

Answer reviewer 50424:

- 1) We added a video showing the mechanical details and deployment outside the patient.
- 2) As briefly stated in our discussion, OTSC clip retention occurred in approximately 2/3 of patients, without reported consequences. Moreover this kind of clip is MRI compatible. We added a specific sentence in case number 1 description.
- 3) in case number 4, as mentioned in case description, the fistulas recurrence was due to the persistence of bulking lymphoma. We added some sentences to better explain.
- 4) the flexibility of the scope is not reduced at all.

Answer reviewer 58687:

- 1) We will upload again the Video 2.
- 2) The aim of our manuscript is to report our experience (first reported in literature) with the Padlock Clip; we briefly discussed about the difference with the better known OVESCO but our experience is too small to conclude about the cost/efficacy of these devices.
- 3) In case number 3, the Dieulafoy lesion was in the inferior duodenal wall. The pancreatitis was oedematous but the comorbidities and the pharmacological therapy does not consent a sure etiological correlation between the Padlock deployment and the pancreatitis. The CT scan, did not show pancreatic penetration of the Clip (we added in the text).

Answer reviewer 2529456:

- 1) We added a conclusion paragraph.