Name of Journal: *World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 21558

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

<u>**Honorable Reviewer 00506479:**</u>
Special comments:
    Abstract and throughout the text:
      1. Provenience is not Province?
      2. Page 13, lane 3: AmpC

        Response: Thank you for your comments. The correction was made as suggested.

    Table 3:
    3. Why not all antibiotics were tested for all pathogens?
        Response: Thank you for your question.
        In clinical practice not all antibiotics are suitable for all pathogens. Many factors are involved in the selection of a specific antibiotic in various clinical situations. Some of them include the site of infection, the type of infection, the severity of infection, the type of organisms, patient underlying diseases (renal or hepatic failure, immunodeficiency) and even patient tendency and preference (based on Evidence Based Medicine). The type of organism is the most relevant variable that determines the choice of antibiotics. Testing sensitivity against limited types of antibiotics had several reasons. Lack of indication in clinical practice, a local guideline (please see accompanying file named as "2 21558-Appendix for Answering reviewers-Choice Antibiotic Disks"), unavailability and lack of easy access to qualified disks, judicious use of antibiotic disks! Iran sanction and research economic limitations were some causes. Nevertheless we tried to include all antibiotics that were first and second choices or had synergistic effects. I hope my response be satisfactory.
    4. Table 3: Aztreonam
      Response: Thank you for your comments. The correction was made as suggested.

<u>**Honorable Reviewer 02445911:**</u>

    page 6. page 6, paragraph 2, line 6ff:
    5. replace …since it is changeable in various… by …since it changes in various…
        Response: Thank you for your comments. The correction was made as suggested.
  On page in the 2nd paragraph there is
    6. …disk diffusion methods 4 (30…). What does 4 stand for? Is it a reference? But then it is the wrong reference. Please clarify this.