

Nov 15, 2015

Prof. Yuan Qi
Science Editor, Editorial Office
World Journal of Radiology.

Dear Prof. Yuan Qi

Thank you very much for your reconsidering our revised manuscript (22213). We are sending a revised manuscript entitled as “MDCT Features of Pancreatic Metastases from Leiomyosarcoma: Experience at a Tertiary cancer Center” and a point-by-point letter.

We have made changes as possible according to the reviewer’s suggestions, and I feel that we have been able to respond to all of them. We thank you and the reviewers for the valuable comments and helpful suggestions that contributed significantly to our manuscript.

We hope that our revision will meet with your and the reviewers’ approval and that we may anticipate publication in World Journal of Radiology.

Sincerely,

Sree Harsha Tirumani, MD,
Department of Imaging,
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute,
450 Brookline Ave,
Boston MA 02215 USA
Tel: 617-632-6312
Fax: 6175828574
E-mail: stirumani@partners.org

Responses to the Editors' Comments to Author:

Editors' Comments to the Author

#1-1. for example: Correspondence to: Koji Takeuchi, PhD, Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Division of Pathological Sciences, Kyoto Pharmaceutical University, Misasagi, Yamashina, Kyoto 607-8414, Japan. takeuchi@mb.kyoto-phu.ac.jp

AUTHOR RESPONSE

#1-1. As you recommended, we revised the content.

#1-2. Please write a summary of less than 100 words to outline the most innovative and important arguments and core contents in your paper to attract readers.

AUTHOR RESPONSE

#1-2. As you recommended, we revised the core tip.

#1-3. Audio Core Tip

In order to attract readers to read your full-text article, we request that the first author make an audio file describing your final core tip. This audio file will be published online, along with your article. Please submit audio files according to the following specifications:

Acceptable file formats: .mp3, .wav, or .aiff

Maximum file size: 10 MB

To achieve the best quality, when saving audio files as an mp3, use a setting of 256 kbps or higher for stereo or 128 kbps or higher for mono. Sampling rate should be either 44.1 kHz or 48 kHz. Bit rate should be either 16 or 24 bit. To avoid audible clipping noise, please make sure that audio levels do not exceed 0 dBFS.

AUTHOR RESPONSE

#1-3. As you recommended, we made the audio file describing our final core tip.

#1-4. Please reformat all the reference numbers like this. Please check throughout. Thank you!

AUTHOR RESPONSE

#1-4. As you recommended, we reformatted all the reference numbers.

#1-5. Please write the COMMENTS section at here. See the format in the Format.

AUTHOR RESPONSE

#1-5. As you recommended, we wrote the COMMENTS section.

#1-6. Please add PubMed citation numbers and DOI citation to the reference list and list all authors. Please revise throughout. The author should provide the first page of the paper without PMID and DOI.

PMID (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=PubMed>) DOI
(<http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/>) (Please begin with DOI: 10.**)

For those references that have not been indexed by PubMed, a printed copy of the first page of the full reference should be submitted.

AUTHOR RESPONSE

#1-6. As you recommended, we added PubMed citation numbers and DOI citation to the reference list.

#1-7. Please list all authors name of references.

AUTHOR RESPONSE

#1-7. As you recommended, we added all authors name of references.

Reviewer #1's Comments to the Author

#2-1. This manuscript is a retrospective study regarding the MDCT findings of pancreatic metastases from leiomyosarcoma in the various organs. The authors well described the findings. They also described the findings compared with those of pancreatic metastasis from renal cell carcinoma. The description of the comparison causes to make an unclear authors' conclusion. I support to accept this manuscript in World Journal of Radiology after a major revision which delete the descriptions of renal cell carcinoma.

AUTHOR RESPONSE

#1-8. Thank you for your valuable comments. We agree with your comments. As you recommended, we deleted the descriptions of renal cell carcinoma.

End of response.