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**Abstract**

The management of hepatic metastatic disease from solid tumors in adults has been extensively described and resection of metastatic liver lesions from colorectal adenocarcinoma, renal adenocarcinoma, breast cancer, testicular cancer, andneuroendocrine tumors have demonstrated therapeutic benefits in select patients. However, there are few reports in the literature on the management of hepatic metastatic disease in the pediatric and adolescent populations and the effectiveness of hepatic metastasectomy. This may be due to the much lower incidence of pediatric malignancies and the higher chemosensitivity of childhood tumors which make hepaticmetastasectomy less likely to be required. We review liver involvement with metastatic disease from the main pediatric solid tumors, including neuroblastoma and Wilms tumor focusing on the management and treatment options. We also review other solid malignant tumors which may have liver metastases including germ cell tumors, gastrointestinal stromal tumors, osteosarcoma, desmoplastic small round cell tumors and neuroendocrine tumors. However, these histological subtypes are so rare in the pediatric and adolescent populations that the exact incidence and best management of hepatic metastatic disease are unknown and can only be extrapolated from adult series.
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**Core tip:** Hepatic metastatic disease in pediatric and adolescent cancer patients is not as well delineated as for adults due to the lower incidence of pediatric malignancies and the higher chemosensitivity of childhood tumors. We review liver involvement by metastatic disease from the main pediatric and adolescent solid tumors focusing on management and treatment options.

Fernandez-Pineda I, Sandoval JA, Davidoff AM. Hepatic metastatic disease in pediatric and adolescent solid tumors. *World J Hepatol* 2015; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Cancer is the most common cause of disease-related mortality for children and adolescents 1-19 years of age[1]. More than 12000 children and adolescents younger than 20 years of age are diagnosed with cancer every year in United States (US) with approximately 2300 deaths in this age group[2,3]. Primary liver malignancies are uncommon in children (annual incidence rate of 1.5 per million) and account for only 0.5% to 2% of all pediatric neoplasms (100-150 new cases/year in US). The two main histologies are hepatoblastoma and hepatocellular carcinoma[1,4]. Hepatoblastoma is the most common malignant tumor of the liver in children with a higher incidence during the first year of life. Hepatocellular carcinoma is the second most common hepatic malignancy and occurrs primarily in adolescents[5]. The most common site of origin of liver metastases in children with solid tumors is neuroblastoma (NB) followed by Wilms tumor (WT). Other solid malignant tumors which may give liver metastases are germ cell tumors, gastrointestinal stromal tumors, osteosarcoma, desmoplastic small round cell tumors and neuroendocrine tumors[6,7]. Table 1 summarizes liver involvement from pediatric solid tumors. Some histological subtypes are so rare in the pediatric population that the exact incidence of hepatic metastatic disease is unknown and extrapolated from series of adult patients. Although hepatic metastatic disease in adults is often associated with abnormal liver function tests, including a decreased serum albumin and elevated serum levels of transaminases, bilirubin and alkaline phosphatase, these findings are rarely seen in pediatric patients with hepatic tumor involvement. While the exact mechanisms underlying hepatic metastasis in children remain unclear, we briefly summarize tumor biology concepts underlying liver metastatic disease.

Different treatment modalities have been used in the management of liver metastases in childhood including systemic chemotherapy, radiation therapy (RT), surgical resection, ablation techniques and image-guided interventional procedures (Table 1). Surgical resection of liver metastases from colorectal adenocarcinoma, renal adenocarcinoma, breast cancer, testicular cancer, and neuroendocrine tumors is feasible and has demonstrated therapeutic benefits in select adult patients[8-13]. The role of surgery for hepatic metastatic disease in pediatric malignancies is not as well described as for adults. This may be due to the lower incidence of malignancies in children and the higher chemosensitivity of pediatric histological subtypes. The decision to perform resection of liver metastases in pediatric cancer patients should be highlyindividualized with a clear understanding of tumor biology and chemosensitivity.

Patients whose primary tumor is under control and have adequate hepatic reserve for resection may be good candidates for liver metastasectomies. Some other patients may not be good surgical candidates but they may benefit from surgical relief of tumor biliary obstruction to improve liver function tests and permit the continuation of chemotherapy. Herein, we review liver involvement by metastatic disease from the main pediatric and adolescent solid tumors focusing on management and treatment options.

**TUMOR BIOLOGY IN HEPATIC METASTASIS**

As dissemination of systemic metastasis to the liver in advanced stage pediatric solid neoplasms is limited, the liver remains a select host to pediatric solid cancers, particularly NB and WT. While the exact mechanisms underlying hepatic metastases remain unclear in these particular tumors, the general understanding of the interactions between metastatic tumor cells and the liver microenvironment involves a reciprocal dynamic between primary tumor and the hepatic microenvironment[14]. Metastatic cells arriving at the liver *via* the bloodstream encounter the microenvironment of the hepatic sinusoid. The interactions of the tumor cells with hepatic sinusoidal and extrasinusoidal cells (endothelial, Kupffer, stellate, and inflammatory cells) determine their fate. The sinusoidal cells may play a dual role, sometimes killing the tumor cells but also facilitating their survival and growth. Adhesion molecules participate in these interactions and may affect their outcome. In NB and WT, for instance, the association of various growth factors, cell adhesion molecules, and extracellular matrix proteins have been described for these tumors and have been shown to be involved in metastases[15,16]. Lastly, bone marrow–derived cells and chemokines play a part in the early struggle for survival of the metastases. Once the tumor cells have arrested and survived the initial onslaught, tumors can grow within the liver in 3 distinct patterns, reflecting differing host responses, mechanisms of vascularization, and proteolytic activity. While much has been accomplished in the understanding of the complex biology of liver metastases, in the following sections, we emphasize recent progress in the clinical management and treatment of hepatic metastases in advanced childhood tumors. We refer the reader to the references[17-19] for reviews on the current understanding of the biology of liver metastases.

**NEUROBLASTOMA**

NB is the most common extracranial malignant solid tumor in the pediatric population, representing approximately 8%-10% of total cancer cases in children younger than 15 years of age[20]. More than 650 cases are diagnosed each year in North America (incidence of 10.54 cases per 1 million per year). The prognosis of NB is dependent on age at diagnosis, stage of disease, histology and molecular biologic characteristics of the tumor (*e.g.,* amplification of MYCN-oncogene)[21-24]. Specifically, age less than 1 year is associated with a favourable prognosis, while MYCN-oncogene-amplification confers a poor prognosis[25-27]. Since NB is the most common pediatric extracranial solid tumor and the most frequent tumor which metastasizes to liver in children, knowledge of the management of hepatic metastatic disease from NB is particularly important. Approximately, 30% of NB patients with metastatic disease have liver involvement and two distinct clinical entities can be differentiated: stage 4S (or MS, according to the International Neuroblastoma Risk Group Staging System) and stage 4 (or M)[28]. Liver involvement is seen in approximately 80% of patients with stage 4S NB, whereas 10%-50% of stage 4 NB patients have liver metastasis[29]. Differences have been observed in the initial presentation of hepatic metastatic disease from NB in these 2 stages. Stage 4S NB is reported to usually present with multiple ill-defined nodules or diffuse liver involvement where stage 4 NB hepatic involvement presents more frequently with discrete liver nodules (Figure 1).

***Stage 4S neuroblastoma***

Stage 4S NB is defined by a localized primary tumor with dissemination limited to skin, liver, and/or bone marrow (involvement < 10%) in infants younger than 12 mo[30]. Bone marrow involvement > 10% or bone involvement is considered stage 4 disease. The first description of stage 4S NB by D’Angio *et al*[31] in 1971, reported frequent spontaneous tumor regression without adjuvant therapy. More recent reports have confirmed that stage 4S NB, with or without liver involvement, resolved in up to 50% of the cases without requiring therapy[32,33]. Overall survival is approximately 85%-92% in this group of patients and mortality is generally secondary to massive hepatic tumor infiltration causing respiratory compromise.

DuBois *et al*[34] reported the incidence of metastatic sites in stage 4 and 4S NB and the extent to which metastatic sites correlate with age, tumor biology, and survival. With regards to hepatic involvement, they showed that liver metastases were associated with a more favorable outcome overall, but in infants predicted a slightly greater event-free survival (EFS)F and were associated with non-amplified MYCN and favorable histology tumors, while in children *>* 1 year at diagnosis, liver metastases were an unfavorable prognostic marker and associated with MYCN-amplified tumors. Although excellent outcome in stage 4S NB is common, there are subsets of infants with massive infiltration of the liver by tumor who experience significant morbidity and mortality secondary to respiratory compromise and symptoms of abdominal compartment syndrome with decreased venous return, renal impairment and coagulation disorders[35,36]. Nickerson *et al*[30] from the Children’s Cancer Study Group reported six deaths, five of which were in infants younger than 2 mo of age at diagnosis and were due to complications of extensive abdominal involvement with respiratory compromise or disseminated intravascular coagulation. Schleiermacher *et al*[37] reported that patients with stage 4S NB and progressive disease had a 20% mortality rate and suggested that the combination of etoposide and carboplatin may be more effective in these infants than radiation or vincristine and cyclophosphamide.

Multimodality therapy with surgery, radiation and chemotherapy has been used but the outcome of this approach has not yet been ascertained. In 2004, Weintraub *et al*[38] reported the first successful case of hepatic intra-arterial chemoembolization (HACE) in a neonate and 8 years later, they published a sequential treatment algorithm for infants with stage 4S NB and massive hepatomegaly based on initial observation without treatment, intravenous chemotherapy for those who have progressive disease and HACE for patients with progression despite chemotherapy[39]. Surgical management by partial hepatic resection or abdominal decompression with mesh placement in case of abdominal compartment syndrome has a high rate of associated complications and they have rarely been shown to be effective[40].

***Stage 4 neuroblastoma***

Stage 4 NB patients under 1 year of age have an overall survival ranging from 70% to 93%, in contrast to overall survival between 35% and 86% for patients greater than 1 year. Patients with isolated liver metastases may benefit from resection of these lesions, resulting in prolonged survival and/or treatment reductions[41,42], but these clinical circumstances are rare and several factors including histological tumor characteristics and close evaluation of extrahepatic metastatic disease should be discussed before considering hepatic metastasectomies. There are some reports of stage 4S NB that recurs after initial regression or progresses to stage 4 with bone metastases. There are no guidelines for patients with responsive extrahepatic metastatic disease to therapy and persistent liver disease. The biology of the tumor may lead the therapeutic approach and tumors without MYC-N amplification may have a chance of survival and no indication for major liver resections[43-45].

French *et al*[46] investigated the long-term hepatic outcomes in infants with stage 4S and 4 NB, with a special focus on the impact of liver involvement and abdominal radiation. They reviewed 38 patients with available follow-up 5 years following diagnosis, assessing hepatic imaging and function (transaminases, bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase). For stage 4S, benign hepatic changes on imaging studies in patients treated with hepatic radiation as well as those who had hepatic involvement at diagnosis but did not receive radiation were observed. For infants with stage 4 and hepatic metastasis at diagnosis, none was found to have late hepatic imaging changes. Blood work was normal in both groups. They concluded that adverse hepatic outcomes after liver involvement or radiation in infants with stage 4S or 4 NB are rare and when they do occur, often resolve over time. Also, infants with NB and metastatic hepatic disease seem to be a specific risk-group for the development of focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH) of the liver, especially if they underwent chemotherapy and/or hepatic radiation therapy during treatment and it should be considered in patients with persistent late imaging changes[47]. Although FNH is a benign lesion that is typically managed conservatively in adults, most children with FNH undergo biopsy or resection because of increasing size, concerning symptoms or inability to rule out malignancy, especially in pediatric cancer survivors[48,49].

Long-term follow-up guidelines from the Children’s Oncology Group (COG) recommend yearly hepatic bloodwork screening (AST, ALT, and bilirubin) upon entry to the long-term follow-up clinic with repeat bloodwork only if clinically indicated in a patient that has received greater than 20-30 Gy to the liver. Bloodwork to check liver function is recommended by COG if there is an abnormality on screening bloodwork[50,51].

In summary, although stage 4 NB patients with isolated liver metastases may benefit from resection of these lesions, this is a rare clinical situation. Careful patient selection is indicated focusing on the histological tumor characteristics, evaluation of extrahepatic metastatic disease and tumor chemosensitivity. The role of surgery by partial liver resection or abdominal decompression with mesh or silo placement in stage 4S patients with massive hepatomegaly who are not responsive to chemotherapy/radiation therapy is also controversial and it has rarely been shown to be effective.

**WILMS TUMOR**

WT or nephroblastoma is the most common malignant renal tumor in children, representing approximately 6% of total cancer diagnoses among children younger than 15 years with 500 new cases in US each year[1]. Overall survival for children with WT has been consistently above 90% since the 1980s. Prognosis depends on the stage of disease at diagnosis and histopathologic and molecular features of the tumor. According to the staging criteria, the primary renal tumor is assigned a local stage (1-3), which determines local therapy with or without radiation therapy[52-55]. Stage 4 WT is defined by hematogenous metastases or lymph node metastases outside the abdominopelvic region and it represents 10% of the patients[56]. The most common sites of metastatic spread of WT are the lungs, regional lymph nodes and liver. In the National Wilms Tumor Study Group (NWTSG), the lung was the only metastatic site in approximately 80% of patients presenting with stage 4 disease at diagnosis, whereas metastases were present in the liver with or without lung involvement in 15% of the patients[56-60].

Metastatic disease is recognized as a poor prognostic factor with a lower overall survival ratethat ranges from 30%-50% for diffuse anaplastic WT to 85% for favorable histology WT[61]. Varan *et al*[62] reported results from 1971 to 2002 on 18 patients with liver metastases who were noted to have a lower overall survival than patients with pulmonary disease (16.6% *vs* 50.2%). These authors recommended a more intensive chemotherapy and more aggressive surgical treatment for patients with hepatic metastatic disease. Breslow *et al*[63] in the past have given a detailed analysis on the metastatic pattern of children with stage 4 WT from the NWTSG which showed no difference in survival according to metastatic site (liver and/or lung *vs* lung only). Szavay *et al*[64] observed a less favorable outcome in 29 patients with WT complicated by metastases of the liver primarily enrolled in the International Society of Pediatric Oncology (SIOP) and the German Pediatric Oncology Group studies, SIOP 93-01/GPOH study and the SIOP 2001/GPOH study. Two years later, Fuchs *et al*[65] published a series of a total of 45 patients enrolled in these two studies that corroborated the previous findings and suggested that successful complete surgical resection of the primary tumor and of liver metastases in children with WT improves survival.

Ehrlich *et al*[66] reported the largest series about the treatment and outcomes of patients with WT metastatic to the liver. They reviewed patients with favorable histology WT and hepatic metastasis at diagnosis treated on National Wilms Tumor Study (NWTS) 4 and 5 to ascertain if they had a worse prognosis than other stage 4 disease. A total of 96 patients were identified. Twenty-two patients (22.9%) had a primary liver resection; 13 patients (13.5%) underwent liver resection after chemotherapy and/or RT. Seventy-one patients (67%) did not undergo surgery for their liver disease. In 14 patients, the liver disease disappeared with chemotherapy only. Eighty-two patients received abdominal RT. EFS for the patients with liver only metastatic favorable histology WT was 76% (95%CI: 58%, 87%) compared to 70% for patients with liver and lung involvement. EFS (95%CI) for the patients with primary resection of the liver metastases was 86% compared with 68% (*P =* 0.09) for the patients who did not have primary resection of liver metastases. This improved outcome may be the result of having limited hepatic disease and being a more appropriate surgical candidate. There was no significant difference in EFS for patients treated with chemotherapy compared with that of patients treated with chemotherapy and RT (*P =* 0.63). The EFS (95%CI) for patients who did not receive abdominal RT was 64% compared to 77% for patients who received abdominal RT without boost and 72% for patients who received abdominal RT with boost (*P* = 0.05). They concluded that liver metastases was not an independent adverse prognostic factor for children with stage 4 favorable histology WT. Although a more aggressive initial surgical approach for a child with WT and liver metastasis is not supported by this report, patients with residual liver disease after treatment with chemotherapy and/or RT that could be completely resected did well, suggesting there may be a role for complete surgical resection of residual metastases after adjuvant therapy. Furthermore, the impact of boost radiation to liver metastases on survival was not clear. The current approach for hepatic only metastatic disease WT patients depends on the tumor histology and type of protocol. Patients with favorable histology WT enrolled on the NWTSG protocol will undergo nephrectomy and lymph node sampling, followed by abdominal RT (planned according to local stage of renal tumor) and RT to sites of metastases and regimen DD-4A (vincristine, dactinomycin, doxorubicin × 24 wk)[61].

In conclusion, a role exists for complete surgical resection of residual metastases after adjuvant therapy in children with WT (Figure 2), a tumor that generally is very sensitive to chemotherapy.

**OTHER MALIGNANCIES**

***Germ cell tumors***

Germ cell tumors (GCT) represent 7% of cancer diagnoses among children younger than 20% and 3.5% of cancer diagnoses for children younger than 15 with approximately 900 new cases under 20 years of age each year in US[1]. After the introduction of cisplatin-based chemotherapy in the 1970s, the survival of children with GCTs substantially improved. For gonadal GCT, the 5-year survival rate has increased from 89% to 98% for children younger than 15 years and from 70% to 95% for adolescents aged 15 to 19 years. Extragonadal GCT 5-year survival rate has increased from 42% to 83% for children younger than 15 years[67-69]. The effectiveness of chemotherapy is monitored by decreases in serum tumor markers (alpha-fetoprotein and beta-HCG) which are produced by malignant GCT. Stage 4 disease includes distant metastases to liver, brain, bone, or lung. The presence of liver metastases represents an independent poor prognostic factor and one of the strongest indicators of a poor long-term outcome in adult patients with advanced GCTs. The literature suggests that liver resection in this age group age is feasible and safe[70]. Rivoire *et al*[71] reported 37 patients with a median age of 26 years (range, 14-47 years) who underwent liver resection for the treatment of metastatic GCT. Their results were favorable with a median survival of 54 mo and an overall 5-year survival rate of 62% which appeared to justify an aggressive surgical approach for treatment of patients with postchemotherapy residual hepatic metastatic disease. Interestingly, time to appearance of liver metastases, lesion distribution within the liver, timing of liver surgery, extent of resection, and size of resection margins were not of additional predictive value. They recommended close follow-up for patients with residual liver metastases measuring < 10 mm regardless of the primary tumor type and patient gender; close follow-up for male patients with residual metastases measuring > 30 mm regardless of the primary tumor type and delayed surgery for surviving patients with growing lesions even if they are teratomas; liver resection for male patients with metastases measuring 10-29 mm, particularly in the absence of embryonal carcinoma in the primary or mixed tumor; and liver resection for female patients with metastases measuring > 10 mm.

The differences between children and adults regarding the location of the primary GCT site, pattern of metastatic dissemination and the biology of childhood GCTs may limit the applicability of adult therapeutic approaches to children. A report from the Children’s Cancer Study Group showed that patients with malignant GCTs, (excluding dysgerminoma and tumors of the testis or brain) with more than one structure or organ involved at diagnosis increased the risk for adverse event[72,73]. In another study[74] from the Pediatric Oncology Group that aimed to investigate prognostic factors for pediatric extragonadal malignant germ cell tumors, patients older than 12 years of age with thoracic tumors had six times the risk of death compared with patients younger than 12 years of age with tumors at other sites. Metastatic disease at diagnosis was not a statistically significant prognostic factor for EFS. The role of postchemotherapy surgical exeresis of all residual hepatic metastatic disease may be justified for evaluation of the effectiveness of chemotherapy and resection of refractory disease, but this needs to be individualized.

***Gastrointestinal stromal tumor***

Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) is a mesenchymal neoplasm of the gastrointestinal tract that originates from intestinal pacemaker cells, also known as interstitial cells of Cajal. It is typically seen in adults over the age of 40 and children are rarely affected. It has been estimated that there are 3300 to 6000 new GIST cases per year in the US[75,76]. Of all GISTs, 1.4% to 2.7% occur in children and adolescents in large series[77]. A minority of GIST in pediatric patients (10%) can arise within the context of tumor predisposition syndromes such as Carney triad and Carney-Stratakis syndrome[78,79]. Pediatric GIST is commonly located in the stomach (gastric antrum) and usually occurs in adolescent females[80]. Histology in children, is characterized by a predominance of epithelioid or epithelioid/spindle cell morphology and, unlike adult GIST, their mitotic rate does not appear to accurately predict clinical behavior[81]. Multifocal tumors and nodal metastases are common, which account for the high incidence of local recurrence seen in the pediatric population[82]. Pathogenesis in children and young adults may also differ from that of adult GIST, because activating mutations of KIT and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), which are seen in 90% of adult GIST, are present in only 11% of pediatric GIST. This fact is important in terms of therapeutic management. The administration of adjuvant imatinib mesylate, a selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has been shown to improve event-free survival in adult patients with GIST but this benefit is restricted to those with KIT and PDGFR mutations, and thus the use of this agent in pediatric GIST cannot be recommended if the mutation is not present[83-85]. Responses to imatinib in pediatric patients are uncommon and consist mainly of disease stabilization[86]. At presentation, approximately half of adult GISTs have already metastasized with the liver being the most frequent site of metastases. In this age group, gastric tumors of large size (> 5 cm) or arising from small intestine, colon, mesentery and omentum have a high frequency of recurrence and liver metastases. Few pediatric GISTs with hepatic metastatic disease have been reported[87].

The only definitive treatment for GIST is surgical resection, since it is highly resistant to conventional systemic chemotherapy and RT. The mainstay of surgical resection is to achieve a complete resection with negative margins in the primary and/or the metastatic disease[88]. Treatment varies based on whether a mutation is detected or not. For most pediatric patients with GIST and absence of KIT and PDGFR mutations, complete surgical resection of localized disease is recommended as long as it can be accomplished without significant morbidity. Since lymph node involvement is relatively common in younger patients, searching for overt or occult nodal involvement should be encouraged. Given the indolent course of the disease in pediatric patients, it is reasonable to withhold extensive and mutilative surgeries and to carefully observe children with locally recurrent or unresectable asymptomatic disease[89]. The few pediatric patients with KIT or PDGFR mutations should be managed according to adult guidelines and for those patients, resection of hepatic metastases following imatinib treatment may be curative when the primary disease has been eradicated and negative surgical resection margins are attained. Patients with solitary or limited hepatic metastases may be potential surgical candidates. However, a large tumor burden in the hepatic parenchyma may prohibit resection given the risk of insufficient remaining liver tissue and subsequent postoperative liver failure. Other treatment options may include thermal ablation (radiofrequency, laser, microwave, cryoablation), hepatic artery embolization and hepatic artery chemoembolization, but no experience has been reported in pediatric GIST patients[90,91].

In conclusion, the few pediatric patients with KIT or PDGFR mutations who present with solitary or limited hepatic metastases may be potential surgical candidates. Given the indolent course of GIST in pediatric patients with absence of KIT and PDGFR mutations, it is reasonable to withhold extensive hepatic resections, but further investigations are needed.

***Osteosarcoma***

Osteosarcoma (OS) is the most common malignant bone tumor arising in children and adolescents. In the US, 400 children and adolescents younger than 20 years of age are diagnosed with OS each year[1]. At diagnosis, 20% of patients will have radiographically detectable metastases, with the lung being the most common site. With improved survival of OS patients with pulmonary metastatic disease owing to a more aggressive treatment with surgery and intensified chemotherapy, the pattern of metastatic disease may be changing[92-95]. Moreover, new imaging modalities which are more sensitive at discovering new metastatic lesions are being incorporated in the tumor protocols.

Although extrapulmonary metastatic disease from OS is considered rare and generally occurs after the diagnosis of pulmonary metastases, a few studies also report some cases with presentation of isolated extrapulmonary metastases and no signs of lung invasion[96]. Hepatic metastatic disease from OS is extremely rare and few cases with or without simultaneous pulmonary metastases have been reported, although it is more commonly found at autopsy[97]. Daw *et al*[98] reported a case of ossified hepatic metastases detected at the time of diagnosis of a secondary osteosarcoma. Complete resection of all disease is required for cure in patients with OS. Whereas pulmonary metastasectomy for OS has been shown to improve survival, surgical resection of hepatic metastases for this disease has been less well characterized[99,100]. Despite multimodal therapy including different chemotherapeutic agents, surgical resection and radiofrequency ablation, OS patients with hepatic metastatic disease have a poor prognosis and selection of surgical candidates must be individualized.

***Desmoplastic small round cell tumor***

Desmoplastic small round cell tumor (DSRCT) is a rare malignant abdominal tumor with less than 300 cases reported in the literature. It typically arises in adolescents and young adult men and has a strong tendency to spread within the peritoneum but also to the liver and lungs[101]. It is classified as a small round cell tumor and it is characterized by a distinct immunohistochemical pattern and a recurrent, specific, chromosomal translocation [t(11; 22) (p13; p12)] which results in a chimeric *EWS-WT1* fusion gene[102]. Most of the patients present with disseminated disease at diagnosis and the primary site of origin is frequently unknown. Because of this, it is associated with a very poor prognosis. Although surgery, chemotherapy, RT, radiofrequency ablation, hyperthermic peritoneal perfusion with chemotherapy (HIPEC) and combined therapy have been used in the treatment of DSRCT, no single therapy has been accepted as the standard strategy. Honoré*et al*[103] have recently published the largest series with a multimodal management of abdominal DSRCT. They reported on 38 patients with a median age of 27 years (range 13-57 years), but some adolescents were included. Nearly half of the patients at the time of diagnosis had extraperitoneal metastases with the liver involved in 78% of the cases.

Different treatment modalities were used including systemic chemotherapy, surgery, HIPEC and RT. They concluded that the factors predictive of 3-year overall survival were the absence of extraperitoneal disease, complete surgical resection, postoperative whole abdominopelvic RT and postoperative chemotherapy. Patients with synchronous liver metastases treated with peritoneal cytoreductive surgery had an overall survival (14.8 mo) similar to patients treated with systemic chemotherapy alone. Therefore, no benefit of surgery was demonstrated in this group of patients. HIPEC had no impact on overall survival. In contrast, Hayes-Jordan *et al*[104,105] published the first report on the use of HIPEC in young children and showed that patients with disease limited to the abdominal cavity, including those with resectable liver metastases, were good candidates for HIPEC with good outcomes.

Therefore, conclusions about the best management of hepatic metastatic disease in DSRCT are difficult to draw. More studies in children and adolescents are necessary to elucidate if a different clinical behavior is documented in this age group.

***Neuroendocrine tumors***

Neuroendocrine tumors (NET) are rarely seen in the pediatric population with an incidence rate of 2.8 per million[106]. Overall, appendiceal neuroendocrine tumors (carcinoids) are the most common subtype and they are usually found incidentally upon final histopathologic analysis in cases of a suspected appendicitis[107]. This tumor location is rarely associated with metastatic disease in children. Extra-appendiceal carcinoid tumors and neuroendocrine carcinomas are more poorly characterized and have a greater chance for metastatic spread compared with carcinoids arising in the appendix[108]. Broaddus *et al*[109] published 5 of 13 cases that were initially diagnosed in the liver, with no other primary sites identified. They concluded that it is not known if these tumors represent true primary hepatic neoplasms or metastases from asymptomatic, occult gastrointestinal, pancreatic, or pulmonary primary tumors. Although the definitive role of surgery in children with metastatic disease from NET has not been established, the management of hepatic metastases may include surgical resection[110]. In adults, cytoreductive surgery for hepatic metastases from gastrointestinal NETs has resulted in prolonged survival rates[111]. Other treatment options may include hepatic artery embolization, cryoablation, radiofrequency ablation, orthotopic liver transplantation and radionuclides therapy such as 131I-MIBG and 177Lu-octreotate[112-114].

In summary, there may be a potential role for surgical resection of liver metastases in pediatric patients with neuroendocrine tumors, but more experience is needed.

**CONCLUSION**

Hepatic metastatic disease and the benefit of hepatic metastasectomies in pediatric cancer patients are not as well delineated as for adults due to the lower incidence of pediatric malignancies and the higher chemosensitivity of childhood tumors. Patients with residual localized hepatic disease after neoadjuvant therapy and non-chemosensitive tumors may benefit from surgical resection, but careful patient selection remains critical.
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**Figure 1** **Hepatic involvement from (A) stage 4S *vs* (B) stage 4 neuroblastoma.** 1Primary neuroblastoma.



**Figure 2 Patient with stage 3 favourable histology Wilms tumor who recurred eight years after therapy with an isolated liver metastasis.** As part of the therapy for this disease recurrence, he underwent right hepatectomy and is currently disease free six years later.

**Table 1 Metastatic disease, liver metastases and treatment options in pediatric solid tumors**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Primary**  **malignancy** | **Metastatic**  **disease**  **at diagnosis** | **Hepatic**  **metastatic**  **disease** | **Treatment options** |
| NB | 50%-60% | 20%-30% | Surgery, chemotherapy and radiation therapy |
| WT | 10%-20% | 10%-15% | Surgery, chemotherapy and radiation therapy |
| GCT | 20%-30% | 15%-20%1 | Surgery and chemotherapy |
| GIST | 30%-40%1 | 15%-20%1 | Surgery and imatinib |
| OS | 15%-20%1 | 1%-3%1 | Surgery |
| DSRCT | 30%-50%1 | 30%-40%1 | HIPEC and surgery |
| NET | 30%-45%1 | 30%-45%1 | Surgery, HAE, cryoablation, radiofrequency ablation, liver transplant and radionuclides therapy |

DSRCT: Desmoplastic small round cell tumor; GCT: Germ cell tumor; GIST: Gastrointestinal stromal tumor; NB: Neuroblastoma; NET: Neuroendocrine tumor; OS: Osteosarcoma; WT: Wilms tumor; HAE: Hepatic artery embolization; HIPEC: Hyperthermic peritoneal perfusion with chemotherapy. 1Data from adult populations.