

Dear Editors,

We are truly grateful to your thoughtful suggestions and reviewers' comments. Based on reviewers' comments and advises, we have made careful modifications on the original manuscript. All changes made to the text are in blue color. Peer-reviewers' comments are as follows:

Thank you so much to the authors for taking the time to present this case. I agree with the authors that early diagnosis followed by splenectomy is beneficial for better survival of the patients because only histopathological examination is essential for diagnosis. Although primary splenic angiosarcoma is quite widely featured there are two reasons why the article should be published: 1.- the manuscript is very well prepared, 2.- it is necessary to remind us about this type of neoplasm.

In addition, below you will find our point-by-point responses to the editor's comments/ questions: Each of the points raised by the editors' has been revised an follows:

1.Comments #1: Please provide language certificate letter by professional English language editing companies (Classification of manuscript language quality evaluation is B).

Answer:As non-native speaker of English,we have consulted Jing-Yun Ma

Editorial Office for paper revision before the submission. the language certificate has been provided as attachment.

2.Comments #2:[ESPS Manuscript NO:21636](#).

Answer:21636 has been deleted.

3.Comments #3:[Audio core tip](#):In order to attract readers to read your full-text article, we request that the author make an audio file describing your final core tip, it is necessary for final acceptance. Please refer to [Instruction to authors on our website](#) or attached [Format](#) for detailed information.

Answer:An audio file describing our final core tip has also been provided in accompany with resubmission.

4.Comments #4:[Don' t forget to submit some files \[Conflict-of-Interest Statement, Institutional review board statement, Informed consent statement, Copyright \(need signature of all authors in order\) and language Certificate \(.pdf\)\] and Audio core tip \(.mp3\)](#).

Answer:Other files [[Conflict-of-Interest Statement](#), [Institutional review board statement](#), [Informed consent statement](#), [Copyright Assignment form](#)] have also been uploade accompany resubmission.

5. Comments #5: Please add PubMed citation numbers and DOI citation to the reference list and list all authors. Please revise throughout. The author should provide the first page of the paper without PMID and DOI.

Answer: We have renewed all the references. We search the two website, and found that: reference 1 has neither PMID nor DOI, as for that, the first page of it has been provided. Reference 14, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23, 24 have both PMID and DOI, the rest have PMID only.

6. Comments #6: Please simplify your table, maybe you can make several tables and note them clearly respectively. Thanks for your consideration.

Answer: Illustrations in the end of the manuscript have been simplified. We delete figure 1A (T1-weighted imaging), 1B (T2-weighted imaging), 2 (Gross sectional imaging), 3D (Specimen stained positive for Vim ).

Best regards,

Kai-Feng Yang, et al.

E-mail: fighting11213@163.com