

March 3, 2015

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

Thank you very much for your time and expertise involved in critically reviewing our submission and for your valuable suggestions. Thank you for considering it worthy of publication in your prestigious journal, and for allowing us the opportunity to edit our manuscript. We have carefully revised our manuscript to satisfactorily address all comments and suggestions provided by the reviewers, and believe that these excellent and constructive criticisms have helped us to greatly improve the focus, accuracy and quality of our review article for publication. We look forward to having our manuscript accepted and published in the "***World Journal of Gastroenterology***".

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: Revised-16492.doc).

Title: Endoscopic Treatment of Gastroparesis

Author: Thomas R. McCarty, Tarun Rustagi

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 16492

Always seeking your advice and wisdom,

Sincerely yours,

TARUN RUSTAGI, MD
Section of Digestive Diseases
Yale University School of Medicine,
333 Cedar Street, LMP 1080
New Haven, CT USA
Phone: 860-221-4034; Fax: 203-737-1755; Email: tarun.rustagi@yale.edu

Response to Reviewer's comments

Reviewer #1: I have had the opportunity to review this interesting manuscript, focused on new endoscopic treatment of gastroparesis. The authors have made a very good revision, very clear and easy to read. So I have any comment to do.

[Author response: We are grateful to the reviewer for such kind and favorable comment, and would like to sincerely thank the reviewer for finding our review article interesting, focused, clear and easy to read.](#)

Reviewer #1: This is a good review article about the endoscopic treatment for gastroparesis. The authors dealt with various methods of endoscopic treatment, including new upcoming methods. 1) If a table summarizing the characteristics, outcome, pros and cons of each methods was added, this article gives readers well-organized information. 2) Reference and citation styles should be corrected.

We would like to thank the reviewer for this valuable suggestion to include a summary table to provide readers well-organized information. We have added a table (Table 1) summarizing the techniques, methods, and advantages/disadvantages of each endoscopic methods as aptly suggested by the reviewer. We sincerely believe that addition of this table as improved the overall content, usefulness, readability of this article. We have also corrected and updated the reference and citation styles to meet the specific requirements of the *WJG*

Dear Editor,

Please find the final revised draft attached to the email. We have made the suggested changes with inclusion of references on ghrelin (reference#18 and 19). Please send us the PDF page proofs for review at your earliest convenience.

Look forward to getting the paper published in WJG soon!