

Dear Dr. Fang-Fang Ji,

I would like to express my sincere thanks to you and the respected reviewers for taking time and reviewing this review article. I have responded to the issues in this letter and revised the manuscript accordingly. The audio core and the core tip are added accordingly.

Best Regards,  
Zahra Maleki, MD

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21186

**Title:** HPV Vaccination: An Update, Review Article

**Reviewer's code:** 00186131

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-05 12:30

**Date reviewed:** 2015-09-29 13:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is interesting and well written. However, the author did not discuss the incidence of HPV in HIV-infected patients. I suggest to complete the review discussing this topic and the HPV vaccination in HIV-infected patients.

## Response:

Thank you for your critic. The author agrees with the reviewer and added the incidence of HPV in HIV-infected patients and the HPV vaccination in HIV-infected patients to this review article.

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21186

**Title:** HPV Vaccination: An Update, Review Article

**Reviewer's code:** 00736297

**Reviewer's country:** Poland

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-07-05 12:30

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-18 05:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The name of paragraph "Human Papilloma Virus and its Pathogenesis" shall be changed into ""Human Papilloma Virus" or "Human Papilloma Virus and its Biology", because it is lacking any information about pathogenesis. There are some editorial mistakes in manuscripts e.g. spacing, missing dots, and typing symbols instead using particular symbol e.g. +/\_ etc. The reviewer thinks that description of vaccine appearance like "Cervarix is a homogeneous, turbid, white suspension. It should not be administered if it appears otherwise." is not necessary in scientific paper and it seems like a fragment of Cervarix leaflet. Reference style shall be made with accordance to journal rule. Positions 36 and 102 of references are probably some kind of mistake? The article claim to some kind of update, but only 14 of 111 of total references are papers from 2014 and 2015.

## Comment

The name of paragraph "Human Papilloma Virus and its Pathogenesis" shall be changed into

"Human Papilloma Virus" or "Human Papilloma Virus and its Biology", because it is lacking any information about pathogenesis.

**Response:**

Thank you for your comment. The name of paragraph is changed to "Human Papilloma Virus" on page 3 as it was suggested.

**Comment**

There are some editorial mistakes in manuscripts e.g. spacing, missing dots, typing symbols instead using particular symbol e.g. +/\_ etc.

**Response:**

Thank you for your comment. The entire revised manuscript has been edited.

**Comment:**

The reviewer thinks that description of vaccine appearance like "Cervarix is a homogeneous, turbid, white suspension. It should not be administered if it appears otherwise." is not necessary in scientific paper and it seems like a fragment of Cervarix leaflet.

**Response:**

The initial attempt was to describe the vaccine for the readers. The following statements are deleted.

CERVARIX is a suspension for intramuscular injection available in 0.5-mL single-dose prefilled TIP-LOK® 34 syringes. The syringe must be shaken well prior to injection. Cervarix is a homogeneous, turbid, white suspension. It should not be administered if it appears otherwise. The preferred site of administration is the deltoid region of the upper arm (FDA).

**Comment:**

Reference style shall be made with accordance to journal rule. . Positions 36 and 102 of references are probably some kind of mistake?

**Response:**



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

Thank you for the important suggestion. The reference style has revised accordingly. I checked all the references and they are corrected in both text and the reference section.

### **Comment:**

The article claim to some kind of update, but only 14 of 111 of total references are papers from 2014 and 2015.

Thank you for your comment. The author has tried to review papers from different continents, countries, institutions and authors with the aim of reviewing papers with large case studies. The Author has added a few more recent articles. There are more 2014 and 2015 references in the edited paper.