

**Manuscript NO: 19705**

June 25, 2015

Bryce D. Beutler  
1060 Wiegand Road  
Encinitas, CA 92024  
760-271-8901 (tel)  
509-352-9699 (fax)  
brycebeutler@hotmail.com

**RE: Manuscript NO: 19705**

**Beutler BD, Cohen PR: Sarcoma-associated sarcoid reaction: report of cutaneous sarcoid reaction in a patient with liposarcoma**

Dear Fang-Fang Ji and *World Journal of Clinical Cases* Editorial Office:

Thank you for your letter from June 24, 2015. My co-author and I sincerely appreciate the thoughtful recommendations. We have incorporated all revisions into the manuscript, as described below. Please note that revisions are highlighted in **boldface**.

Editor

When you send back, please provide the format of doc, not the pdf. Thank you!

*As per your request, the revised manuscript has been re-submitted as a DOC file.*

Institutional review board statement

Any article describing a study (basic research, clinical research, and case report) involving human and/or animal subjects is required to have the institutional review board (IRB) name, whether institutional (part of the author(s)' academic/medical institution, such as the Oak Grove Children's Hospital Institutional Review Board) or commercial/independent/private (contracted for-profit organizations, such as the ClinicCare Coalition for Human Rights Institutional Review Board), stated explicitly in the title page. In addition, a copy of any ethic approval document(s)/letter(s) or waiver should be provided to the BPG in PDF format.

*We have included an Institutional Review Board statement noting that the case report was exempt from the Institutional Review Board standards.*

Informed consent statement

Any research article describing a study (clinical research and case report) involving humans should contain a statement in the title page clearly stating that all involved persons (subjects or legally authorized representative) gave their informed consent (written or verbal, as appropriate) prior to study inclusion. In general, the BPG requires that any and all details that might disclose the identity of the subjects under study should be omitted or anonymized. In the rare situation that a study participant's identifiable information is crucial to the case presentation, the statement

of informed consent is absolutely necessary, unless the participant is deceased. In addition, a copy of any approval document(s)/letter(s) or waiver should be provided to the BPG in PDF format.

*We have included an informed consent statement on the title page. In addition, we have attached a signed patient photograph consent as a PDF document.*

#### Conflict-of-interest statement

A conflict-of-interest statement is required for all article and study types. In the interests of transparency and helping reviewers to assess any potential bias in a study's design, interpretation of its results or presentation of its scientific/medical content, the BPG requires all authors of each paper to declare any conflicting interests (including but not limited to commercial, personal, political, intellectual, or religious interests) in the title page that are related to the work submitted for consideration of publication. In addition, reviewers are required to indicate any potential conflicting interests they might have related to any particular paper they are asked to review, and a copy of signed statement should be provided to the BPG in PDF format.

*We have included a conflict-of-interest statement confirming that all of the authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.*

An informative, unstructured abstract of no less than 150 words should accompany each manuscript.

*Thank you for the recommendation. We have modified the abstract as per the instructions.*

#### CORE TIP

Please write a summary of no more than 100 words to present the core content of your manuscript, highlighting the most innovative and important findings and/or arguments. The purpose of the Core Tip is to attract readers' interest for reading the full version of your article and increasing the impact of your article in your field of study.

*We have included a brief (100 words) CORE TIP following the abstract.*

#### Audio Core Tip

Please offer the audio core tip, the requirement are as follows:

In order to attract readers to read your full-text article, we request that the first author make an audio file describing your final core tip. This audio file will be published online, along with your article. Please submit audio files according to the following specifications:

Acceptable file formats: .mp3, .wav, or .aiff

Maximum file size: 10 MB

To achieve the best quality, when saving audio files as an mp3, use a setting of 256 kbps or higher for stereo or 128 kbps or higher for mono. Sampling rate should be either 44.1 kHz or 48 kHz. Bit rate should be either 16 or 24 bit. To avoid audible clipping noise, please make sure that audio levels do not exceed 0 dBFS.

*We have included an MP3 file containing the audio core tip.*

Please put the reference numbers in square brackets in **superscript** before the end. Please check across the text.

*All reference numbers are now in square brackets in superscript.*

Please write the comments.

**Writing requirements for each subsection**

**(1) Case characteristics**

Please summarize main symptoms in one sentence.

**(2) Clinical diagnosis**

Please summarize main clinical findings in one sentence.

**(3) Differential diagnosis**

Please summarize thoughts and methods for differential diagnosis in one sentence.

**(4) Laboratory diagnosis**

Please summarize laboratory testing methods and major findings in one sentence.

**(5) Imaging diagnosis**

Please summarize imaging methods and major findings in one sentence.

**(6) Pathological diagnosis**

Please summarize pathological methods and major findings in one sentence.

**(7) Treatment**

Please summarize treatments and drugs used in one sentence.

**(8) Related reports**

Please provide other contents related to the case report to help readers better understand the present case.

**(9) Term explanation**

Please explain uncommon terms present in the case report.

**(10) Experiences and lessons**

Please summarize experiences and lessons learnt from the case in one sentence.

*Thank you for the excellent recommendation. All ten comments are now included in the **COMMENTS** section at the end of the paper.*

Reviewer 1

Authors describe a patient with retroperitoneal liposarcoma that developed cutaneous sarcomatoid reaction. The authors, however, do not tell us the size and (sub)type of the liposarcoma...

*We appreciate the excellent recommendation. The size of the tumor is now cited in the manuscript. However, we did not have data pertaining to the subtype of the liposarcoma.*

...was surgery performed, did the tumor metastasize, and if - where, type of therapy (if any)...

*The tumor was inoperable and thus treatment with pazopanib hydrochloride was initiated. Metastases to lymph nodes was also observed. This information is now included in the manuscript.*

Are the authors certain that this reaction was connected to this tumor?

*Thank you for your inquiry. Sarcoid reaction has been associated with various malignancies (see: Brincker H: Sarcoid reactions in malignant tumors. Cancer Treat Rev. 1986;13(3):147-156. PMID: 3536088.). The temporal relationship between the diagnosis of liposarcoma and the development of sarcoid reaction leads us to believe that there was an etiological link between the two conditions in this patient.*

Reviewer 2

Comments: 1) Can the authors provide more information on the liposarcoma (subtype, location, recurrence/metastasis). How was the patient treated? This is useful information in this context.

*Thank you for the insightful recommendation. We have now included additional information on the liposarcoma, including size, metastasis, and treatment.*

2) Have the authors followed the guidelines and jurisdiction regarding studies involving cancer patients and sought approval to carry out and publish this study from a institutional medical ethical committee? Please specify in manuscript and provide proof of approval.

*We have now included an Institutional Review Board statement.*

I was surprised to see the name of the patient in full on the form included as supplemental data.

*We apologize for this oversight. We will ensure that supplemental data is not presented to reviewers when submitting the revised manuscript.*

We sincerely hope that our revised manuscript is now in suitable form for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. If there are any further recommendations, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Bryce D. Beutler

**Manuscript NO: 19705**

September 20, 2015

Bryce D. Beutler  
1060 Wiegand Road  
Encinitas, CA 92024  
760-271-8901 (tel)  
509-352-9699 (fax)  
brycebeutler@hotmail.com

**RE: Manuscript NO: 19705**

**Beutler BD, Cohen PR: Sarcoma-associated sarcoid reaction: report of cutaneous sarcoid reaction in a patient with liposarcoma**

Dear Fang-Fang Ji and *World Journal of Clinical Cases* Editorial Office:

Thank you for your letter from September 18, 2015. My co-author and I sincerely appreciate the thoughtful recommendations provided by the Chief Editor. We have incorporated all revisions into the manuscript and feel that both the Abstract and Discussion have been significantly improved.

We hope that our revised manuscript is now in suitable form for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. If there are any further recommendations, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Bryce D. Beutler