nearly the same percentage of individuals have peripheral neuropathy[135]. Characteristic primers of diabetes are beta-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance. Gold standard measurement method for insulin sensitivity is euglycemic insulin clamp technique while the gold standart measurement method for insulin secretion is hyperglycemic clamp technique. These techniques are not much applicable for screening in clinical practice. Other predictive models studied impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion[136] and it is concluded that neither anthropometric criteria (waist-to-hip ratio or body mass index) nor metabolic syndrome components are superior to two-hour plasma glucose of OGTT. Another study illuminated two subgroups carrying high Type 2 DM risk: first group consisted of impaired GT individuals whose total plasma glucose is in the upper fifth percentile during OGTT while the second group consisted of fasting plasma glucose over 95 mg/dL[137]. Best predictive criterion for future Type 2 DM in impaired GT subjects is one-hour plasma glucose over 155 mg/dL, independent of their GT status in the Botnia[54] and San Antonio Heart[138] studies. Some biomarkers such as fasting PG, ferritin, insulin, adiponectin, HbA1c, IL-2 receptor A, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein predict diabetes development in later life[139]. Actos Now for the prevention of diabetes study and Diabetes Prevention Program gives inspiration to select impaired GT subjects carriying extra risks for Type 2 DM, in order to discriminate people that take advantage from pharmacotherapy.

**PREDIABETIC PATIENT ALGORYTHYM**

The optimal strategy is to prevent development of hyperglycemia intervening at the stage of impaired GT and also to revert GT back to normal. Individuals with impaired GT are insulin resistant and lost 50%-80% of their beta-cell function. Also, in order to prevent vascular complications resumption of normoglycemia is crutial in type 2 DM. This algorithm is also cheaper in long run. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group wrote “Over 3 years, metformin was clinically effective (in preventing diabetes in impaired GT subjects) and cost-effective from the perspective of a health system and society, especially if implemented with generic medication pricing”[140,141]. When model simulations performed, similar results were reached[142,143]. Impaired GT - Type 2 DM conversion blockage by pioglitazone[40] is two fold that of metformin[37], so it is logical to assume that pioglitazone also could be cost-effective. But, monitoring and side effect treatment costs of those drugs should be remembered. Two aspects should be taken into account while performing cost analysis of pioglitazone. First one is edema management (if occurs) and the second is monitoring and treating osteoporosis. Possible long bone fracture in postmenopausal women should also be evaluated in cost analysis. Some studies implies bladder cancer risk in individuals who are given 45 mg pioglitazone over two year time. But FDA mandated a prospective study in order to clear the pioglitazone safety (Kaiser Permanente study) as after eight-year observation, in comparison to those who never used pioglitazone, hazard risk ratio of bladder cancer was 0.98 in diabetics receiving pioglitazone.

GLP-1 analogues are expensive and they may not be put on market in near future. For that reason, cost analysis of GLP-1 analogue use in prediabetes states should be done cautiously. From community perspective, different criteria are considered in drug usage. But from patient perspective any solution to postpone or avert hyperglycemia probably decreases new onset microvascular complications such as nephropathy, neuropathy and/or retinopathy. When the main argument is reducing new cases of blindness, amputations and/or end-stage renal disease, “cost” cannot be top criterion for the individual for ethical reasons.

Another option is to prefer waiting till diabetes emerges and initiate therapy at this stage rather than treating individuals with prediabetes. But there is several limitations for this option. First, it brings handicaps on detecting exact timing of diabetes onset, namely, prediabetic individuals should be regularly controlled during this period. Secondly, UKPDS results make us to realize that in initial stages of diabetes tight glucose control cannot prevent microvascular complications. Besides, progression of euglycemia to dysglycemia is a silent but secular process. Thus, defining diabetes initiation in the basis of plasma glucose (namely fasting plasma glucose or two hour plasma glucose) levels or in the basis of HbA1c is controversial. In reality, one tenth of prediabetics already have evidence of diabetic microvascular complications. Thirdly, upper tertile of impaired GT group is insulin resistant, their beta cell function loss is nearly 70%-80% whereas volume loss is about 30%-40%. Fourthly, a major diminution in beta-cell mass in prediabetes accelerates the conversion process to Type 2 DM[144]. There is no remedy to increase human beta cell mass, today.

All pathophysiological events observed in Type 2 DM also appears in prediabetic individuals and nearly 10% of prediabetics exhibit microvascular complications. Consequently, initiating lifestyle changes and pharmacotherapy in high-risk prediabetics instead of waiting till diabetes emerges seems reasonable. However there is no study comparing prediabetic stage therapy versus the diabetic stage therapy. Because these studies necessitate large sample sizes and very long study periods in order to demonstrate incidence differences in terms of microvascular complications. Therefore, response to the question “when should we institute pharmacological therapy?” is unclear, yet.

Lastly, prediabetics carry high risk for cardiovascular complications (myocardial infarction, stroke, cardiovascular death) besides their Type 2 DM risk. Impaired GT individuals are highly insulin resistant and thereby, exhibit some typical metabolic abnormalities observed in insulin resistance. For example they become dysglycemic, dyslipidemic, hypertensive, obese, insulin resistant, prone to coagulation, vulnerable to inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. Those abnormalities are also the main risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Moreover, insulin resistance is an independent atherosclerotic risk factor irrespective of other associated risk factors[94]. Thus cardiovascular disease risk of prediabetics is much more compared to normal individuals. Some measures diminishing diabetes risk also reduce cardiovascular risk. For instance, pioglitazone decreases triglyceride concentrations and increases HDL levels while loosing weight decreases blood pressure and heals lipid profile[37]. Eventually, in order to decrease cardiovascular disease risk of these individuals one should apply measures diminishing Type 2 DM risk on one hand, while giving special attention on treating CVD risk factors (blood pressure and dyslipidemia) on the other hand.

***“Diabetes prevention” or “reversal of prediabetes to normoglycemia”?***

Restoration of normoglycemia in prediabetics obviously lessens diabetes risk. Diabetes Prevention Program Outcome Study compared the 894 people who had at least one normal OGTT with the 1096 people who never regressed to normoglycemia in Diabetes Prevention Program. In follow-up period of the study relative risk of diabetes emergence was 56% lower in the first group (OR: 0.44)[145]. Regression from prediabetes to normoglycemia not only reduces the risk of diabetes, but also the risk of cardiovascular disease. Diabetes Prevention Program Outcome Study (DPPOS) has proven that if prediabetes can regress to normal glucose state, cardiovascular complications decrease[146]. Because, nearly one tenth of prediabetics possess microvascular complications, it is likely that restoration of normoglycemia improves microvascular complications[147].

**SUMMARY**

Behavioral changes (dieting plus exercising) are effective in preventing impaired GT-Type 2 DM conversion as well as impaired FG - Type 2 DM conversion but loosing weight is hard and also difficult to maintain. Pharmacological interventions (plus dieting and exercising) improving and preserving beta-cell function and enhancing insulin sensitivity may be suitable choices for high-risk impaired GT patients. Troglitazone in Prevention of Diabetes Study, Pioglitazone in Prevention of Diabetes Study, Diabetes Reduction Assessment with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication Trial, Actos Now for the prevention of diabetes study and Diabetes Prevention Program proven that TZDs obviously prevent the development of Type 2 DM in impaired GT subjects as well as impaired FG subjects (Table 1). In Diabetes Prevention Program and Indian Diabetes Prevention Program, metformin slowed down the progression of impaired GT to Type 2 DM, eventually ADA Consensus Conference Statement proposed metformin usage in high-risk impaired GT individuals. However, pioglitazone and rosiglitazone efficacy in preventing impaired GT progression to type 2 DM nearly doubles metformin’s efficacy (31% *vs* 72% and 62%, respectively). Rosiglitazone (low dose = 2 mg/d) together with metformin (850 mg/d) was proven to be slows down impaired GT progression to Type 2 DM as well as to be more tolerable. GLP-1 analogues: (1) effectively treats Type 2 DM; (2) blocks impaired GT - Type 2 DM progression; (3) preserves and augments functions of beta-cells; (4) facilitates loosing weight; (5) combat with cardiovascular risks; (6) do not cause hypoglycemia; and (7) can be used once a day (liraglutide) or once a week (Bydureon). For these reasons we speculate that this drug group, especially long-acting preperations[127], be ideal for obese patients with impaired GT.

The benefits and disadvantages of pharmacotherapy must be evaluated simultaneously. Although rare, metformin can induce lactic acidosis. If serum creatinine levels exceeds 1.4 mg/dL in females and 1.5 mg/dL in males, metformin is contraindicated. Gastrointestinal side effects are often and one tenth of patients are metformin intolerable. On the other hand, pioglitazone users experience fluid retention, fat weight gain and congestive heart failure. Paradoxically, while fat weight gain increses, reduction in HbA1c becomes more prevalent and much more insulin sensitivity/beta-cell function improvement is achieved. Easily detected clinical sign of fluid retention is peripheral edema and can be controlled easily with distally acting diuretics such as amiloride or spironolactone. Because these side effects are dose-related, restricting pioglitazone to 30 miligram daily dose may decrease side effects. Trauma-related fracture cases were inceased in postmenopausal women treated with pioglitazone. For that reason piaglitazone should be used carefully in postmenopausal women. Nausea/vomiting are main handicaps of GLP-1 receptor agonist usage; nearly one third of subjects experience nausea/vomitting. Though adverse effects are generally mild or temporary, liraglutide/exenatide intolerance is about 5%. Pancreatitis is also pronounced, but when large national databases were analysed retrospectively, there was no such increment in pancreatitis in GLP-1 receptor agonist users.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we recommend strict lifestle modification for patients with impaired GT±impaired FG. Another option is to initiate pharmacotherapy with metformin plus low-dose pioglitazone. In high risk impared GT individuals long-acting GLP-1 analogue use as well as diet plus exercise may be another option. Each component of this approach is effective in Type 2 DM prevention and turning impaired GT back to normal. Depending on evidence described earlier, we believe “combination therapy” would especially be preventive for microvascular complications and is associated with lower adverse effects. Also, pharmacotherapy with generic drugs may be cost effective.
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**Figure 1 Natural history of type 2 diabetes mellitus.** The plasma insulin response (open circles) depicts the classic Starling’s curve of the pancreas. Closed circles = insulin-mediated glucose uptake (top panel). DIAB: Diabetes; Hi INS: High insulin secretion; IGT: Impaired glucose tolerance; Lo INS: Low insulin secretion; NGT: Normal glucose tolerance; OB: Obese; OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test.



**Figure 2 Insulin secretion/insulin resistance (disposition) index (defined as change in insulin/change in glucose ÷ insulin resistance) in individuals with normal glucose tolerance, impaired glucose tolerance, and type 2 diabetes mellitus as a function of the 2-h plasma glucose concentration in lean (closed circles) and obese (open circles) subjects.** IGT: Impaired glucose tolerance; NGT: Normal glucose tolerance; T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus; PG: Plasma glucose; ΔINS/ΔGLU ÷ IR: Change in insulin/change in glucose ÷ insulin resistance.



**Figure 3 Plasma glucose concentration during the oral glucose tolerance test in normal glucose tolerant (close circles) individuals and in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (closed triangles) and impaired fasting glucose (open circles).**

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| **Figure 4 Incidence of Diabetes during Follow-up, According to the Success Score.** At the one year visit, each subject received grade of 0 for each intervention goal that had not been achieved and a grade 1 for each goal that had been achieved; the success score was computed as the sum of the grades (reproduced from J Tuomilehto and J Lindström). |



**Figure 5 Change in body weight during the Dipeptidyl peptidase, during the overlap period, and during the Dipeptidyl peptidase Outcomes Study (reproduced from Eriksson and Lindgärde).** DPP: Dipeptidyl peptidase; DPPOS: Dipeptidyl peptidase Outcomes Study.



**Figure 6 Effect of lifestyle intervention, metformin, and troglitazone on the conversion rate of ımpaired glucose tolerance to type 2 diabetes in the first 1.5 years of the Dipeptidyl peptidase (*i.e*, before the discontinuation of troglitazone from the Dipeptidyl peptidase).** LS: Lifestyle; MET: Metformin; TROG: Troglitazone.



**Figure 7 Effect of physiologic (left) and pharmacologic (right) doses of Glucagon-like peptide-1 on insulin secretion in normal glucose tolerance individuals and in subjects with Type 2 diabetes mellitus.** GLP-1: Glucagon-like peptide-1.

**Table 1** **Summary of pharmacologic intervention trials in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Study**   | ***n*** | **Duration (yr)** | **Incidence of DM in contro (%)** | **Relative risk reduction (%)** |
| IDPP  | 269 | 2.5 | 18.3 | 26 |
| USDPP | 2151 | 2.8 | 11 | 31 |
| USDPP | 1172 | 0.9 | 11 | 75 |
| TRIPOD | 236 | 2.5  | 13.1 | 55 |
| PIPOD | 89 | 3 | 13.1 | 55 |
| DREAM |  5269 | 3 | 6.5 | 60 |
| ACT NOW  | 602 | 2.8  | 6  | 72 |
| CANOE | 207 | 3.9 | 10.1 | 66 |
| STOP NIDDM | 1368 | 3.2 | 8.1 | 36 |
| XENDOS  | 3305 | 4 | 2.2 | 37 |

DM: Diabetes mellitus.