

ANSWERING REVIEWERS



May 22, 2015

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript (editorial) in Word format (file name: 18075-revised manuscript.doc).

Title: Current Concepts in Total Knee Arthroplasty: Patient Specific Instrumentation

Author: Patrick Sadoghi

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 18075

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

Reviewer ID 02697464:

Dear Authors, This is a well-written paper which includes the most essential issues of this topic. Also, the literature is recent. I consider publication.

→ Thank you very much for this comment. I appreciate your evaluation.

Reviewer ID 18075:

I read this manuscript entitled "Current Concepts in Total Knee Arthroplasty: Patient Specific Instrumentation" that deals an important issue of medical clinical practice.

→ Thank you very much.

The work is well written but there are some grammar mistakes and some sentences are not so clear.

→ We have critically revised the grammar and sentences of the whole work.

Moreover, there are some major and minor concerns that need to be addressed before recommending publication. The author must add the "Material and methods section" both in the abstract (only the kind of article made) and in the text.

→ I am afraid that the reviewer did not know, that the presented manuscript is an editorial. According to the "format for editorial" document about "changing the face of irritable bowel syndrome" there is no such thing as a material and methods section in the abstract or the body of the text. On my perspective, a material and methods section does not make sense as an editorial is an expert opinion on a topic, such as patient specific instrumentation for total knee arthroplasty, in my field.

This section is very important in fact without it, it's not possible to establish what kind of article you performed (brief communications, editorial, mini review, narrative review, systematic review and so on) to better readers understand.

→ The type of article is illustrated by the journals format, which points out, that the reader reads an editorial. I have formatted my work according to the journal's guidelines.

Please complete the text adding information about the specific terms used in your search, how many papers did you find, what paper you selected for your review and why you discharged the others (maybe one table could be useful). Please add this missed information in all text.

→ Again, this is not mandatory for an editorial but for a systematic review, which was not performed! I present my expert opinion on a topic, not a systematic review. I present an invited editorial by the editorial board, as an editorial board member myself. Again, the presented editorial on the "format for editorial" document about "changing the face of irritable bowel syndrome" does not include such a section! Therefore, my work is within the guidelines of the journal!

Abstract Please reformulate the abstract, adding the aim of the study and the clinical relevance of your work.

→ Again, the reviewer obviously did not know that I present an invited editorial. Again, the presented editorial on the "format for editorial" document about "changing the face of irritable bowel syndrome" does not include such a study aim or clinical relevance section! Therefore, my work is within the guidelines of the journal!

Introduction At the end of introduction, please mention the aim or the hypothesis of the study.

→ Again, the reviewer obviously did not know that I present an invited editorial. The presented editorial on the "format for editorial" document about "changing the face of irritable bowel syndrome" does not include a hypothesis. It does not make sense to present a hypothesis for an editorial. Therefore, my work is within the guidelines of the journal.

Conclusion Please strengthen and improve the conclusion, adding the clinical relevance of your work and some important suggestions for the scientific community.

→ I have added my clinical perspective in the revised conclusion section as suggested.

References The authors used few citations in this study; please refresh this section, adding some recent and relevant references.

→ I have added the most recent and relevant work in this manuscript, which further underlines and strengthens my conclusion on the topic.

Reviewer ID 18075:

General remark: Lines are not numbered

→ I have numbered the lines as suggested.

The title lacks of meaning. Authors must change the title to be more concordant with the manuscript. Example: PSI: new concept in knee arthroplasty or "Is PSI improving Knee arthroplasty condition"..... In this title, they mentioned TKA. But, PSI can be used for uni-KA as well as. I advise to modify the title.

→ This work presents an invited editorial. The editorial board agreed on this title before I started to write this invited editorial. It does not make sense to change the title. The other reviewers also agreed on the title. I suggest to keep it as it is.

In the introduction, authors must identify clearly the aim of their paper.

→ Again, this reviewer also obviously did not know that I present an invited editorial. The presented editorial on the “format for editorial” document about “changing the face of irritable bowel syndrome” does not include such a study aim or clinical! Therefore, my work is within the guidelines of the journal!

Authors don't talk about difference in clinical outcomes between PSI based and conventionnel TKA. Clinical outcomes is a principal criterium to judge the efficacy of a new surgical technique.

→ This was not the main scope of my editorial but is in parts presented in the chapter “*Outcome, Operative Time, and Cost Effectiveness*”.

Manuscript is too short and it is adequate to be part of a discussion in a comparative study. I advice authors to postpone the submission of their paper and to include it in a more wide study with a clinical series compare the two techniques.

→ The reviewer did not know that I present an invited editorial. The presented editorial is in line with the “format for editorial” document about “changing the face of irritable bowel syndrome”. An invited editorial is my personal expert opinion on the topic, which was asked for by other editorial board members. It does not make sense to present a wider study, because this work is no study at all, but, an invited editorial.

Thank you again for publishing my manuscript in the *World Journal of Orthopedics*.

Sincerely yours,



Patrick Sadoghi, MD, PhD
Dept. of Orthopaedic Surgery
Medical University of Graz
8036 Graz, Austria
Auenbruggerplatz 5
Fax: +43-316-385-14806
E-mail: Patrick.sadoghi@medunigraz.at