

Ancona, 07.08.2015

**Point-by-point reply to reviewer's comments**

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (ESPS Manuscript NO: 19871).

**Title:** Medical treatment for Gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

**Author:** Rossana Berardi, Francesca Morgese, Mariangela Torniai, Agnese Savini, Stefano Partelli, Silvia Rinaldi, Miriam Caramanti, Consuelo Ferrini, Massimo Falconi, Stefano Cascinu

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Reviewer's comment:**

The manuscript by Berardi and co-workers is a well written, up-to-date and in-depth review regarding new biological perspectives on medical treatment for gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

**Authors' reply**

We are grateful for the comment.

**Reviewer's comment:**

There are some minor comments/suggestions:

The authors should include a short paragraph regarding the classification (especially the WHO 2010 classification) and epidemiology of gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

**Authors' reply:**

We thank and do agree with the comment. Therefore we have included short paragraphs regarding the WHO 2010 classification and epidemiology of gastro-entero-pancreatic tumors.

Please find below the paragraphs we added in the manuscript.

*"Although still considered a rare disease, SEER data showed an increasing incidence in the last three decades up to 3.65/100000 per years<sup>[3]</sup>. This may be due to a remarkable improvement of diagnostic technique as well as a real change in population demography<sup>[4]</sup>. GEP-NENs are more*

frequently detected in adult population with a median age at diagnosis of 65 years<sup>[5]</sup>, and in about 50% of cases nodal (25%) or distant (25%) metastases are present at the time of diagnosis<sup>[3,6]</sup>. On the basis of their morphologic features and proliferation index, NENs are currently stratified in two groups, according to WHO 2010 classification criteria<sup>[7]</sup>: neuroendocrine carcinomas (NECs), G3 tumors with ki67 proliferation index > 20%, and neuroendocrine tumors (NETs), including G1 (ki67 < 3%) and G2 (ki67 between 3 and 20%) neoplasms. Neuroendocrine carcinomas represent a separate cluster in the family of NENs, with specific biological features and a more aggressive behavior, so chemotherapy is currently considered the standard of care in this specific set<sup>[8,9]</sup>. Conversely well and moderately-differentiated NETs do not represent a single entity and their pathogenesis has become clearer in recent years."

**Reviewer's comment:**

**The authors should include a short paragraph regarding standard chemotherapeutic options for gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.**

**Authors' reply:**

**We thank the reviewer for the comment. We have included a short paragraph regarding standard chemotherapeutic options for these neoplasms.**

**Please find below the paragraphs we added in the manuscript.**

**"CHEMOTHERAPY**

*Although most of the studies were conducted on a heterogeneous population and the relationship between response rate and proliferation index value is often not clearly defined, chemotherapy should be considered in GEP-NETs treatment, in particular for symptomatic patients, progressive disease, moderated differentiation and more aggressive features. Chemotherapy should also be evaluated when the aim is to obtain a response in case of bulky lesions. However the best sequence for chemotherapy still remains uncertain<sup>[14-18]</sup>.*

*The most common used chemotherapy schemes include alkylating agents (streptozotocin (STZ), dacarbazine, temozolomide), antimetabolites (5-fluorouracil (5FU), capecitabine) and platinum derivatives.*

*Temozolomide (TMZ) combined with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)<sup>[19]</sup> or capecitabine<sup>[20]</sup> can represent the regimen of choice in G1 and G2 advanced P-NETs. Retrospective data showed a response rate of 70% and PFS of 18 months of temozolomide and capecitabine combination<sup>[20]</sup>.*

*Furthermore STZ in association with 5FU is frequently evaluated as a first-line treatment for locally advanced or metastatic P-NETs with response rates ranging from 6 to 40%, with the benefit in progression-free survival (PFS) of 5 - 20 months and with a median survival of 16 - 24 months<sup>[19]</sup>.*

*Then, oxaliplatin in combination with capecitabine could also be considered for different setting of G1-G2 GEP-NETs<sup>[15]</sup>. None of small retrospective studies or case reports conducted with other chemotherapy regimens have demonstrated sufficient efficacy in GEP-NETs."*

**Reviewer's comment:**

**The authors should include the target for the investigated drugs in tables 1&2.**

**Authors' reply:**

**We thank the reviewer for the comment and therefore we have modified tables 1 and 2 including the target for every investigated drug.**

**Reviewer's comment:**

**There are some typographical and grammatical errors that should be corrected.**

**Authors' reply:**

**We thank the reviewer for the comment and therefore we have corrected the typographical and grammatical errors in the text.**

Sincerely yours,

Rossana Berardi, MD

Medical Oncology Unit, Università Politecnica delle Marche –Azienda Ospedaliero-  
Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti Umberto I – GM Lancisi – G Salesi di Ancona

Via Conca 71 - 60126 Ancona - Italy

Telephone: +39 071 5965715

Fax: +39 071 5965053

E-mail: [r.berardi@univpm.it](mailto:r.berardi@univpm.it)