**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**ESPS Manuscript NO: 17324**

**Columns: ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

***Case Control Study***

**Circulating levels of vitamin D and colorectal adenoma: A case-control study and a meta-analysis**

Choi YJ *et al.* Serum vitamin D and colorectal adenoma

Yoon Ji Choi, Young Ha Kim, Chang Ho Cho, Sung Hi Kim, Jung Eun Lee

**Yoon Ji Choi, Young Ha Kim, Jung Eun Lee,** Department of Food and Nutrition, Sookmyung Women’s University, Seoul 140-742, South Korea

**Chang Ho Cho,** Department of Pathology, Daegu Catholic University Medical Center, Daegu 427-724, South Korea

**Sung Hi Kim,** Department of Family Medicine, Daegu Catholic University Medical Center, Daegu 427-724, South Korea

**Author contributions:** Choi YJ and Kim YH performed the statistical analysis; Choi YJ drafted the manuscript; Cho CH and Kim SH collected data and reviewed the manuscript; Lee JE designed the study and drafted the manuscript.

**Supported by** grants fromBasic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea, which is funded by the Korean Government, No. NRF-2011-0011028; and the Sookmyung Women’s University Research Grants, No. 1-1503-0168.

**Conflict-of-interest:** There is no conflict of interest to declare.

**Data sharing:** No additional data are available

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Correspondence to: Jung Eun Lee, ScD,** Department of Food and Nutrition, Sookmyung Women's University, Cheongpa-ro 47-gil 100, Yongsan-gu, Seoul 140-742, South Korea. junglee@sm.ac.kr

**Telephone: +**82-2-2077-7560

**Fax:** +82-2-710-9479

**Received:** February 28, 2015

**Peer-review started:** March 2, 2015

**First decision:** April 13, 2015

**Revised:** May 19, 2015

**Accepted:**

**Article in press:**

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

**AIM**: To examine the association between circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D[25(OH)D] levels and colorectal adenoma in a case-control study and a meta-analysis.

**METHODS**: We conducted a matched case-control study (112 cases and 112 matched controls) and combined 15 studies, including our study, in a meta-analysis. The study-specific odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were pooled using a random-effects model. In total, 5454 colorectal adenomas and 6656 controls were included in the meta-analysis.

**RESULTS**: In a meta-analysis including 14 previous studies and our study, we observed a significant inverse association between circulating 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma (OR = 0.68; 95%CI: 0.54-0.82) when comparing the highest category with the lowest category. Stratification by adenoma location (proximal or distal adenoma) showed similar estimates. When we stratified by study region, the ORs (95%CIs) were 0.70 (0.52-0.88) in the US and 0.66 (0.34-0.97) in Asia.

**CONCLUSION**: These data suggest an inverse association between circulating 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma in both Western and Asian populations

**Key words:** 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels; Colorectal adenoma; Cancer prevention

**© The Author(s) 2015.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Growing evidence from epidemiologic studies suggests a preventive effect of vitamin D against colorectal cancer. Colorectal adenoma is considered to be a precursor lesion of colorectal cancer. We conducted a case-control study in Korean adults and also calculated a summary estimate through a meta-analysis to examine the association between circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D[25(OH)D] levels and colorectal adenoma. We found an inverse association between circulating 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma, and this association was consistent for Asian populations.

Choi YJ, Kim YH, Cho CH, Kim SH, Lee JE.Circulating levels of vitamin D and colorectal adenoma: A case-control study and a meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2015; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Vitamin D is synthesized in human skin that is exposed to ultraviolet light and is also obtained through supplements and several food sources. Because the vitamin D from food does not adequately reflect the vitamin D status of an individual, circulating concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D[25(OH)D] may be a suitable measurement of vitamin D status. However, 1,25(OH)2D may not be a good indicator of vitamin D because it is tightly regulated largely by various factors[[1](#_ENREF_1)].

Growing evidence suggests that low vitamin D levels are prevalent worldwide[[2](#_ENREF_2),[3](#_ENREF_3)], partly because of an insufficient supply of vitamin D from natural food sources[[4](#_ENREF_4)], a shift to sedentary lifestyles, and a lack of outdoor activities. Garland *et al*[[5](#_ENREF_5)] suggested that exposure to solar radiation could be a protective factor for colon cancer in an ecologic study, and several epidemiologic studies found a reduction in colorectal cancer with better vitamin D status[[6](#_ENREF_6),[7](#_ENREF_7)]. 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D[1,25(OH)2D], the active form of vitamin D, exerts its inhibitory effects on tumors in the normal and neoplastic colonic epithelium by affecting cell growth regulation, cell cycle regulation, and apoptosis and by interacting with proto-oncogene and tumor suppressor genes[[8](#_ENREF_8)].

The incidence rate of colorectal cancer has increased in some Asian countries, which have undergone dramatic lifestyle changes. For example, the colorectal cancer incidence has steadily increased in Korea by 4.7% annually from 1999 to 2010[[9](#_ENREF_9)]. Colorectal cancer often develops from a colorectal adenoma in a process known as the adenoma-carcinoma sequence.

A considerable proportion of the East Asian population has low vitamin D status. The prevalence of < 20 ng/mL vitamin D levels was 56.0% in Korean adults of the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008[[10](#_ENREF_10)], 69.2% in the middle-aged or elderly Chinese population in a cross-sectional study[[11](#_ENREF_11)], and 35.3% in postmenopausal Japanese women[[12](#_ENREF_12)]. Despite the increase in colorectal cancer incidence and the low vitamin D status in Asian populations, only a few Asian studies on vitamin D and colorectal adenoma have been conducted. One Japanese study found a lower prevalence of colorectal adenoma with high vitamin D status during the winter season[[13](#_ENREF_13)], and another Japanese study observed an inverse, but nonlinear, association[[14](#_ENREF_14)]. One Korean case-control study performed an analysis among 143 age- and gender-matched case and control pairs and found an inverse association[[15](#_ENREF_15)]. Although a previous meta-analysis reported a potential inverse association between circulating vitamin D levels and colorectal adenoma[[16](#_ENREF_16)], a limited number of Asian studies did not allow to examine whether this association observed was applied to Asian populations.

To determine whether circulating vitamin D levels are inversely associated with colorectal adenoma, we analyzed the association between colorectal adenoma and 25(OH)D levels among a matched case-control study of Korean adults, and we conducted a meta-analysis of 15 studies, including 14 previous published studies and our study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Case control study***

**Study population**: We conducted a case-control study among 45- to 71-year-old men and women who underwent colonoscopy at a university hospital in Daegu, city of Korea, from August 2011 to September 2012. The size, subtype and number of colorectal adenomas were determined through colonoscopy and pathological examination. Polyps were classified as adenomatous, hyperplastic, or other nonadenomatous. Only adenomatous polyps were included as cases. We included both first and recurrent adenomas (4.7%). To minimize the influence of fasting status or sex, we performed 1:1 matching by fasting status and sex. As a result, a total of 112 cases and 112 matched-controls were included. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Daegu Catholic University Medical Center. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Measurement of circulating vitamin D levels**: Participants provided blood samples between January and February 2013. Blood samples were centrifuged and sent on ice to the Neodin Medical Institute (Seoul, South Korea). Concentrations of serum 25(OH)D were measured using the DiaSorin radioimmunoassay (RIA) method at Neodin Medical Institute. The intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was less than 2%. All laboratory technicians were blinded to the case status.

**Assessment of lifestyle factors:** We asked participants for information about their demographic characteristics, lifestyle factors, and family history of colorectal cancer. Dietary intake was assessed using a validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ)[[17](#_ENREF_17)]. The height and weight of participants were directly measured, and the body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the weight in kilograms by the square of the height in meters. Participants were asked about the age at which they started and/or quit drinking and about the amount and frequency of consuming alcoholic beverages, such as rice wine (makgeolli), wine, beer, and liquor. Questions regarding cigarette smoking habits included queries about whether the participant smoked more than 20 packs of cigarettes, the age of smoking initiation and cessation, the amount of cigarettes smoked per day during regular smoking, and the total duration of regular cigarette smoking. The total pack years of smoking was calculated based on the total duration of regular cigarette smoking and the amount of cigarettes smoked per day during regular smoking. The metabolic equivalent of task (MET)-hours per week was calculated for physical activity.

**Statistical analysis:** The characteristics of the participants were compared between cases and controls using the means and standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables or using the frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. The differences between continuous variables were analyzed by paired t-tests, and those between categorical variables were analyzed using the Mantel-Haenszel test. We used conditional logistic regression analysis to obtain the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of colorectal adenoma according to the quartile of the 25(OH)D levels. A test for trends was performed by including the median of each 25(OH)D quartile as a continuous variable. We adjusted for age (years, continuous), BMI (kg/m2, 18.5<-23, 23-<25, >25), alcohol drinking (men: nondrinker, past drinker, ≤ 1/mo, 2-4/mo, 2-3/wk, ≥ 4/wk, women: nondrinker, ever drinker), smoking status (men: never, 0- < 20, 20- < 30, > 30 pack-years of smoking; women: never, ever smoker), folate intake (mcg/d, continuous), and menopausal status and hormone replacement use for women only (premenopausal, postmenopausal without hormone replacement therapy, postmenopausal with hormone replacement therapy, postmenopausal with nonresponse about hormone replacement therapy). We examined whether the associations differed by adenoma calcium intake (median, < 412.5, ≥ 412.5 mg/d). We used the likelihood ratio test (LRT) to test the null hypothesis that there was no interaction due to the potential effect factors of colorectal adenoma. All P values were two-sided, and *P <* 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina).

***Meta-analysis***

**Selection of studies:** We searched the PubMed database for studies published through February 25, 2015. We used the following terms for a PubMed search restricted to articles reported in English-language articles: ("Vitamin D" OR "Calcifediol" OR “circulating 25(OH)vitamin D” OR "25-hydroxylvitamin D" OR "25-hydroxyvitamin D" OR "25(OH)D") AND ("colorectal adenoma" OR "adenomas" OR "adenomatous" OR "CRA"). We also searched the Web of Science database using the term (25 hydroxyvitamin D and colorectal adenoma) in a search query of the topic field. In total, 203 articles were identified in the PubMed database and 55 articles in Web of Science. The title and abstract of each selected paper were examined in detail to determine whether the article was relevant. We also manually searched the bibliographies of the retrieved articles. The major criteria were as follows: (1) serum or plasma 25(OH)D was assayed as the factor of interest; (2) the outcome of interest was colorectal adenoma or adenoma recurrence; (3) the relative risk (RR) and 95%CIs were reported; and (4) articles were published as full-text manuscripts. If studies were duplicated[[18-20](#_ENREF_18),21], the study with the larger sample size[[19](#_ENREF_19)] or a pooled analysis with another study[[20](#_ENREF_20)] was included. Eligibility criteria were assessed by Choi YJ, and selected manuscripts were checked by an independent author (Lee JE). Two authors (Choi YJ and Lee JE) independently assessed the quality of each study using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale[[22](#_ENREF_22)]. Score differences greater than 1 between the two authors were resolved by consensus. We identified fifteen studies[[13-15](#_ENREF_13),[19](#_ENREF_19),[20](#_ENREF_20),[23-31](#_ENREF_23)] that examined serum or plasma 25(OH)D levels and first colorectal adenoma or adenoma recurrence (Figure 1). We excluded study where the units of the 25(OH)D levels were not available[[31](#_ENREF_31)]. The following data were extracted from the selected articles: the first author, published year, study region, sex, study design, endpoint, type of endoscopy, study dates (follow-up duration), number of cases and controls, mean or median of 25(OH)D, 25(OH)D levels comparing the highest category with the lowest category, OR (95% CI), and adjusted covariates. This meta-analysis was performed according to the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines [[32](#_ENREF_32)].

**Statistical analysis:** For a meta-analysis of the association between 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma, including the association found in our case-control study, we computed the summary relative risk (RR) and 95%CIs using a random-effects model[[33](#_ENREF_33)]. The RR of each study was extracted from the most fully adjusted models if available. Estimates of the studies were weighted by the inverse of their variance. In a main analysis, we compared the highest category with the lowest category of circulating 25(OH)D levels. For a study that reported only a dose-response relationship[[26](#_ENREF_26)], we calculated the OR (95% CI) of a mean difference in 25(OH)D levels between the highest and the lowest categories in the other studies for categorical comparison. We also constructed a dose-response model. If the RR per standard unit of increase was not presented in the studies, we converted the categorical RR to a dose-dependent RR using the method suggested by Greenland *et al*[[34](#_ENREF_34)] and Orsini *et al*[[35](#_ENREF_35)]. For this analysis, we assigned the midpoint of the upper and lower levels in each category. If the highest or the lowest boundary was not reported, we assumed that the interval in the highest or the lowest category had the same amplitude as the adjacent category. We calculated the RRs and 95% CIs for 10 ng/mL increments in the 25(OH)D levels.

We performed subgroup analyses and meta-regression analyses to assess potential sources of heterogeneity due to sex, calcium intake (high or low), geographic location (United States or Asia), or adenoma location (proximal or distal).

The between-study heterogeneity was evaluated using a *Q* test[[33](#_ENREF_33)]. We evaluated for a potential publication bias using a funnel plot and Egger linear regression test[[36](#_ENREF_36)]. All meta-analyses were performed using STATA 11 statistical software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, United States). All P values were two-sided, and *P <* 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

***Case-control study***

The characteristics according to colorectal adenoma prevalence are presented in Table 1. The mean age was 60.3 (SD = 5.3) years for the cases and 59.7 (SD = 5.4) years for the controls. The waist circumference and BMI were higher among the cases than among the controls. The cases consumed a higher amount of alcohol than did the controls. The mean 25(OH)D levels were 15.7 (SD = 5.8) ng/mL for the cases and 16.7 (SD = 5.6) ng/mL for the controls. Overall, 81.3% of the cases and 73.2% of the controls had 25(OH)D levels < 20 ng/mL. In our study, 76.3% of the men and 78.2% of the women had 25(OH)D levels < 20 ng/mL.

We found no association between the 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma for men, but we found a suggestive inverse trend for women (Table 2); themultivariate ORs and 95%CIs compared to the bottom quartile of 25(OH)D levels were 0.89 (0.26-3.10) for the 2nd quartile, 0.54 (0.13-2.16) for the third quartile, and 0.22 (0.04-1.15) for the 4th quartile (*P* for trend = 0.05). When we stratified our data by adenoma location (proximal or distal), the ORs (95%CIs) were 0.55 (0.22-1.42) for distal adenomas and 0.59 (0.23-1.54) for proximal adenomas. Stratification by calcium intake showed 0.71 (0.19-2.58) for low calcium intake (less than median levels) and 0.36 (0.10-1.30) for high calcium intake (median or greater levels) of ORs (95%CIs) comparing the 4th quartile with the other three lower quartiles, but the difference was not statistically significant (*P* for interaction = 0.36).

***Meta-analysis***

A total of 15 articles reporting 5454 colorectal adenomas and 6,656 controls were included in the meta-analysis (Table 3). The 25(OH)D levels were measured at baseline during clinical trials of colorectal adenoma recurrence[[20](#_ENREF_20),[26](#_ENREF_26)]. As a result, we included 6 case-control studies, 4 cross-sectional studies, 1 pooled case-control study, and 4 prospective studies (two used a clinical trial design[[20](#_ENREF_20),[26](#_ENREF_26)]). Ten studies were conducted in the United States, 1 in Austria, 2 in Japan, and 2 in Korea. Nine studies used the first adenoma as the endpoint, and two prospective studies from clinical trials considered adenoma recurrence as the endpoint. The other two studies[[25](#_ENREF_25)], including our study, included a small proportion of participants who had recurrence. The endpoint of the study was not clear in one article [[30](#_ENREF_30)]. Adenomatous polyps were determined through colonoscopy except in four studies; two studies used both colonoscopy and sigmoidoscopy[[23](#_ENREF_23),[25](#_ENREF_25)], and the other two studies used only sigmoidoscopy[[24](#_ENREF_24),[27](#_ENREF_27)]. Two studies conducted pooled analyses; the pooled analysis included three colonoscopy-based case-control studies (the Cancer Prevention Research Unit (CPRU) and the Markers of Adenomatous Polyps (MAP) studies in North Carolina and the MAPII study in South Carolina)[[28](#_ENREF_28)] and a pooled analysis of two randomized clinical trials (the Wheat Bran Fiber Trial and the Ursodeoxycholic Acid Trial)[[20](#_ENREF_20)]. Out of 15 studies, 7 studies adjusted for the month of blood draw[[14](#_ENREF_14),[19](#_ENREF_19),[25](#_ENREF_25),[27](#_ENREF_27),[29](#_ENREF_29),[30](#_ENREF_30),[37](#_ENREF_37)], one study matched the case and control by date of blood draw[[23](#_ENREF_23)], and two Korean studies[[15](#_ENREF_15)], including our case-control study, collected blood samples only in the winter.

When we conducted a meta-analysis of all the studies, we found an inverse association between the 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma; the combined RR (95%CI) was 0.68 (0.54-0.82) when comparing the highest category with the lowest category (Figure 2). Because we found heterogeneity across studies (*P <* 0.001), we omitted one study at a time to examine whether one study influenced the overall results. When we excluded two studies[[13](#_ENREF_13),[26](#_ENREF_26)] from the analysis, the heterogeneity decreased, but the results were similar to those from the analysis where we included all the studies. When we calculated a dose-response relationship, the combined RR (95%CI) was 0.93 (0.89-0.97) for a 10 ng/mL increment in 25(OH)D levels. When we limited our meta-analysis to the studies that included only the first colorectal adenoma, the combined RR (95%CI) was 0.65 (0.52-0.78) when comparing the highest category with the lowest category. We investigated the association between 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma according to sex, study region, calcium intake, or adenoma site (Table 4). Stratification by study region showed that the ORs (95%CIs) were 0.70 (0.52-0.88) in the US and 0.66 (0.34-0.97) in Asia. The associations did not vary by these factors.

**DISCUSSION**

In a case-control study of Korean adults, we found an inverse association between circulating serum 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma in women but not in men. When we combined estimates from case-control, cross-sectional or prospective studies in a meta-analysis, higher circulating vitamin D levels were associated with a lower prevalence of colorectal adenoma. The associations were similar across proximal and distal sites. Notably, our meta-analysis showed a significant inverse association between 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma in Asian populations.

We found an inverse association only among women in our case-control study. We cannot rule out the possibility that our finding could be attributed to chance or potential residual confounding factors among men; however, the stronger, but not significant, association among women compared with that in men in our meta-analysis and the stronger, or only significant for women, association in some studies[[21](#_ENREF_21),[27](#_ENREF_27)] warrant further studies.

Although we found an inverse association between circulating vitamin D levels and colorectal adenoma in a previous meta-analysis[[16](#_ENREF_16)], a limited number of Asian studies did not allow us to explore whether high vitamin D was associated with a lower prevalence of colorectal adenoma in Asian populations along with Western populations. Because more studies have been published and we added our study, we examined the potential benefit of vitamin D against early stage of colorectal cancer in Asian populations. It needs further prospective studies.

Because vitamin D and calcium are metabolically interrelated[[38](#_ENREF_38)], we examined whether the association between 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma varied by calcium intake in the meta-analysis. Although we found a stronger association among those with high calcium intake than those with low intake, the difference was not statistically significant.

Experimental studies have shown that 1,25(OH)2D inhibits cellular proliferation, induces differentiation and apoptosis, and inhibits angiogenesis [[39](#_ENREF_39), [40](#_ENREF_40)]. In an in vitro study, colon tumor tissues expressed a lower vitamin D receptor level than did normal tissues, and the tumor with a higher receptor level was more responsive to 1,25-(OH)2D[[41](#_ENREF_41)]. Additionally, the administration of 1,25(OH)­2D or vitamin D analogues induced the expression of genes involved in cell differentiation[[42](#_ENREF_42),[43](#_ENREF_43)]. In an in vivo study, vitamin D treatment in Wistar rats reduced the apoptosis in colon tumors[[44](#_ENREF_44)].

Our study has several strengths and limitations. We collected blood samples only during the winter season; therefore, individual seasonal variations should not contribute to our findings. We performed a compressive meta-analysis to combine existing evidence and found an inverse association between 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma. The limitations of our case-control study include the small sample size, the single measurement of 25(OH)D levels, and the possibility of the presence of residual confounding factors. Also, because our study participants provide blood samples after colonoscopy, vitamin D levels could have been changed if participants altered their lifestyle such as outdoor activities and dairy food intake. Especially, if vitamin D levels in participants with adenoma increased, the association would have been attenuated toward no association. However, an inverse association observed in a meta-analysis may suggest that an inverse association in women in our case-control study may not be a seriously biased result. We cannot rule out the possibility that no association in men could be partly due to the limitations of retrospective nature in our study.

In summary, the results from our case-control study and meta-analysis showed that circulating 25(OH)D levels are inversely associated with the prevalence of colorectal adenoma in both Western and Asian populations.

**COMMENTS**

***Background***

Low sunlight exposure, partly due to sedentary lifestyles and lack of outdoor activities, has been suggested to contribute to colorectal cancer development, and the role of vitamin D in colorectal cancer prevention has drawn increasing attention.

***Research frontiers***

We conducted a case-control study in an adult Korean population and calculated a summary estimate through a meta-analysis to examine the association between circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] levels and colorectal adenoma.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

This study suggest an inverse association between circulating 25(OH)D levels and colorectal adenoma in both Western and Asian populations

***Applications***

This study suggest that vitamin D may exhibit a protective effect against early stage of colorectal cancer.

***Terminology***

25(OH)D is hydroxylated in the kidney to form 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D. 1,25(OH)2D, a biologically active form of vitamin D, is responsible for most biologic functions. Circulating concentration of 25(OH)D is known to be a good reflection of exposure to sunlight and dietary intake of vitamin D.


***Peer-review***

This is a well written manuscript in in writing and structure. The authors performed a case-control study among Korean adults determining the association between colorectal adenoma and 25(OH)D levels, moreover they systematically summarized the studies that have been made previously.

**REFERENCES**

|  |
| --- |
| 1 Institute of Medicine, Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium, Phosphorus, Magnesium, Vitamin D, and Fluoride. 1997: Washington, DC: 250-287  2 **Arabi A**, El Rassi R, El-Hajj Fuleihan G. Hypovitaminosis D in developing countries-prevalence, risk factors and outcomes. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2010; **6**: 550-561 [PMID: 20852586 DOI: 10.1038/nrendo.2010.146]  3 **Hilger J**, Friedel A, Herr R, Rausch T, Roos F, Wahl DA, Pierroz DD, Weber P, Hoffmann K. A systematic review of vitamin D status in populations worldwide. *Br J Nutr* 2014; **111**: 23-45 [PMID: 23930771 DOI: 10.1017/S0007114513001840]  4 **Vieth R**, Bischoff-Ferrari H, Boucher BJ, Dawson-Hughes B, Garland CF, Heaney RP, Holick MF, Hollis BW, Lamberg-Allardt C, McGrath JJ, Norman AW, Scragg R, Whiting SJ, Willett WC, Zittermann A. The urgent need to recommend an intake of vitamin D that is effective. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007; **85**: 649-650 [PMID: 17344484]  5 **Garland CF**, Garland FC. Do sunlight and vitamin D reduce the likelihood of colon cancer? *Int J Epidemiol* 1980; **9**: 227-231 [PMID: 7440046 DOI: 10.1093/ije/9.3.227]  6 **Lee JE**, Li H, Chan AT, Hollis BW, Lee IM, Stampfer MJ, Wu K, Giovannucci E, Ma J. Circulating levels of vitamin D and colon and rectal cancer: the Physicians' Health Study and a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 2011; **4**: 735-743 [PMID: 21430073 DOI: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-10-0289]  7 **Giovannucci E**. Epidemiology of vitamin D and colorectal cancer: casual or causal link? *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2010; **121**: 349-354 [PMID: 20398758 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2010.03.085]  8 **Lamprecht SA**, Lipkin M. Cellular mechanisms of calcium and vitamin D in the inhibition of colorectal carcinogenesis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2001; **952**: 73-87 [PMID: 11795445 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb02729.x]  9 **Jung KW**, Won YJ, Kong HJ, Oh CM, Seo HG, Lee JS. Cancer statistics in Korea: incidence, mortality, survival and prevalence in 2010. *Cancer Res Treat* 2013; **45**: 1-14 [PMID: 23613665 DOI: 10.4143/crt.2013.45.1.1]  10 **Kim S**, Lim J, Kye S, Joung H. Association between vitamin D status and metabolic syndrome risk among Korean population: based on the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey IV-2, 2008. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012; **96**: 230-236 [PMID: 22309788 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2012.01.001]  11 **Lu L**, Yu Z, Pan A, Hu FB, Franco OH, Li H, Li X, Yang X, Chen Y, Lin X. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration and metabolic syndrome among middle-aged and elderly Chinese individuals. *Diabetes Care* 2009; **32**: 1278-1283 [PMID: 19366976 DOI: 10.2337/dc09-0209]  12 **Nakamura K**, Tsugawa N, Saito T, Ishikawa M, Tsuchiya Y, Hyodo K, Maruyama K, Oshiki R, Kobayashi R, Nashimoto M, Yoshihara A, Ozaki R, Okano T, Yamamoto M. Vitamin D status, bone mass, and bone metabolism in home-dwelling postmenopausal Japanese women: Yokogoshi Study. *Bone* 2008; **42**: 271-277 [PMID: 18006400 DOI: 10.1016/j.bone.2007.09.056]  13 **Takahashi R**, Mizoue T, Otake T, Fukumoto J, Tajima O, Tabata S, Abe H, Ohnaka K, Kono S. Circulating vitamin D and colorectal adenomas in Japanese men. *Cancer Sci* 2010; **101**: 1695-1700 [PMID: 20507319 DOI: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2010.01575.x]  14 **Yamaji T**, Iwasaki M, Sasazuki S, Sakamoto H, Yoshida T, Tsugane S. Association between plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D and colorectal adenoma according to dietary calcium intake and vitamin D receptor polymorphism. *Am J Epidemiol* 2012; **175**: 236-244 [DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwr295]  15 **Hong SN**, Kim JH, Choe WH, Lee SY, Seol DC, Moon HW, Hur M, Yun YM, Sung IK, Park HS, Shim CS. Circulating vitamin D and colorectal adenoma in asymptomatic average-risk individuals who underwent first screening colonoscopy: a case-control study. *Dig Dis Sci* 2012; **57**: 753-763 [PMID: 21984438 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-011-1926-1]  16 **Lee JE**. Circulating levels of vitamin D, vitamin D receptor polymorphisms, and colorectal adenoma: a meta-analysis. *Nutr Res Pract* 2011; **5**: 464-470 [PMID: 22125685 DOI: 10.4162/nrp.2011.5.5.464]  17 **Ahn Y**, Kwon E, Shim JE, Park MK, Joo Y, Kimm K, Park C, Kim DH. Validation and reproducibility of food frequency questionnaire for Korean genome epidemiologic study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2007; **61**: 1435-1441 [PMID: 17299477 DOI: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602657]  18 **Miller EA**, Keku TO, Satia JA, Martin CF, Galanko JA, Sandler RS. Calcium, vitamin D, and apoptosis in the rectal epithelium. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005; **14**: 525-528 [PMID: 15734982 DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.epi-04-0466]  19 **Miller EA**, Keku TO, Satia JA, Martin CF, Galanko JA, Sandler RS. Calcium, dietary, and lifestyle factors in the prevention of colorectal adenomas. *Cancer* 2007; **109**: 510-517 [PMID: 17200965 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.22453]  20 **Jacobs ET**, Hibler EA, Lance P, Sardo CL, Jurutka PW. Association between circulating concentrations of 25(OH)D and colorectal adenoma: a pooled analysis. *Int J Cancer* 2013; **133**: 2980-2988 [PMID: 23754630 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.28316]  21 **Jacobs ET**, Alberts DS, Benuzillo J, Hollis BW, Thompson PA, Martínez ME. Serum 25(OH)D levels, dietary intake of vitamin D, and colorectal adenoma recurrence. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2007; **103**: 752-756 [PMID: 17223551 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2006.12.039]  22 **Wells GA**, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-aottawa Scle (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised sutdies in meta-analysis, Dept of Epidemiology and Community Medicine, University of Ottawa: Ottawa, Canada, Available from: URL: http: //www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed 10 February 2011)  23 **Platz EA**, Hankinson SE, Hollis BW, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Speizer FE, Giovannucci E. Plasma 1,25-dihydroxy- and 25-hydroxyvitamin D and adenomatous polyps of the distal colorectum. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2000; **9**: 1059-1065 [PMID: 11045788 DOI: 10.1023/b: caco.0000024245.24880.8a]  24 **Levine AJ**, Harper JM, Ervin CM, Chen YH, Harmon E, Xue S, Lee ER, Frankel HD, Haile RW. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, dietary calcium intake, and distal colorectal adenoma risk. *Nutr Cancer* 2001; **39**: 35-41 [PMID: 11588900 DOI: 10.1207/S15327914nc391\_5]  25 **Peters U**, McGlynn KA, Chatterjee N, Gunter E, Garcia-Closas M, Rothman N, Sinha R. Vitamin D, calcium, and vitamin D receptor polymorphism in colorectal adenomas. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2001; **10**: 1267-1274 [PMID: 11751444]  26 **Grau MV**, Baron JA, Sandler RS, Haile RW, Beach ML, Church TR, Heber D. Vitamin D, calcium supplementation, and colorectal adenomas: results of a randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003; **95**: 1765-1771 [PMID: 14652238 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djg110]  27 **Peters U**, Hayes RB, Chatterjee N, Shao W, Schoen RE, Pinsky P, Hollis BW, McGlynn KA. Circulating vitamin D metabolites, polymorphism in vitamin D receptor, and colorectal adenoma risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004; **13**: 546-552 [PMID: 15066918]  28 **Fedirko V**, Bostick RM, Goodman M, Flanders WD, Gross MD. Blood 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 concentrations and incident sporadic colorectal adenoma risk: a pooled case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010; **172**: 489-500 [PMID: 20650953 DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwq157]  29 **Adams SV**, Newcomb PA, Burnett-Hartman AN, White E, Mandelson MT, Potter JD. Circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin-D and risk of colorectal adenomas and hyperplastic polyps. *Nutr Cancer* 2011; **63**: 319-326 [PMID: 21432725 DOI: 10.1080/01635581.2011.535960]  30 **Ashktorab H**, Nguza B, Fatemi M, Nouraie M, Smoot DT, Schäffer AA, Kupfer SS, Camargo CA, Brim H. Case-control study of vitamin D, dickkopf homolog 1 (DKK1) gene methylation, VDR gene polymorphism and the risk of colon adenoma in African Americans. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e25314 [PMID: 22022386 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0025314]  31 **Zheng XE**, Lipka S, Li T, Shahzad G, Levine E, Vlacancich R, Takeshige U, Mustacchia P. The relationship of vitamin D status, smoking, and colorectal adenoma: a retrospective study in an ethnically diverse community. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2013; **136**: 280-283 [PMID: 23000288 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2012.09.018]  32 **Stroup DF**, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA, Thacker SB. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA* 2000; **283**: 2008-2012 [PMID: 10789670 DOI: 10.1001/jama.283.15.2008]  33 **DerSimonian R**, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1986; **7**: 177-188 [PMID: 3802833 DOI: 10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2]  34 **Greenland S**, Longnecker MP. Methods for trend estimation from summarized dose-response data, with applications to meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992; **135**: 1301-1309 [PMID: 1626547]  35 **Orsini N**, Bellocco R, Greenland S. Generalized least squares for trend estimation of summarized dose-response data. *STATA J* 2006; **6**: 40  36 **Egger M**, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997; **315**: 629-634 [PMID: 9310563 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629]  37 **Aigner E**, Stadlmayr A, Huber-Schönauer U, Zwerina J, Husar-Memmer E, Niederseer D, Trauner M, Heuberger A, Hohla F, Schett G, Patsch W, Datz C. Gender- and site-specific differences of colorectal neoplasia relate to vitamin D. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2014; **40**: 1341-1348 [PMID: 25278035 DOI: 10.1111/apt.12981]  38 **Heaney RP**. Vitamin D and calcium interactions: functional outcomes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008; **88**: 541S-544S [PMID: 18689398]  39 **Holt PR**, Arber N, Halmos B, Forde K, Kissileff H, McGlynn KA, Moss SF, Kurihara N, Fan K, Yang K, Lipkin M. Colonic epithelial cell proliferation decreases with increasing levels of serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; **11**: 113-119 [PMID: 11815408 DOI: 10.1016/S0016-5085(00)83185-6]  40 **Deeb KK**, Trump DL, Johnson CS. Vitamin D signalling pathways in cancer: potential for anticancer therapeutics. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2007; **7**: 684-700 [PMID: 17721433 DOI: 10.1038/nrc2196]  41 **Shabahang M**, Buras RR, Davoodi F, Schumaker LM, Nauta RJ, Evans SR. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 receptor as a marker of human colon carcinoma cell line differentiation and growth inhibition. *Cancer Res* 1993; **53**: 3712-3718 [PMID: 8393379]  42 **Chen A**, Davis BH, Bissonnette M, Scaglione-Sewell B, Brasitus TA. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D(3) stimulates activator protein-1-dependent Caco-2 cell differentiation. *J Biol Chem* 1999; **274**: 35505-35513 [PMID: 10585423 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.274.50.35505]  43 **Fernandez-Garcia NI**, Palmer HG, Garcia M, Gonzalez-Martin A, del Rio M, Barettino D, Volpert O, Muñoz A, Jimenez B. 1alpha,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 regulates the expression of Id1 and Id2 genes and the angiogenic phenotype of human colon carcinoma cells. *Oncogene* 2005; **24**: 6533-6544 [PMID: 16007183 DOI: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208801]  44 **Iseki K**, Tatsuta M, Uehara H, Iishi H, Yano H, Sakai N, Ishiguro S. Inhibition of angiogenesis as a mechanism for inhibition by 1alpha-hydroxyvitamin D3 and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 of colon carcinogenesis induced by azoxymethane in Wistar rats. *Int J Cancer* 1999; **81**: 730-733 [PMID: 10328225 DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0215(19990531)81: 5<730: : AID-IJC11>3.0.CO; 2-Q] |

**P-Reviewer:** Bordonaro M, Zhou X **S-Editor:** Yu J **L-Editor:** **E-Editor:**

 **Figure 1 Flow chart of study selection process**



**Figure 2 Study-specific and combined odd ratios and 95%CIs of colorectal adenoma comparing the highest category with the lowest category of circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels.** First: First adenoma as an endpoint; Recur: Recurrent adenoma as an endpoint.

**Table 1 Characteristics of patients according to adenoma case,*****n (%)***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Adenoma**  **(*n* = 112)** | **No adenoma**  **(*n* = 112)** | ***P* value** |
| Age(years), mean ± SD | 60.3 ± 5.3 | 59.5 ± 5.4 | 0.37 |
| Men | 57 (50.9) | 57 (50.9) | Matched |
| 25(OH)D (ng/ml), mean ± SD | 15.7 ±5.8 | 16.8 ± 5.6 | 0.16 |
| 25(OH)D < 20 ng/mL | 91 (81.3) | 82 (73.2) | 0.12 |
| Education |  |  | 0.54 |
| Elementary school graduate | 16 (14.3) | 10 (9.1) |  |
| Middle school graduate | 25 (22.3) | 31 (28.2) |  |
| High school graduate | 50 (44.6) | 46 (41.8) |  |
| College graduate or above | 21 (18.8) | 23 (20.9) |  |
| Waist circumference (cm), mean ± SD | 87.0 ± 7.8 | 84.6 ± 6.7 | 0.02 |
| BMI (kg/m2) |  |  | 0.10 |
| 18.5 < BMI < 23 | 33 (29.5) | 36 (32.1) |  |
| 23 ≤ BMI < 25 | 32 (28.6) | 45 (40.2) |  |
| 25 ≤ BMI | 47 (41.9) | 31 (27.7) |  |
| Family history |  |  | 0.53 |
| Yes | 6 (5.4) | 4 (3.6) |  |
| No | 106 (94.6) | 108 (96.4) |  |
| Smoke status |  |  | 0.29 |
| Non smoker | 56 (50.9) | 64 (57.7) |  |
| Past smoker | 37 (33.6) | 31 (27.9) |  |
| Current smoker | 17 (15.5) | 16 (14.4) |  |
| Drink status |  |  | 0.002 |
| Never drinker | 41 (36.6) | 57 (50.9) |  |
| Past drinker | 6 (5.4) | 6 (5.4) |  |
| Current drinker | 65 (58.0) | 49 (43.8) |  |
| Physical activity (MET-hr/wk), mean ± SD | 29.0 ± 32.0 | 24.3 ± 25.2 | 0.22 |
| Supplement use |  |  | 0.08 |
| Yes | 42 (37.5) | 56 (50.0) |  |
| No | 70 (62.5) | 56 (50.0) |  |
| Red meat intake |  |  | 0.34 |
| ≤ 1/mo | 11 (10.1) | 10 (8.9) |  |
| 2~4/mo | 77 (70.6) | 88 (78.6) |  |
| ≥ 2/wk | 21 (19.3) | 14 (12.5) |  |
| Energy intake (kcal/d), mean ± SD | 1688.8 ± 581.9 | 1692.4 ± 488.4 | 0.96 |

*P* values were calculated using the Mantel-Haenszel test for categorical variables and the paired *t* test on loge-transformed variables. BMI: Body mass index.

**Table 2 Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for adenoma according to quartile of 25-hydroxyvitamin D level**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Quartile of serum 25(OH) D levels** | | | |  |
|  | Quartile1 | Quartile2 | Quartile3 | Quartile4 | P for trend |
| All patients |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (ng/mL) | 10.33 | 14.25 | 17.91 | 24.21 |  |
| Case/control | 37/28 | 26/28 | 28/28 | 21/28 |  |
| Model 1 | 1.00 | 0.71 (0.35-1.45) | 0.73 (0.33-1.61) | 0.52 (0.23-1.20) | 0.15 |
| Model 2 | 1.00 | 0.72 (0.31-1.66) | 0.73 (0.29-1.82) | 0.49 (0.19-1.27) | 0.16 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (ng/mL) | 11.08 | 14.6 | 17.65 | 22.66 |  |
| Case/control | 15/14 | 13/14 | 17/15 | 12/14 |  |
| Model 1 | 1.00 | 0.95 (0.35-2.57) | 1.10 (0.29-4.18) | 0.80 (0.25-2.60) | 0.74 |
| Model 2 | 1.00 | 1.31 (0.36-4.82) | 1.26 (0.23-6.87) | 1.80 (0.40-8.12) | 0.46 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median (ng/mL) | 9.48 | 13.53 | 18.40 | 25.42 |  |
| Case/control | 16/14 | 22/14 | 8/14 | 9/13 |  |
| Model 1 | 1.00 | 1.31 (0.53-3.24) | 0.51 (0.18-1.51) | 0.65 (0.20-2.14) | 0.16 |
| Model 2 | 1.00 | 0.89 (0.26-3.10) | 0.54 (0.13-2.16) | 0.22 (0.04-1.15) | 0.05 |

Model 1 was adjusted for age (continuous). Model 2 was adjusted for the following covariates; men: age (years, continuous), body mass index (BMI) (kg/m2, 18.5 < -23, 23- < 25, > 25), alcohol drinking (nondrinker, past drinker, ≤ 1/mo, 2-4/mo, 2-3/wk, ≥ 4/wk), smoking status (never, 0- < 20, 20- < 30, > 30 pack-years of smoking), and folate intake (mcg/d, continuous); women: age (years, continuous), BMI (kg/m2, 18.5 < -23, 23- < 25, > 25), alcohol drinking (nondrinker, ever drinker), smoking status (never, ever smoker), folate intake (mcg/d, continuous), and menopausal status and hormone replacement use (premenopausal, postmenopausal without hormone replacement therapy, postmenopausal with hormone replacement therapy, postmenopausal with nonresponse about hormone replacement therapy use).

**Table 3 Included studies of circulating levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and colorectal adenoma**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **First author, year** | **Country (Sex)** | **Study design** | **study dates** | **Endpoint** | **Type of endoscopy** | **25(OH)D,  mean or median** | **No. of cases / controls** | **25(OH)D levels in the highest *vs* lowest categories** | **OR (95%Cl)** |
| Platz, 2000 | United States  (W) | Prospective study | 1989-1996 | First  adenoma | Sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy | 26.4 in cases and  26.8 ng/mL in controls, mean | 326 / 326 | 38.0 ng/mL *vs* 16.3 ng/mL, median | 1.00 (ref),  0.64,  0.58,  1.04 (0.66-1.66) |
| Levine, 2001 | United States  (M, W) | Case-control study | 1991-1993 | First  adenoma | Sigmoidoscopy | 25.6 in cases and  26.9 ng/mL in controls, mean | 473 / 506 | 34.3-115 ng/mL *vs* 1-15.2 ng/mL, range | 1.00 (ref),  0.99,  0.86,  0.74 (0.51-1.09) |
| Peters, 2001 | United States  (M, W) | Case-control study | 1994-196 | First (61%) or recurrent adenoma | Colonoscopy (86.2%) or sigmoidoscopy | 24.7 in cases and  26.5 ng/mL in controls, median | 236 / 218 | 33.7-67.2 ng/mL *vs* 5.3-19.4 ng/mL, range | 1.0(ref),  0.40,  0.67,  0.47,  0.43(0.23-0.81) |
| Grau, 2003 | United States  (M, W) | Prospective study | 1992-1996 | Recurrent adenoma | Colonoscopy | 29.1 ng/mL, median | 376 / 422 | - | 0.99 (0.91-1.07) OR for serum vitD levels per 12 (1SD) units increase |
| Peters, 2004 | United States  (M, W) | Prospective study | 1993-1999 | First advanced  adenoma | Sigmoidoscopy | 27.0 in cases and  28.3 ng/mL in controls, mean | 394 / 397 | - | 0.87 (0.75-1.01) OR for serum vitD levels per 10 units increase. |
| Miller, 2007 | United States  (M, W) | Cross-sectional study | 1998-2000 | First  adenoma | Colonoscopy | 27.5 in cases and  31.4 ng/mL in controls, mean | 111 / 238 | > 33.8 ng/mL *vs* < 20.8 ng/mL, range | 1.00 (ref),  0.74,  0.51 (0.27-0.98) |
| Takahashi, 2010 | Japan  (M) | Case-control study | 1997-2004 | First  adenoma | Colonoscopy | 26.2 in cases and  26.1 ng/mL in controls, mean | 656 / 648 | ≥ 30 ng/mL *vs* < 22 ng/ml, range | 1.00 (ref),  1.21,  1.21,  1.25 (0.85-1.84) |
| Fedirko, 2010 | United States (M, W) | Pooled case-control study | 1991-2002 | First  adenoma | Colonoscopy | 24.5 in cases and  25.5 ng/mL in controls, mean | 616 / 770 |  | 1.00 (ref),  0.77,  0.85,  0.59 (0.41-0.84) |
| Adams, 2011 | United States  (M, W) | Cross-sectional study | 1998- 2003 | Fitst or recurrent adenoma | Colonoscopy | 23.1 in cases and  24.9 ng/mL in controls, mean | 149/ 225 | > 28.9 ng/mL *vs* ≤ 20.5 ng/ml, range | 1.00 (ref),  0.97,  0.71 (0.38-1.30) |
| Ashktorab, 2011 | United States  (M, W) | Case-control study |  |  | Colonoscopy | 41.2 in cases and  41.4 ng/mL in controls, mean | 93/187 | > 57.7 ng/mL *vs* < 29.5 ng/mL, range | 1.00 (ref),  1.4,  1.9,  0.6 (0.3-1.4) |
| Hong, 2012 | Korea  (M, W) | Case-control study | 2009-2010 | First  adenoma | Colonoscopy | 20.0 in cases and  25.0 ng/mL in controls, mean | 143/ 143 | ≥ 23.9 ng/mL *vs* < 14.3 ng/mL, range | 1.00 (ref),  0.87,  0.40,  0.38 (0.18-0.80) |
| Yamaji, 2012 | Japan (M,W) | Cross-sectional study | 2004-2005 | First  adenoma | Colonoscopy |  | 737/ 703 | 32 ng/mL *vs* 16 ng/mL, median | 1.00 (ref),  0.86,  0.91,  1.03,  0.64 (0.45-0.92) |
| Jacobs, 2013 | United States  (M, W) | Prospective study | 1990-1999 | Recurrent adenoma | Colonoscopy |  | 942/ 1132 | > 30 ng/mL *vs* < 20 ng/mL, range | 1.00 (ref),  0.91,  0.95 (0.73-1.24) |
| Aigner, 2014 | Austria (W) | Cross-sectional study | 2010-2013 | First adenoma | Colonoscopy | 22.8 ng/mL in women | 90/629 |  | 0.976 (0.954-0.999) for 1 ng/mL increment |
| Choi,  2015 (our study) | Korea  (M, W) | Case-control study | 2011-2012 | First or recurrent(5%) adenoma | Colonoscopy | 15.7 ng/mL in cases and 16.6 in controls | 112/112 | 23.4 ng/mL *vs* 10.0 ng/mL, mean | 1.00 (ref),  0.72,  0.73,  0.49 (0.19-1.27) |

**Table 4 Combined relative risk (RR)s and 95% confidence intervals of colorectal adenoma for the associations by sex, calcium intake, study region, and adenoma site**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Studies, *n*** | **Ref.** | **Combined RR (95%CI) comparing the highest *vs* the lowest categories** | ***P* for difference** |
| Sex |  |  |  | 0.30 |
| Men | 6 | [[13-15](#_ENREF_13),[20](#_ENREF_20),[27](#_ENREF_27)] and our study | 0.78 (0.51-1.06) |  |
| Women | 7 | [[14](#_ENREF_14),[15](#_ENREF_15),[20](#_ENREF_20),[23](#_ENREF_23),[27](#_ENREF_27),[37](#_ENREF_37)] and our study | 0.58 (0.33-0.83) |  |
| Calcium intake |  |  |  | 0.48 |
| Low | 7 | [[14](#_ENREF_14),[19](#_ENREF_19),[24-26](#_ENREF_24),[28](#_ENREF_28)] and our study | 0.73 (0.46-1.01) |  |
| High | 7 | [[14](#_ENREF_14),[19](#_ENREF_19),[24-26](#_ENREF_24),[28](#_ENREF_28)] and our study | 0.61 (0.40-0.82) |  |
| Study region |  |  |  | 0.88 |
| United States | 10 | [[19](#_ENREF_19),[21](#_ENREF_21),[23-30](#_ENREF_23)] | 0.70 (0.52-0.88) |  |
| ASIA | 4 | [[13-15](#_ENREF_13)] and our study | 0.66 (0.35-0.98) |  |
| Site |  |  |  | 0.50 |
| Distal adenoma | 10 | [[13-15](#_ENREF_13),[21](#_ENREF_21),[23-25](#_ENREF_23),[27](#_ENREF_27),[28](#_ENREF_28)] and our study | 0.67 (0.53-0.81) |  |
| Proximal adenoma | 8 | [[13-15](#_ENREF_13),[21](#_ENREF_21),[25](#_ENREF_25),[28](#_ENREF_28),[37](#_ENREF_37)] and our study | 0.61 (0.44-0.79) |  |