

Ancona, 07.08.2015

**Point-by-point reply to reviewer's comments**

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (ESPS Manuscript NO: 19871).

**Title:** Medical treatment for Gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

**Author:** Rossana Berardi, Francesca Morgese, Mariangela Torniai, Agnese Savini, Stefano Partelli, Silvia Rinaldi, Miriam Caramanti, Consuelo Ferrini, Massimo Falconi, Stefano Cascinu

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Reviewer's comment:**

The manuscript by Berardi and co-workers is a well written, up-to-date and in-depth review regarding new biological perspectives on medical treatment for gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

**Authors' reply**

We are grateful for the comment.

**Reviewer's comment:**

There are some minor comments/suggestions:

The authors should include a short paragraph regarding the classification (especially the WHO 2010 classification) and epidemiology of gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

**Authors' reply:**

We thank and do agree with the comment. Therefore we have included short paragraphs regarding the WHO 2010 classification and epidemiology of gastro-entero-pancreatic tumors.

Please find below the paragraphs we added in the manuscript.

*"Although still considered a rare disease, SEER data showed an increasing incidence in the last three decades up to 3.65/100000 per years<sup>[3]</sup>. This may be due to a remarkable improvement of diagnostic technique as well as a real change in population demography<sup>[4]</sup>. GEP-NENs are more*

frequently detected in adult population with a median age at diagnosis of 65 years<sup>[5]</sup>, and in about 50% of cases nodal (25%) or distant (25%) metastases are present at the time of diagnosis<sup>[3,6]</sup>. On the basis of their morphologic features and proliferation index, NENs are currently stratified in two groups, according to WHO 2010 classification criteria<sup>[7]</sup>: neuroendocrine carcinomas (NECs), G3 tumors with ki67 proliferation index > 20%, and neuroendocrine tumors (NETs), including G1 (ki67 < 3%) and G2 (ki67 between 3 and 20%) neoplasms. Neuroendocrine carcinomas represent a separate cluster in the family of NENs, with specific biological features and a more aggressive behavior, so chemotherapy is currently considered the standard of care in this specific set<sup>[8,9]</sup>. Conversely well and moderately-differentiated NETs do not represent a single entity and their pathogenesis has become clearer in recent years."

**Reviewer's comment:**

**The authors should include a short paragraph regarding standard chemotherapeutic options for gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.**

**Authors' reply:**

**We thank the reviewer for the comment. We have included a short paragraph regarding standard chemotherapeutic options for these neoplasms.**

**Please find below the paragraphs we added in the manuscript.**

**"CHEMOTHERAPY**

*Although most of the studies were conducted on a heterogeneous population and the relationship between response rate and proliferation index value is often not clearly defined, chemotherapy should be considered in GEP-NETs treatment, in particular for symptomatic patients, progressive disease, moderated differentiation and more aggressive features. Chemotherapy should also be evaluated when the aim is to obtain a response in case of bulky lesions. However the best sequence for chemotherapy still remains uncertain<sup>[14-18]</sup>.*

*The most common used chemotherapy schemes include alkylating agents (streptozotocin (STZ), dacarbazine, temozolomide), antimetabolites (5-fluorouracil (5FU), capecitabine) and platinum derivatives.*

*Temozolomide (TMZ) combined with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)<sup>[19]</sup> or capecitabine<sup>[20]</sup> can represent the regimen of choice in G1 and G2 advanced P-NETs. Retrospective data showed a response rate of 70% and PFS of 18 months of temozolomide and capecitabine combination<sup>[20]</sup>.*

*Furthermore STZ in association with 5FU is frequently evaluated as a first-line treatment for locally advanced or metastatic P-NETs with response rates ranging from 6 to 40%, with the benefit in progression-free survival (PFS) of 5 – 20 months and with a median survival of 16 – 24 months<sup>[19]</sup>.*

*Then, oxaliplatin in combination with capecitabine could also be considered for different setting of G1-G2 GEP-NETs<sup>[15]</sup>. None of small retrospective studies or case reports conducted with other chemotherapy regimens have demonstrated sufficient efficacy in GEP-NETs."*

**Reviewer's comment:**

**The authors should include the target for the investigated drugs in tables 1&2.**

**Authors' reply:**

**We thank the reviewer for the comment and therefore we have modified tables 1 and 2 including the target for every investigated drug.**

**Reviewer's comment:**

There are some typographical and grammatical errors that should be corrected.

**Authors' reply:**

We thank the reviewer for the comment and therefore we have corrected the typographical and grammatical errors in the text.

Sincerely yours,

Rossana Berardi, MD

Medical Oncology Unit, Università Politecnica delle Marche –Azienda Ospedaliero-  
Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti Umberto I – GM Lancisi – G Salesi di Ancona

Via Conca 71 - 60126 Ancona - Italy

Telephone: +39 071 5965715

Fax: +39 071 5965053

E-mail: [r.berardi@univpm.it](mailto:r.berardi@univpm.it)