

ANSWERING REVIEWERS



Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in word format (file name: 20939-review.doc).

Title: Role of genetic detection in peritoneal washes with gastric carcinoma: The past, present and future

Author: Hyun-Dong Chae

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 20939

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

Reviewer-1

Authors should explain clearly the exact dividing line of time about "The past, the present and the future".

A: We have mentioned about this at conclusion part.

Abstract: 1. The Abstract section is more like the Introduction. The main contents of this part should be Background, Method, Results and Conclusions, instead of an introduction of this study.

A: We have revised abstract.

"Genetic detection" should be added as a key word.

A: We have added it.

Introduction: The word "Introduction" is wrongly spelled.

A: We have revised it.

The present: The use of RT-PCR techniques is directly described. The suggestion is that other techniques presently used for detection of intraperitoneal free cancer cell with gastric carcinoma be introduced to tell the present status.

A: We have revised it.

Table 1, I suggest to add Tm(°C) of CK-20.

A: 72 Tm(°C)

Furthermore, comprehensive analysis of inner associations among the past, the present, the future, could be discussed to elevate research significance.

A: In conclusion, we have discussed...

Reviewer-2

There should be a clear definition about the time line “the past, the present and the future”.

A: We have mentioned about this at conclusion part

In the last part, there should be some discussion about the important issues or difficulties to be addressed for establishment of standard.

A: In conclusion, we have discussed...

Because the end of the manuscript seems sudden, there should be a conclusion about this review

A: We have added conclusion part and revised.