

Management of type 2 diabetes mellitus in youth

Vassilios Giampatzis, Konstantinos Tziomalos

Vassilios Giampatzis, Konstantinos Tziomalos, First Propedeutic Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, AHEPA Hospital, 54636 Thessaloniki, Greece

Author contributions: Giampatzis V drafted the paper; Tziomalos K revised the draft critically for important intellectual content.

Correspondence to: Konstantinos Tziomalos, MD, PhD, First Propedeutic Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, AHEPA Hospital, 54636 Thessaloniki, Greece. ktziomalos@yahoo.com

Telephone: +30-2310-994621 Fax: +30-2310-994773

Received: August 8, 2012 Revised: November 8, 2012

Accepted: November 17, 2012

Published online: December 15, 2012

Abstract

The rising rates of obesity in youth have concurrently led to an increase in the rates of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in this age group. However, there are limited data on the efficacy of different antidiabetic agents in youth. In this context, the Treatment Options for Type 2 Diabetes in Adolescents and Youth trial recently reported that the majority of obese children and adolescents 10-17-years old with newly diagnosed T2DM (T2DM duration less than 2 years) could not achieve HbA1c levels < 8% for more than 1 year with metformin monotherapy, metformin plus rosiglitazone combination, or metformin and lifestyle changes. These findings suggest that, in the majority of youth with T2DM, tight long-term glycemic control with oral agents is an elusive goal and that most patients will require treatment with insulin within a few years of diagnosis to achieve HbA1c targets and reduce the risk of macro- and microvascular complications. Therefore, reducing the incidence of T2DM by preventing pediatric obesity through the implementation of lifestyle changes in the community should be the primary objective of health-care systems.

© 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Type 2 diabetes mellitus; Metformin; Rosiglitazone; Lifestyle changes; Insulin

Peer reviewers: Dr. Sule Apikoglu-Rabus, Associate Professor, Clinical Pharmacy Department, Marmara University Faculty of Pharmacy, Tibbiye Cd, 34668, Haydarpaşa, Istanbul, Turkey; Juan Carlos Laguna, PhD, Pharmacology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy and Institute of Biomedicine (IBUB), University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain; Mohamed A Haidara, PhD, Department of Physiology, Kasr Al-Aini Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt; Moses S Elisaf, MD, PhD, FASA, FRSH, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece; Dr. Surajit Nayak, Department of Skin and VD, MKCG Medical College and Hospital, Berhampur 760004, Orissa, India

Giampatzis V, Tziomalos K. Management of type 2 diabetes mellitus in youth. *World J Diabetes* 2012; 3(12): 182-185 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/full/v3/i12/182.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v3.i12.182>

INVITED COMMENTARY ON HOT ARTICLES

Obesity is becoming increasingly prevalent in children and adolescents, putting considerable burden on public healthcare services^[1,2]. According to the 2007-2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data, 16.9% of 6- to 19-year-old in the United States are obese^[2]. These rising rates of obesity in youth have concurrently led to an increase in the rates of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in this age group^[3]. The overall prevalence of T2DM in youth is 0.22 cases per 1000^[4] and it is estimated that T2DM accounts for 15% to 86% of newly diagnosed cases of diabetes mellitus in ages 10-19 years with the higher prevalence rates reported among ethnic minorities^[5].

Despite the increasing rates of T2DM in youth, there are limited data on the efficacy of different antidiabetic agents in this age group. Furthermore, additional dif-

facilities emerge during the treatment of this special population, including the psychological and emotional changes of adolescence as well as particularities of the specific familial and socioeconomic environment^[6,7].

In this context, the Treatment Options for Type 2 Diabetes in Adolescents and Youth (TODAY) trial provides new insights on the management of this understudied group of patients^[8]. This multicenter study included children and adolescents 10- to 17-year-old who had T2DM for less than 2 years (mean T2DM duration 7.8 mo) and body mass index (BMI) \geq the 85th percentile for age and sex. Of the 1211 subjects who were screened, 927 patients entered a run-in phase during which metformin was administered at a dose of 1000-2000 mg/d to achieve HbA1c levels $<$ 8%. At the end of the run-in period, 699 patients were randomly assigned to continue metformin monotherapy at a dose of 1000 mg twice daily, to receive metformin and rosiglitazone 4 mg/d combination treatment, or to receive metformin and lifestyle intervention focusing on weight loss through family-based changes in eating and activity behaviors. The primary endpoint was treatment failure, defined as HbA1c levels persistently \geq 8% over a 6-mo period or persistent metabolic decompensation (i.e., inability to discontinue insulin within 3 mo after its initiation for decompensation or recurrent decompensation within 3 mo of stopping insulin). Patients were followed-up for a mean of 3.86 years.

Treatment failure occurred in 51.7% of patients in the metformin monotherapy group (95% CI 45.3-58.2), in 38.6% of patients treated with metformin plus rosiglitazone combination (95% CI 32.4-44.9), and in 46.6% of patients managed with metformin and lifestyle modification (95% CI 40.2-53.0)^[8]. Metformin plus rosiglitazone treatment reduced the occurrence of treatment failure by 25.3% compared with metformin monotherapy ($P = 0.006$). Treatment failure rates did not differ significantly between patients treated with metformin combined with lifestyle intervention and patients treated with either metformin monotherapy or metformin combined with rosiglitazone. The median time to treatment failure was 11.5 mo (range, $<$ 1 to 66 mo) and did not differ between the 3 groups. The BMI increased significantly more in patients treated with metformin plus rosiglitazone than in the other groups. The group that received metformin and lifestyle intervention exhibited less BMI increase than patients treated with metformin monotherapy. However, neither BMI at baseline nor BMI during treatment predicted treatment failure. Adherence to treatment was 57% at month 60 and did not differ between the 3 groups. Changes in blood pressure and lipids were also comparable in the 3 groups. Serious adverse events were reported by 18.1%, 14.6% and 24.8% of patients treated with metformin alone, metformin plus rosiglitazone, and metformin plus lifestyle intervention, respectively ($P = 0.02$). The most frequent adverse effects in all groups were infections, gastrointestinal symptoms, rash, muscle ache and elevation of liver

enzymes.

Until now, metformin and glimepiride are the only oral agents approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of children with T2DM^[9]. Although metformin is recommended as first-line treatment in this age group^[10], the TODAY study showed that in children and adolescents who have T2DM for $<$ 2 years, metformin maintains optimal glycemic status in $<$ 50% of patients after 1 year. When metformin monotherapy does not achieve HbA1c targets, sulphonylureas are the most frequently added oral agents^[10]. However, sulphonylureas are associated with weight gain and increase the risk for hypoglycemia^[11]. Unfortunately, the TODAY study did not include a sulphonylurea arm and the benefit/risk ratio of metformin plus sulphonylurea combination in this age group remains unclear. Nevertheless, in adults with newly diagnosed T2DM, sulphonylurea monotherapy maintains HbA1c targets after 3 years in $<$ 50% of patients^[12]. On the other hand, in adults, rosiglitazone monotherapy appears to be associated with more sustained glycemic control than monotherapy with either metformin or sulphonylureas^[13]. Nevertheless, rosiglitazone has been withdrawn from Europe and its use is restricted in the United States because it appears to increase the risk for myocardial infarction^[14]. Pioglitazone, the other member of the thiazolidinediones class, does not appear to increase cardiovascular risk^[15], but both agents are associated with weight gain, edema and increased risk for heart failure and fractures^[11]. Moreover, pioglitazone was recently withdrawn from France because of increased risk for bladder cancer^[16,17]. In addition to these safety concerns, almost 40% of patients treated with metformin plus rosiglitazone combination in the TODAY study could not maintain HbA1c levels $<$ 8% after 1 year. Therefore, the efficacy of adding rosiglitazone in this age group also appears to be suboptimal. It should also be emphasized that treatment failure rates did not differ in the TODAY study between patients treated with metformin plus rosiglitazone and patients given metformin and lifestyle advice.

Overall, the findings of the TODAY study suggest that, in order to achieve optimal glycemic control, the majority of children and adolescents with T2DM will require treatment with insulin within a few years after diagnosis^[8]. Even though insulin can achieve sustained normalization of HbA1c levels, it has the drawbacks of weight gain and elevated risk of hypoglycemic episodes^[11,18]. In addition, the parenteral administration of insulin is an important barrier for the introduction of this treatment^[19]. Moreover, the need in some cases for multiple daily injections to optimize glycemic control hampers the intensification of insulin treatment^[19]. Common misperceptions of patients regarding insulin, including the belief that it represents failure of oral agents or a sign of uncontrolled diabetes with a higher risk for long-term complications, are additional obstacles for initiating insulin^[20]. In addition, after the introduction of insulin, adherence is lower than those with oral

antidiabetic agents^[19]. The issue of adherence to treatment is particularly pertinent to adolescents^[18]. Indeed, in the TODAY study, only 57.6% of patients adhered to treatment with oral antidiabetic agents^[8]. Moreover, satisfaction with antidiabetic treatment, which is directly correlated with adherence, is lower in patients treated with insulin than in those who receive oral agents^[20].

In conclusion, the findings of the TODAY study suggest that, in the majority of youth with T2DM, tight glycemic control is an elusive goal with oral agents even in the context of a clinical trial involving presumably motivated patients. Therefore, achieving HbA1c goals will probably be even more difficult in everyday clinical practice. It remains to be established whether newer antidiabetic agents, particularly dipeptidyl-peptidase IV (DPP-IV) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogues, will provide more sustained glycemic control in adolescents with T2DM. These agents have the advantage that they either not cause weight gain (the DPP-IV inhibitors) or induce weight loss (the GLP-1 analogues) and are considered second line treatment in adult diabetic patients who cannot achieve glycemic targets with metformin monotherapy^[21]. However, they are not currently licensed for use in patients younger than 18 years. Accordingly, reducing the incidence of T2DM by preventing pediatric obesity through lifestyle changes should be the primary objective of healthcare systems. Randomized trials in adults showed that diet and exercise reduces the risk of T2DM in patients with impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance^[22,23]. However, long-term adherence to lifestyle changes is difficult to achieve, particularly in adolescents, as shown in the TODAY and other studies^[8,24]. Therefore, implementing healthcare policies to address causes of low adherence to lifestyle modifications, including low socioeconomic and educational status, limited health care accessibility and family problems^[25,26], are imperative to prevent the development of obesity and T2DM in children and adolescents.

REFERENCES

- Hedley AA, Ogden CL, Johnson CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among US children, adolescents, and adults, 1999-2002. *JAMA* 2004; **291**: 2847-2850
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999-2010. *JAMA* 2012; **307**: 483-490
- Hannon TS, Rao G, Arslanian SA. Childhood obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Pediatrics* 2005; **116**: 473-480
- Liese AD, D'Agostino RB, Hamman RF, Kilgo PD, Lawrence JM, Liu LL, Loots B, Linder B, Marcovina S, Rodriguez B, Standiford D, Williams DE. The burden of diabetes mellitus among US youth: prevalence estimates from the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study. *Pediatrics* 2006; **118**: 1510-1518
- Dabelea D, Bell RA, D'Agostino RB, Imperatore G, Johansen JM, Linder B, Liu LL, Loots B, Marcovina S, Mayer-Davis EJ, Pettitt DJ, Waitzfelder B. Incidence of diabetes in youth in the United States. *JAMA* 2007; **297**: 2716-2724
- Copeland KC, Zeitler P, Geffner M, Guandalini C, Higgins J, Hirst K, Kaufman FR, Linder B, Marcovina S, McGuigan P, Pyle L, Tamborlane W, Willi S. Characteristics of adolescents and youth with recent-onset type 2 diabetes: the TODAY cohort at baseline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011; **96**: 159-167
- Zeitler P, Epstein L, Grey M, Hirst K, Kaufman F, Tamborlane W, Wilfley D. Treatment options for type 2 diabetes in adolescents and youth: a study of the comparative efficacy of metformin alone or in combination with rosiglitazone or lifestyle intervention in adolescents with type 2 diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2007; **8**: 74-87
- Zeitler P, Hirst K, Pyle L, Linder B, Copeland K, Arslanian S, Cuttler L, Nathan DM, Tollefsen S, Wilfley D, Kaufman F. A clinical trial to maintain glycemic control in youth with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **366**: 2247-2256
- Rodbard HW. Diabetes screening, diagnosis, and therapy in pediatric patients with type 2 diabetes. *Medscape J Med* 2008; **10**: 184
- Type 2 diabetes in children and adolescents. American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 2000; **23**: 381-389
- Bennett WL, Maruthur NM, Singh S, Segal JB, Wilson LM, Chatterjee R, Marinopoulos SS, Puhan MA, Ranasinghe P, Block L, Nicholson WK, Hutfless S, Bass EB, Bolen S. Comparative effectiveness and safety of medications for type 2 diabetes: an update including new drugs and 2-drug combinations. *Ann Intern Med* 2011; **154**: 602-613
- Turner RC, Cull CA, Frighi V, Holman RR. Glycemic control with diet, sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: progressive requirement for multiple therapies (UKPDS 49). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *JAMA* 1999; **281**: 2005-2012
- Kahn SE, Haffner SM, Heise MA, Herman WH, Holman RR, Jones NP, Kravitz BG, Lachin JM, O'Neill MC, Zinman B, Viberti G. Glycemic durability of rosiglitazone, metformin, or glyburide monotherapy. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **355**: 2427-2443
- Nissen SE, Wolski K. Rosiglitazone revisited: an updated meta-analysis of risk for myocardial infarction and cardiovascular mortality. *Arch Intern Med* 2010; **170**: 1191-1201
- Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJ, Erdmann E, Massi-Benedetti M, Moules IK, Skene AM, Tan MH, Lefèvre PJ, Murray GD, Standl E, Wilcox RG, Wilhelmsen L, Betteridge J, Birkeland K, Golay A, Heine RJ, Korányi L, Laakso M, Mokán M, Norkus A, Pirags V, Podar T, Scheen A, Scherbaum W, Scherthaner G, Schmitz O, Skrha J, Smith U, Taton J. Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitazone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005; **366**: 1279-1289
- Available from: URL: [http://ansm.sante.fr/Activites/Surveillance-des-medicaments/Medicaments-retires-du-marche/Medicaments-suspendus-retires-du-marche-ou-en-cours-d-arret-depuis-janvier-2011/ACTOS/\(language\)/fre-FR](http://ansm.sante.fr/Activites/Surveillance-des-medicaments/Medicaments-retires-du-marche/Medicaments-suspendus-retires-du-marche-ou-en-cours-d-arret-depuis-janvier-2011/ACTOS/(language)/fre-FR) (Accessed July 13, 2012)
- Colmers IN, Bowker SL, Majumdar SR, Johnson JA. Use of thiazolidinediones and the risk of bladder cancer among people with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *CMAJ* 2012; **184**: E675-E683
- Flint A, Arslanian S. Treatment of type 2 diabetes in youth. *Diabetes Care* 2011; **34** Suppl 2: S177-S183
- Cramer JA. A systematic review of adherence with medications for diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27**: 1218-1224
- Biderman A, Noff E, Harris SB, Friedman N, Levy A. Treatment satisfaction of diabetic patients: what are the contributing factors? *Fam Pract* 2009; **26**: 102-108
- Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M, Peters AL, Tsapas A, Wender R, Matthews DR. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach. Position statement of the American

- can Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetologia* 2012; **55**: 1577-1596
- 22 **Knowler WC**, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, Nathan DM. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 2002; **346**: 393-403
- 23 **Tuomilehto J**, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hämäläinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Rastas M, Salminen V, Uusitupa M. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **344**: 1343-1350
- 24 **Rothman RL**, Mulvaney S, Elasy TA, VanderWoude A, Gebretsadik T, Shintani A, Potter A, Russell WE, Schlundt D. Self-management behaviors, racial disparities, and glycemic control among adolescents with type 2 diabetes. *Pediatrics* 2008; **121**: e912-e919
- 25 **Tiv M**, Viel JF, Mauny F, Eschwège E, Weill A, Fournier C, Fagot-Campagna A, Penfornis A. Medication adherence in type 2 diabetes: the ENTRED study 2007, a French Population-Based Study. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e32412
- 26 **Lindström J**, Absetz P, Hemiö K, Peltomäki P, Peltonen M. Reducing the risk of type 2 diabetes with nutrition and physical activity - efficacy and implementation of lifestyle interventions in Finland. *Public Health Nutr* 2010; **13**: 993-999

S- Editor Wen LL L- Editor A E- Editor Xiong L