

Editor's & Reviewer's Comments

July 23rd, 2015

Thank you very much for your response regarding our manuscript entitled "The diagnosis & therapies for gastric non-invasive neoplasia". We carefully examined comments and responded. We would be very happy if the revised manuscript would be found acceptable for publication in Endoscopy.

All authors take responsibility for the contents of the manuscript, and satisfy the requirements for authorship.

The corresponding author can be reached at: Motohiko Kato M.D., Ph.D.
Department of Gastroenterology,
National Hospital Organization Tokyo Medical Center
〒152-8902
2-5-1 Higashigaoka, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, Japan
TEL : +81-3-3411-0111
e-mail: moto28hiko@icloud.com

Sincerely yours,

Motohiko Kato

Editor's & Reviewer's Comments

Referee 1's Comment:

- Please consider to mention more in detail that Weastern pathologists changed their diagnosis to carcinoma when they had the resection specimen.

Response Thank you for suggestion. We mentioned this in the paragraph describing difficulties of pathological diagnosis of specimen obtained endoscopic forceps biopsy.

Page 4

INSERTED Thus, pathologist might change the diagnosis from adenoma to carcinoma when they determine larger specimen.

Referee 1's Comment:

- Please consider to add a table to the manscript with histological criteria on LGD, HGD, Ca: East vs West to let the reader that is not familiar with the general approaches understand more in detail.

Response According to the suggestion, we revised table 1 so that it includes the information concerning the difference of pathological diagnosis of gastric intraepithelial neoplasia between Japanese & western pathologist.