

Response to Editor and Reviewers,

Thanks to you and the reviewers for the thoughtful evaluation of our manuscript. We feel that we have been able to address the concerns and have highlighted them below.

We hope that you will find them satisfactory and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Nawaid Usmani

**Reviewer 289505 Comments to Authors:**

“RE: Role of Serial Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Active Surveillance of Prostate Cancer. The authors evaluated the role of multiparametric MRI in detecting aggressive tumors during active surveillance in 23 low-risk prostate cancer patients. The paper is well-prepared, but it should be revised linguistically and some grammatic corrections are required. I have some recommendations to be answered properly.”

**Response:** The manuscript has been edited and minor issues addressed.

“Although the paper is well-designed, the result section seems confusing. It is difficult to make a proper decision, so it should be clarified and simplified.”

**Response:** The results section has been edited.

“How did you define low-risk indolent prostate cancer? Because in current stratification the patients are classified as low-, intermediate-, high- and very high-risk. It should be corrected accordingly.”

**Response:** The definition of low-risk prostate cancer as Gleason score 6 disease was previously included only in the methods and has been added to the abstract and results.

“Throughout the manuscript the values given in mean should be changed to median.”

**Response:** The medians have been calculated and substituted into the manuscript and tables.

“Figure 1 should be omitted.”

**Response:** Figure 1 has been omitted.

**Reviewer 2567042 Comments to Authors:** “Figure 1 should be revised.”

**Response:** Figure 1 has been omitted as per Reviewer 289505’s request.