

Wednesday, 17th February 2016

Aurora Burgos MD
Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
La Paz University Hospital
Paseo de la Castellana 261
Madrid, Spain
e-mail: burgos.aurora@gmail.com

Dear Sirs,

First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude for your comments.

I am writing to you to send the response letter containing all revisions made to the manuscript. They are highlighted in the updated version of the manuscript.

1. In page 6, introduction section second paragraph which starts with “The type of foreign bodies...” has been omitted.
2. In page 7, “TC scanning” has been replaced by “CT scan” and abbreviations have been explained in the first presence (“computed tomography”).
3. Some paragraphs in page 9-10-11 have been omitted because the aim of the study is to explain the management of the foreign bodies. We added a table to simplify information.
4. In conclusion section in page 13 “personnel” has been changed to "hands".
5. The advantages and disadvantages of endoscopic methods and non-endoscopic methods have been compared based on reliable data, such as the cost, the incidence of morbidities and the matters needing attention during the procedure. There are some paragraphs that have been added and this point (to

discuss the difference between endoscopic methods and non-endoscopic methods of removing foreign bodies) has been highlighted. In addition, differences between rigid and flexible endoscopy are noted in the manuscript.

6. Misspellings have been tried to be corrected.

7. Format of tables has been changed.

8. We have offered signed pdf file of conflict-of-interest

9. We have offered the audio core tip

Feel free to contact me at your convenience if more information is required. Thank you in advance.

All the best,

Aurora Burgos