

Dear Dr. Ze-Mao Gong:

Thank you for the opportunity to resubmit our revised manuscript. We thank you and the reviewers for your constructive suggestions and comments. We are sorry but we couldn't access the comments of Reviewer No 03026822. Our point-by-point answers to Reviewer No 00068912 comments are below, with amendments highlighted in red.

We hope that the revised manuscript is now acceptable for publication. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely
Iri Take

Answering reviewers

Reviewer No 00068912

1. In the abstract, results and discussion features the phrase "Of the 11 lesion presented in the 11 patients, 2 were located in the cecum, 4 were in the ileocecal junction, 4 were in the ascending colon, and 2 were in the rectum" which is at variance with the data of table 1. Authors need to make corrections to the abstract, results and discussion.

We have to apologize for our careless mistakes. Thank you very much for pointing them out. We have made the corrections to the abstract, results and discussion according the data of table 1 in the revised manuscript.

2. In the section "Postoperative management and follow-up" is desirable to specify which antibiotics were used (at least which groups) and what drugs for the prevention of bleeding.

We have added such information in the Postoperative management and follow-up section of the revised manuscript. We used second-generation cephalosporins, such as cefaclor or cefuroxime and ethamsylate or P-Aminomethybenzoic Acid in standard dose.